arXiv:math/0511686v2 [math.NT] 3 Feb 2006

# Period Spaces for Hodge Structures in Equal Characteristic

Urs Hartl [1]

February 2, 2008

---

[1] The author acknowledges support of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft in form of DFG-grant HA3006/2-1

## Abstract

We develop the analogue in equal positive characteristic of Fontaine's theory for crystalline Galois representations of a $p$-adic field. In particular we describe the analogue of Fontaine's functor which assigns to a crystalline Galois representation an isocrystal with a Hodge filtration. In equal characteristic the role of isocrystals and Hodge filtrations is played by $z$-isocrystals and Hodge-Pink structures. The later were invented by Pink. Our first main result in this article is the analogue of the Colmez-Fontaine Theorem that "weakly admissible implies admissible". Next we construct period spaces for Hodge-Pink structures on a fixed $z$-isocrystal. These period spaces are analogues of the Rapoport-Zink period spaces for Fontaine's filtered isocrystals in mixed characteristic and likewise are rigid analytic spaces. For our period spaces we prove the analogue of a conjecture of Rapoport-Zink stating the existence of interesting local systems on a Berkovich open subspace of the period space. As a consequence of "weakly admissible implies admissible" this Berkovich open subspace contains every classical rigid analytic point of the period space. As the principal tool to demonstrate these results we use the analogue of Kedlaya's Slope Filtration Theorem which we also formulate and prove here.

*Mathematics Subject Classification (2000)*: 11G09, (13A35, 14G20, 14G22)

# Contents

**Introduction** **1**

**1 The Slope Filtration Theorem** **7**
- 1.1 Notation . . . . . . . . 7
- 1.2 $\sigma$-Modules . . . . . . . . 10
- 1.3 $F$-Invariants . . . . . . . . 13
- 1.4 Results on $\sigma$-Bundles and Supplements . . . . . . . . 16
- 1.5 Slopes and Polygons . . . . . . . . 18
- 1.6 Comparison of Harder-Narasimhan Polygons . . . . . . . . 22
- 1.7 Descent of $\sigma$-Modules and HN-Filtrations . . . . . . . . 25

**2 Hodge-Pink Theory** **30**
- 2.1 Local Shtuka . . . . . . . . 30
- 2.2 Hodge-Pink Structures . . . . . . . . 33
- 2.3 The Mysterious Functor . . . . . . . . 35
- 2.4 Criteria for Admissibility . . . . . . . . 43
- 2.5 Weakly Admissible Implies Admissible . . . . . . . . 49

**3 Period Spaces** **52**
- 3.1 Period Spaces for Hodge-Pink Structures . . . . . . . . 52
- 3.2 Openness of the Admissible Locus . . . . . . . . 56
- 3.3 Examples of Period Spaces . . . . . . . . 63
- 3.4 The Conjecture of Rapoport and Zink . . . . . . . . 66

**A Background from rigid analytic and Berkovich geometry** **69**

**References** **83**

## Introduction

In [30] Grothendieck posed the problem to define a functor (the "mysterious functor") relating the $p$-adic étale cohomology $\mathrm{H}^i_{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{K}},\mathbb{Q}_p)$ of a proper smooth scheme $X$ over a $p$-adic field $K$ with good reduction to the crystalline cohomology $\mathrm{H}^i_{cris}(X)$ of $X$. This functor had before been constructed by Grothendieck [30] and Tate [55] in case $X$ is an abelian variety. The first step to solve the problem was Fontaine's [27] definition of a functor from suitable $p$-adic representations of $\mathrm{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$, such as $\mathrm{H}^i_{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{K}},\mathbb{Q}_p)$, which Fontaine called *crystalline* to filtered isocrystals, such as $\mathrm{H}^i_{cris}(X)$ with its Hodge-Tate filtration whose existence was established by Deligne-Illusie [20]. The problem was finally solved by Faltings [25] who showed that indeed $\mathrm{H}^i_{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{K}},\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is crystalline when $X$ is proper and smooth over $K$ with good reduction. See for example [36] for a survey of this theory which is called *$p$-adic Hodge Theory.*

There remained various open questions. One of them is to describe which filtered isocrystals arise from crystalline Galois representations. These were called *admissible.* There is a numerical criterion which is easily seen to be necessary and the filtered isocrystals satisfying this criterion were called *weakly admissible.* Fontaine conjectured that weakly admissible implies admissible and this was proved by Colmez-Fontaine [19]. Meanwhile there are many more ways to prove this conjecture [17, 6, 42]. An important approach uses the relation with $p$-adic differential equations (also called $(\varphi, \Gamma)$-modules over the Robba ring) introduced by Berger [5], and the Slope Filtration Theorem of Kedlaya [41] which says that every $\varphi$-module over the Robba ring admits a filtration with isoclinic factors of increasing slopes.

In this article we want to develop the analogue for part of $p$-adic Hodge theory in the *Arithmetic of Function Fields over Finite Fields.* We call this analogue *Hodge-Pink Theory* for reasons we give below. We should make clear from the beginning that we concentrate here on the analogue of Fontaine's theory which relates crystalline Galois representations of $p$-adic fields to filtered isocrystals. We cannot say anything about the relation of our theory with the cohomology of varieties in positive characteristic. Let us explain the translation from $p$-adic Hodge theory to Hodge-Pink theory. $p$-adic Hodge theory is a sector of the arithmetic of the local field $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and its ring of integers $\mathbb{Z}_p$. In the Arithmetic of Function Fields the later are replaced by the Laurent series field $\mathbb{F}_q((z))$ over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ with $q$ elements and its ring of integers, the power series ring $\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]$. The ring of *Witt vectors* $W(k)$ over a perfect field $k$ containing $\mathbb{F}_p$ is replaced by the power series ring $\ell[\![z]\!]$ over a perfect field $\ell$ containing $\mathbb{F}_q$. There even is no need to require $\ell$ to be perfect. The *Frobenius lift* on $\ell[\![z]\!]$ is the endomorphism

$$\sigma : \;\; \ell[\![z]\!] \longrightarrow \ell[\![z]\!]\,, \;\; z \mapsto z\,, \;\; b \mapsto b^q \text{ for all } b \in \ell\,.$$

Thus the role of the natural number $p$ in mixed characteristic is taken over by the indeterminant $z$ in equal characteristic. But $p$ enters in $p$-adic Hodge theory in a twofold way. Firstly it is the uniformizing parameter of $W(k)$ and secondly it is an element of the base field $K$ over which the objects like varieties, $\mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{sep}}/K)$-representations, and filtered isocrystals are defined. The necessity to separate these two roles of $p$ in equal characteristic and to work with two indeterminants $z$ and $\zeta$ was first pointed out by Anderson [1]. The moral reason is that the natural number $p$ never can act on a module or vector space as anything else than the scalar $p$ whereas there is no such restriction on $z$. Hence we use $z$ for the uniformizing parameter of the analogue of $W(k)$ and $\zeta$ for the element of the base field $L$ and we let $\sigma$ acts as the $q$-Frobenius on $L$ and $\zeta$. (Strictly speaking this distinction between the two roles of $p$ is also searched for in Fontaine's theory where an object, called $[\tilde{p}]$ by Colmez [18], is constructed that behaves like our $\zeta$, whereas $p$ behaves like our $z$.)

Now the concept of *$F$-isocrystal* over $k$ is translated into a finite dimensional $\ell((z))$-vector space $D$ together with an isomorphism $F_D : \sigma^*D \to D$, where we abbreviate $\sigma^*D := D \otimes_{\ell((z)),\sigma} \ell((z))$. We call the pair $(D, F_D)$ a *$z$-isocrystal* over $\ell$. The parallel between $F$-isocrystals and $z$-isocrystals reaches quite far, see [32]. The analogue of *filtered isocrystals* is defined as follows. Let $L$ be a field extension of $\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))$ which is complete with respect to an absolute value $|\,.\,| : L \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ extending the absolute value on $\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))$. Let $\mathcal{O}_L$ be its valuation ring and let $\ell$ be its residue field. Assume that there is a fixed section $\ell \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_L$ of the residue map $\mathcal{O}_L \to \ell$. This induces in particular a homomorphism $\ell((z)) \to L[\![z-\zeta]\!]$ into the power series ring over $L$ sending $z$ to $z = \zeta + (z - \zeta)$. We make the following

**Definition.** A *Hodge-Pink structure* over $L$ on the $z$-isocrystal $(D, F_D)$ is an $L[\![z-\zeta]\!]$-lattice $\mathfrak{q}_D$ inside $\sigma^*D \otimes_{\ell((z))} L((z-\zeta))$.

Every Hodge-Pink structure determines in particular a *Hodge-Pink filtration* $Fil^\bullet$ on the $L$-vector space $D_L := \sigma^*D \otimes_{\ell((z))} L[\![z-\zeta]\!]/(z-\zeta) = \sigma^*D \otimes_{\ell((z)),\, z\mapsto\zeta} L$ by letting $Fil^i D_L$ be the image of

$$\sigma^*D \otimes_{\ell((z))} L[\![z-\zeta]\!] \;\cap\; (z-\zeta)^i \mathfrak{q}_D$$

in $D_L$. Note that $z$ acts on $D_L$ as the scalar $\zeta$. However the fact that $z$ and $\zeta$ both play part of the role of $p$ makes it necessary to consider Hodge-Pink structures instead of only Hodge-Pink filtrations to get a reasonable category. This was first observed by Pink [48] who developed Hodge theory over $\mathbb{F}_q((z))$ under this viewpoint and for this reason we propose the name "Hodge-Pink Theory". In Remark 2.2.3 we discuss more reasons for the necessity to consider Hodge-Pink structures instead of Hodge-Pink filtrations.

To a $z$-isocrystal with Hodge-Pink structure $\underline{D} = (D, F_D, \mathfrak{q}_D)$ of rank $n$ over $L$ one associates two numerical invariants, its *Newton slope* $t_N(\underline{D})$ and its *Hodge slope* $t_H(\underline{D})$. The former is the slope of $\wedge^n(D, F_D)$, that is $\mathrm{ord}_z(\det F_D)$ with respect to any $\ell((z))$-basis of $D$. The later is the integer $e$ such that $\wedge^n \mathfrak{q}_D = (z-\zeta)^{-e} \wedge^n \sigma^*D \otimes_{\ell((z))} L[\![z-\zeta]\!]$. As one now might expect $\underline{D}$ is called *weakly admissible* if $t_H(\underline{D}) = t_N(\underline{D})$ and $t_H(\underline{D}') \le t_N(\underline{D}')$ for any subobject $\underline{D}' \subset \underline{D}$, that is $F_D$-stable $\ell((z))$-subspace $D' \subset D$ and lattice $\mathfrak{q}_{D'} \subset \mathfrak{q}_D \cap \sigma^*D \otimes_{\ell((z))} L((z-\zeta))$.

To define the analogue of *Fontaine's functor* and the notion of *admissibility* the guiding observation is the following. If $K$ is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$, Kisin [42, Theorem 0.3] has shown that any crystalline representation of $\mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{sep}}/K)$ with all Hodge-Tate weights equal to 0 or 1 arises from a $p$-divisible group over $\mathcal{O}_K$. The proof builds on work of Breuil [14, 15] and uses an intermediate category $\mathrm{BT}^{\varphi}_{/\mathfrak{S}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ whose analogue in equal characteristic is as follows.

**Definition.** A *local shtuka* over $\mathcal{O}_L$ is a finite free $\mathcal{O}_L[\![z]\!]$-module $M$ together with an isomorphism

$$F_M :\; \sigma^*M[\tfrac{1}{z-\zeta}] \;\xrightarrow{\;\sim\;}\; M[\tfrac{1}{z-\zeta}]$$

where the appendage $[\frac{1}{z-\zeta}]$ stands for inverting $z-\zeta$. A *morphism* $f : M \to N$ of local shtuka is a morphism of $\mathcal{O}_L[\![z]\!]$-modules which satisfies $f \circ F_M = F_N \circ \sigma^* f$. An *isogeny* of local shtuka is a morphism $f : M \to N$ such that for some morphism $g : N \to M$ the compositions $f \circ g$ and $g \circ f$ equal multiplication with a power of $z$.

With this terminology the category $\mathrm{BT}^{\varphi}_{/\mathfrak{S}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ is the analogue of the isogeny category of local shtuka for which $F_M$ is actually a morphism $\sigma^*M \to M$ whose cokernel is killed by $z-\zeta$. Kisin [42, Theorem 0.1] goes on to show that the category of crystalline representations of $\mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{sep}}/K)$ is equivalent to a full subcategory of the category analogous to the isogeny category of all local shtuka. This observation has lead A. Genestier and V. Lafforgue [28] in case $L$ is discretely valued to construct a functor $\mathbb{H}$ from local shtuka over $\mathcal{O}_L$ to $z$-isocrystals with Hodge-Pink structure over $L$ and to call the objects in the essential image of $\mathbb{H}$ *admissible*. In Section 2.3 we extend the construction of $\mathbb{H}$ to arbitrary $L$ and investigate its properties. Also in Remark 2.3.5 we present some more reasons for this use of the term "admissible" which expresses the opinion that local shtuka are the appropriate analogue of crystalline Galois representations and $\mathbb{H}$ is the analogue of the mysterious functor. On the other hand, to a local shtuka $M$ of rank $n$ over $\mathcal{O}_L$ one can associate its *Tate module*

$$T_zM \;:=\; \{\, x \in M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L[\![z]\!]} L^{\mathrm{sep}}[\![z]\!] :\; F_M(\sigma^*x) = x \,\}$$

which is a free $\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]$-module of rank $n$ and defines a Galois representation

$$\rho_M :\; \mathrm{Gal}(L^{\mathrm{sep}}/L) \;\longrightarrow\; \mathrm{GL}_n\big(\mathbb{F}_q((z))\big)\,.$$

This provides the link between local shtuka and Galois representations.

Now every admissible $z$-isocrystal with Hodge-Pink structure is weakly admissible. The main result of Chapter 2 is the following

**Theorem 2.5.3.** *If the value group of $L$ is not $q$-divisible then every weakly admissible $z$-isocrystal with Hodge-Pink structure over $L$ is already admissible.*

The actual hypothesis on the base field $L$ in Theorem 2.5.3 is even weaker. The later result was previously obtained by Genestier-Lafforgue [28] for discretely valued $L$ with perfect residue field. Our proof is entirely different and ajar to the proofs of Berger [6] and Kisin [42] in mixed characteristic. For $L$ with $q$-divisible value group we present weakly admissible Hodge-Pink structures which are not admissible in Example 3.3.2.

In Chapter 3 we construct period spaces for Hodge-Pink structures analogous to the period spaces for filtered isocrystals of Rapoport-Zink [51]. To any fixed $z$-isocrystal $(D, F_D)$ over $\mathbb{F}_q^{\mathrm{alg}}$ and fixed numerical invariants the period space $\mathcal{H}^{wa}$ parametrizes all weakly admissible Hodge-Pink structures on $(D, F_D)$. It is an admissible open rigid analytic subspace over $\mathbb{F}_q^{\mathrm{alg}}(\!(\zeta)\!)$ of an algebraic variety. But contrary to mixed characteristic this algebraic variety is not a partial flag variety but a partial jet bundle over a partial flag variety. This corresponds to the fact that the Hodge-Pink structure contains more information than just the Hodge-Pink filtration; see Remark 2.2.3. To reveal their full information these period spaces are best considered as Berkovich spaces (see Appendix A.2) instead of rigid analytic spaces. The reason is that one should not only consider their classical rigid analytic points (whose residue field is finite over $\mathbb{F}_q^{\mathrm{alg}}(\!(\zeta)\!)$) but also their $L$-valued points for complete extensions $L$ of $\mathbb{F}_q^{\mathrm{alg}}(\!(\zeta)\!)$ as above. Namely the $z$-isocrystals with Hodge-Pink structure at the former points are all admissible by Theorem 2.5.3 whereas this is not true for the later points. The Berkovich space associated to $\mathcal{H}^{wa}$ naturally contains also the later points. Our second main theorem is

**Theorem 3.2.2.** *The set $\mathcal{H}^a$ of admissible Hodge-Pink structures is Berkovich open inside the period space $\mathcal{H}^{wa}$.*

By Theorem 2.5.3 it contains all classical rigid analytic points. We further show that both spaces are connected. In Example 3.3.2 we show that $\mathcal{H}^a$ may be strictly smaller than $\mathcal{H}^{wa}$. This means that when viewed as rigid analytic spaces the morphism $\mathcal{H}^a \to \mathcal{H}^{wa}$ is étale and bijective on classical rigid analytic points but need not be an isomorphism of rigid analytic spaces. Then the two rigid analytic spaces have the same underlying set of points but differ by their Grothendieck topology. Our third main result says that not only every point of $\mathcal{H}^a$ is admissible but that

**Theorem 3.4.3.** *There exists an admissible formal scheme $X$ over $\operatorname{Spf}\mathbb{F}_q^{\mathrm{alg}}[\![\zeta]\!]$ such that its associated rigid analytic space $X^{\mathrm{rig}}$ is an étale covering space of $\mathcal{H}^a$, as well as a local shtuka over $X$ giving rise to the universal $z$-isocrystal with Hodge-Pink structure on $\mathcal{H}^a$.*

As a consequence the Tate module of this local shtuka over $X$ defines a local system $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)$-vector spaces on $X^{\mathrm{rig}}$ which descends to $\mathcal{H}^a$. For any geometric base point $\bar{x}$ of $\mathcal{H}^a$ with underlying $L$-rational point $x$ this local system even gives rise to a representation of the étale fundamental group $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\mathcal{H}^a, \bar{x})$

$$\rho_{\mathcal{V}}:\ \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\mathcal{H}^a, \bar{x}) \ \longrightarrow\ \mathrm{GL}_n$$

such that the composition with $\mathrm{Gal}(L^{\mathrm{sep}}/L) = \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(x, \bar{x}) \to \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\mathcal{H}^a, \bar{x})$ yields the Galois representation $\rho_{M_x}$ associated to the local shtuka $M_x$ at $x$ from above. This situation is analogous to a conjecture of Rapoport-Zink [51, p. 29]. Namely, to a reductive algebraic group $G$ over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and fixed numerical invariants Rapoport-Zink consider the period space $\mathcal{F}^{wa}$ of weakly admissible filtered isocrystals. They conjecture the existence of a morphism $\mathcal{F}' \to \mathcal{F}^{wa}$ of rigid analytic spaces which is étale and bijective on classical rigid analytic points, and of a local system of $\mathbb{Q}_p$-vector spaces on $\mathcal{F}'$ which for any geometric base point $\bar{x}$ of $\mathcal{F}'$ with underlying $K$-rational point $x$ induces a representation of the étale fundamental group $\rho : \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\mathcal{F}', \bar{x}) \to G$ such that the composition of $\rho$ with $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K) = \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(x, \bar{x}) \to \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\mathcal{F}', \bar{x})$ yields the $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$-representation associated by Fontaine's inverse functor to the filtered isocrystal corresponding to $x$.

Our main tool to prove these results is an analogue of Kedlaya's Slope Filtration Theorem [41] for $\varphi$-modules over the Robba ring. The later comes into play in $p$-adic Hodge theory through Berger's construction assigning to a filtered isocrystal $(D, F_D, Fil^\bullet)$ over $K$ a $(\varphi, \Gamma)$-module over the Robba ring which is a modification of $(D, F_D)$ at the zeroes of $\log[\varepsilon]$. These zeroes are precisely the $\sigma$-translates of the point corresponding to the maximal ideal of $B_{dR}^+$. (Using the notation of Colmez [18, §5.6] we like to view $B_{dR}^+$ as the complete local ring at the point of $\operatorname{Spec} \widetilde{A}^+$ given by the ideal $(p - [\tilde{p}])$. This point of view is inspired by the situation in equal characteristic which we expose in this article.) Correspondingly we consider the point $z = \zeta$ of $L\langle \frac{z}{\zeta} \rangle [z^{-1}]$ and its $\sigma$-translates $z = \zeta^{q^i}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. For a $z$-isocrystal with Hodge-Pink structure $(D, F_D, \mathfrak{q}_D)$ over $L$ we modify the $\sigma$-module $\sigma^*(D, F_D) \otimes_{\ell((z))} L\langle \frac{z}{\zeta} \rangle [z^{-1}]$ at $z = \zeta^{q^i}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ according to the data given by $\mathfrak{q}_D$. Here $L\langle \frac{z}{\zeta} \rangle$ is the Tate algebra of convergent power series on the disc $\{|z| \le |\zeta|\}$. We obtain a $\sigma$-module over the ring of rigid analytic functions on the pointed disc $\{0 < |z| \le |\zeta|\}$. We denote this ring by $L\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1} \}$ and view it as the analogue of the Robba ring. Note that the elements of $L\langle \frac{z}{\zeta} \rangle [z^{-1}]$ have at most poles at $z = 0$ whereas the elements of $L\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1} \}$ may have essential singularities. Our analogue of the Slope Filtration Theorem is then the following

**Theorem 1.7.7.** *Let $M$ be a $\sigma$-module over $L\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1} \}$. Then there exists a unique filtration $0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \ldots \subset M_\ell = M$ of $M$ by saturated $\sigma$-submodules with the following properties:*

*(a) For $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$ the quotient $M_i / M_{i-1}$ is isoclinic of some slope $s_i$,*

*(b) $s_1 < \ldots < s_\ell$.*

As in Kedlaya's theory the isoclinic $\sigma$-modules descend.

**Theorem 1.7.5.** *Let $M$ be a $\sigma$-module over $L\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1} \}$ which is isoclinic. Then there exists an isoclinic $\sigma$-module $M'$ over $L\langle \frac{z}{\zeta} \rangle [z^{-1}]$ with $M' \otimes L\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1} \} \cong M$. It is unique up to canonical isomorphism.*

The demonstration of these two theorems takes up the entire Chapter 1. We may even prove the later result over arbitrary affinoid $L$-algebras $B$ in place of $L$. This generalization to families is in fact the key to prove the analogue of the Rapoport-Zink conjecture. Note that in $p$-adic Hodge theory it is as yet impossible to set up Theorem 1.7.5 in a relative version because the theory of the field of norms does not exist over arbitrary affinoid $\mathbb{Q}_p$-algebras. However Andreatta [3] and Brinon [16] have made some progress in this direction. In equal characteristic the relative version over $B$ of the Robba ring is just the ring $B\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1} \}$ of rigid analytic functions on the relative pointed disc $\{0 < |z| \le |\zeta|\}$ over $B$ and the theory of the field of norms is trivial. The situation is more transparent here since we can separate the two roles of $p$ into the two indeterminates $z$ and $\zeta$ and moreover, since the phenomenon of ramification of field extensions $K$ of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ is not so dominant in equal characteristic. If these difficulties in mixed characteristic can be overcome our arguments presented here could be translated to mixed characteristic to yield a proof of the original conjecture of Rapoport-Zink.

Although rigid analytic geometry and even its variant introduced by Berkovich [7, 8] enter at various places we have tried to make this article accessible to readers without much background in rigid analytic geometry by working most of the time with modules over affinoid algebras rather than sheaves on rigid analytic spaces. Also we review the facts we need from rigid analytic geometry in an appendix.

*Acknowledgements.* I would like to thank V. Berkovich for his numerous explanations, and A. Genestier and V. Lafforgue for their interest in this work and many helpful discussions.

# Chapter 1

# The Slope Filtration Theorem

In this chapter we formulate and prove the equal characteristic analogue of Kedlaya's [41] Slope Filtration Theorem from $p$-adic Hodge theory. The later is a powerful tool that has given rise to proofs of various famous conjectures, like the conjectures that "weakly admissible implies admissible" [6, 42], that "de Rham implies potentially semistable" [5, 41], or Crew's conjecture which is also called the $p$-adic local monodromy theorem [40]. The results that we obtain in this chapter will enable us to prove the equal characteristic analogues of the fact that "weakly admissible implies admissible" in Chapter 2 and the conjecture of Rapoport-Zink mentioned in the introduction in Chapter 3. We follow in large parts Kedlaya's [41] proof in mixed characteristic. Nevertheless we present full proofs here for two reasons. First of all in the function field case various arguments can be somewhat simplified. And secondly we formulate and prove some analogues of Kedlaya's results in a relative situation. This is necessary for applications to the analogue of the Rapoport-Zink conjecture.

## 1.1 Notation

In this article we denote by

| | |
|---|---|
| $\mathbb{N}_0$ | the set of non-negative integers, |
| $\mathbb{F}_q$ | the finite field which has $q$ elements and characteristic $p$, |
| $\mathbb{F}_q[\![\zeta]\!]$ | the ring of formal power series over $\mathbb{F}_q$ in the indeterminant $\zeta$, |
| $\mathbb{F}_q(\!(\zeta)\!)$ | its field of fractions, |
| $R \supset \mathbb{F}_q[\![\zeta]\!]$ | a valuation ring of Krull dimension one which is complete and separated with respect to the $\zeta$-adic topology (it is not assumed to be noetherian), |
| $L$, $\mathfrak{m}_R$ | the fraction field and the maximal ideal of $R$, |
| $\overline{L}$ | the completion of an algebraic closure of $L$. It is again algebraically closed. |

We say a field $K$ is a *complete extension* of $L$, if $K$ is a field extension of $L$ equipped with a valuation $|\,.\,| : K \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ which restricts on $L$ to the valuation of $L$ and if $K$ is complete with respect to this valuation. We further denote by

| | |
|---|---|
| $B$ | an affinoid $L$-algebra with an $L$-Banach norm $|\,.\,|$ (see Appendix A.1), |
| $B[\![z]\!]$ | the ring of formal power series over $B$ in the indeterminant $z$, |
| $B[\![z]\!][z^{-1}]$ | the ring of Laurent series over $B$ in $z$ with finite principal part. |

For rational numbers $r$ and $r'$ with $r' \geq r > 0$ we define the following $B$-algebras

$$B\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle \quad = \quad \Big\{\, \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i z^i \in B[\![z]\!] : \ |b_i|\,|\zeta|^{ri} \to 0 \ \ (i\to\infty) \,\Big\},$$

$$B\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle[z^{-1}] \quad = \quad \Big\{\, \sum_{i\gg-\infty}^{\infty} b_i z^i \in B[\![z]\!][z^{-1}] : \ |b_i|\,|\zeta|^{ri} \to 0 \ \ (i\to\infty) \,\Big\},$$

$$B\langle\tfrac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle \quad = \quad \Big\{\, \sum_{i=-\infty}^{0} b_i z^i : \ |b_i|\,|\zeta|^{r'i} \to 0 \ \ (i\to-\infty) \,\Big\},$$

$$B\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta^r},\tfrac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle \quad = \quad \Big\{\, \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} b_i z^i : \ |b_i|\,|\zeta|^{ri} \to 0 \ \ (i\to\infty), \ \ |b_i|\,|\zeta|^{r'i} \to 0 \ \ (i\to-\infty) \,\Big\},$$

$$B\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta^r}, z^{-1}\} \quad = \quad \bigcap_{r'\to\infty} B\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta^r},\tfrac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle \quad = \quad \Big\{\, \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} b_i z^i : \ |b_i|\,|\zeta|^{r'i} \to 0 \ \ (i\to\pm\infty) \text{ for all } r'\geq r \,\Big\},$$

$$B\{z, \tfrac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle \quad = \quad \bigcap_{r\to 0} B\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta^r},\tfrac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle \quad = \quad \Big\{\, \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} b_i z^i : \ |b_i|\,|\zeta|^{ri} \to 0 \ \ (i\to\pm\infty) \text{ for all } 0<r\leq r' \,\Big\},$$

$$B\{z, z^{-1}\} \quad = \quad \bigcap_{r\to 0} B\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta^r}, z^{-1}\} \quad = \quad \Big\{\, \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} b_i z^i : \ |b_i|\,|\zeta|^{ri} \to 0 \ \ (i\to\pm\infty) \text{ for all } r>0 \,\Big\},$$

$$B\{z\} \quad = \quad \bigcap_{r\to 0} B\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle,$$

$\|\,.\,\|_r$ as the norm on $B\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta^r},\tfrac{\zeta^r}{z}\rangle$ given by $\Big\|\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} b_i z^i\Big\|_r \ := \ \max_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} |b_i|\,|\zeta|^{ri}.$

Although the above definitions make sense for arbitrary $r$ we consider in this article *only the case were $r$ and $r'$ are positive.* Observe that these $B$-algebras do not depend on the particular choice of the Banach norm on $B$ since all such norms induce the same topology on $B$. We extend the Banach norm $|\,.\,|$ on $B$ to matrices $A=(A_{\mu\nu})\in M_{m\times n}(B)$ by setting $|A|:=\max|A_{\mu\nu}|$ and similarly for $\|\,.\,\|_r$ . We let

$\sigma: x\mapsto x^\sigma$ be the "endomorphisms" of the above $B$-algebras which act as the identity on $z$ and as $b\mapsto b^q$ on the elements $b\in B$.

Note that $\sigma$ is not quite an endomorphism of $B\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle$ but only a homomorphism $\sigma: B\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle\to B\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta^{qr}}\rangle$ and similarly for $B\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle[z^{-1}]$ and $B\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta^r}, z^{-1}\}$. If $\mathcal{R}$ is one of these $B$-algebras we let $\mathcal{R}^\sigma$ be the target of $\sigma:\mathcal{R}\to\mathcal{R}^\sigma$. So for example $\big(B\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle\big)^\sigma = B\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta^{qr}}\rangle$ and similarly for $B\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle[z^{-1}]$ and $B\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta^r}, z^{-1}\}$. Note that in addition there is also a natural inclusion of $B$-algebras

$$\iota:\mathcal{R}\to\mathcal{R}^\sigma\,, \quad \textstyle\sum b_i z^i\mapsto \sum b_i z^i\,.$$

Furthermore, in the case $\mathcal{R}=B\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta^r},\tfrac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle$ with $r'\geq qr$ we set $\mathcal{R}^\sigma := B\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta^{qr}},\tfrac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle$ and in the cases $\mathcal{R}=B[\![z]\!]$, $B[\![z]\!][z^{-1}]$, $B\langle\tfrac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle$, $B\{z,\tfrac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle$, and $B\{z,z^{-1}\}$ we set $\mathcal{R}^\sigma:=\mathcal{R}$. Then the above pattern also holds in these cases. Note the following rules for $\|\,.\,\|_r$ which are straightforward to prove:

**Lemma 1.1.1.** *(a) $a\in B\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta^r},\tfrac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle$ if and only if $a^\sigma\in B\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta^{qr}},\tfrac{\zeta^{qr'}}{z}\rangle$.*

*(b) For all $a\in B\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta^r},\tfrac{\zeta^r}{z}\rangle$ we have $\|a^\sigma\|_{qr} \ = \ \|a\|_r^q$.*

(c) *In particular for* $a=\sum_{i\le 0}a_iz^i\in B\langle\frac{\zeta^r}{z}\rangle$ *we have*

$$\|a^\sigma\|_r \;=\; \max_{i\le 0}|a_i^q|\,|\zeta|^{ir} \;\le\; \max_{i\le 0}\big(|a_i|\,|\zeta|^{ir}\big)^q \;=\; \|a\|_r^q\,.$$

(d) *The norm* $\|\,.\,\|_r$ *is an* $L$*-Banach norm on* $B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r},\frac{\zeta^r}{z}\rangle$*, on* $B\langle\frac{\zeta^r}{z}\rangle$ *and on* $B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle$*.*

(e) *For matrices* $A,\widetilde{A}\in B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r},\frac{\zeta^r}{z}\rangle$ *we have* $\|A\widetilde{A}\|_r\le\|A\|_r\cdot\|\widetilde{A}\|_r$*.*

The $B$-algebras defined above have an interpretation in terms of rigid analytic geometry (see Appendix A.1). For positive rational numbers $r$ and $r'$ let $\mathbb{D}(r)=\operatorname{Sp}L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle$ be the disc with radius $|\zeta^r|$, and if $r'\ge r$ let $A(r,r')=\operatorname{Sp}L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r},\frac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle$ be the annulus with inner radius $|\zeta^{r'}|$ and outer radius $|\zeta^r|$. Moreover, let $\dot{\mathbb{D}}(r)$ be the admissible open subspace of $\mathbb{D}(r)$ which is the complement of the point $z=0$, and let $\dot{\mathbb{D}}(0)^\circ$ be the union of $\dot{\mathbb{D}}(r)$ for all $r>0$. It is the open punctured unit disc on which $0<|z|<1$ and is also an admissible open subspace of $\mathbb{D}(0)$. Then $B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle$ is the ring of global rigid analytic functions on the relative disc $\operatorname{Sp}B\times_L\mathbb{D}(r)$, $B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r},\frac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle$ is the ring of global rigid analytic functions on the relative annulus $\operatorname{Sp}B\times_L A(r,r')$, $B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r},z^{-1}\}$ is the ring of global rigid analytic functions on the relative punctured disc $\operatorname{Sp}B\times_L\dot{\mathbb{D}}(r)$, and $B\{z,z^{-1}\}$ is the ring of global rigid analytic functions on $\operatorname{Sp}B\times_L\dot{\mathbb{D}}(0)^\circ$. In particular if $B=L$ is a field then $L[\![z]\!]$, $L(\!(z)\!)=L[\![z]\!][z^{-1}]$, $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle$, $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle[z^{-1}]$, and $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r},\frac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle$ are principal ideal domains (by Lemma 1.1.2 below).

The reader should note that in case $B=L$ the rings $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$, $L(\!(z)\!)$, and $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta},z^{-1}\}$ are the equal characteristic analogues of the rings that Kedlaya [41] calls $\Gamma_{\rm con}[\pi^{-1}]$, $\Gamma[\pi^{-1}]$, and $\Gamma_{\rm an,\,con}$, respectively, and Colmez [18] calls $B_L^\dagger$, $B_L$, and $B_{{\rm rig},L}^\dagger$, respectively. Also note that it is clear how to define relative versions over affinoid algebras of these rings in equal characteristic, whereas the same task is not at all obvious in mixed characteristic to say the least.

The following lemma is proved in [45, Proposition 4] and the subsequent corollary.

**Lemma 1.1.2.** *The rings* $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle$ *and* $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r},\frac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle$ *are principal ideal domains. Every element* $f\in L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle$ *(respectively* $f\in L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r},\frac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle$*) can be written in the form* $f=ug$ *for a unit* $u\in L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle^\times$ *(respectively* $u\in L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r},\frac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle^\times$*) and a polynomial* $g\in L[z]$ *whose zeroes* $x\in\overline{L}$ *all satisfy* $|\zeta^{r'}|\le|x|\le|\zeta^r|$ *(respectively* $|x|\le|\zeta^r|$*). Moreover,* $u$ *and* $g$ *are uniquely determined up to a constant factor in* $L^\times$*.*

**Lemma 1.1.3.** *Let* $L'$ *be a finite Galois extension of* $L$ *and extend the action of* $\operatorname{Gal}(L'/L)$ *to* $L'\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r},z^{-1}\}$ *by the trivial action on* $z$*. Then*

(a) *the fixed subring of* $L'\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r},z^{-1}\}$ *under the action of* $\operatorname{Gal}(L'/L)$ *is equal to* $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r},z^{-1}\}$*.*

(b) *the fixed subring of the fraction field* $\operatorname{Frac}\big(L'\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r},z^{-1}\}\big)$ *under the action of* $\operatorname{Gal}(L'/L)$ *is equal to* $\operatorname{Frac}\big(L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r},z^{-1}\}\big)$*.*

*Proof.* Assertion (a) is straight forward to prove. To prove (b) let $x,y\in L'\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r},z^{-1}\}$ such that $\frac{x}{y}$ is fixed under $G=\operatorname{Gal}(L'/L)$. Set $y'=\prod_{g\in G}{}^g y$ and $x'=y'x/y$. Then both $y'$ and $x'$ belong to $L'\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r},z^{-1}\}$ and are fixed under $G$. By (a), $x',y'\in L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r},z^{-1}\}$ and $\frac{x}{y}=\frac{x'}{y'}\in\operatorname{Frac}L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r},z^{-1}\}$ as claimed. □

We end this section by recording the following two lemmas which are basic tools in estimating radii of convergence.

**Lemma 1.1.4.** *Let* $A = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i z^i \in M_n\big(B\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^{qr}}\rangle\big)$ *be a matrix and let* $x = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} x_j z^j \in B[\![z]\!]^n$ *be a vector satisfying* $x^\sigma = A\,x$. *Then* $x$ *belongs to* $B\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle^n$.

*Proof.* Since $A \in M_n\big(B\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^{qr}}\rangle\big)$ there is a constant $c$ with $|A_i \zeta^{qri}| \leq c$ for all $i$. We expand the equation $x^\sigma = A\,x$ as

$$\big(x_j \zeta^{rj}\big)^\sigma \;=\; \sum_{i=0}^{j} \big(A_{j-i}\zeta^{qr(j-i)}\big)\big(x_i \zeta^{ri}\big)\,\zeta^{ir(q-1)}\,.$$

In view of $|\zeta^r| < 1$ this implies the estimate

$$|x_j \zeta^{rj}|^q \;\leq\; c \cdot \max\{\, |x_i \zeta^{ri}| : 0 \leq i \leq j \,\}$$

from which one easily computes $|x_j \zeta^{rj}| \leq c^{1/(q-1)}$. In particular $x \in B\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^{qr}}\rangle^n$. But now the equation $x^\sigma = A\,x$ shows that $x^\sigma \in B\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^{qr}}\rangle^n$, hence $x \in B\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle^n$ as desired. □

**Lemma 1.1.5.** *Let* $A = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i z^i \in M_n\big(B\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^q}\rangle\big)$ *and let* $x = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} x_j z^j \in B\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, \frac{\zeta^q}{z}\rangle^{\oplus n}$ *with* $x - A\,x^\sigma \in B\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^q}\rangle[z^{-1}]^{\oplus n}$. *Then* $x \in B\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]^{\oplus n}$.

*Proof.* There is a constant $c \geq 1$ with $|A_i \zeta^{qi}| \leq c$ for all $i$. Assume that $x$ does not have finite principal part. Since $x \in B\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, \frac{\zeta}{z}\rangle^{\oplus n}$ we can find a negative integer $m$ with $x_m \neq 0$, $x_m - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i x_{m-i}^\sigma = 0$, $|x_m \zeta^m| =: d \leq c^{-1}$, and $|x_{m-i}\zeta^{m-i}| \leq d$ for all $i \geq 0$. We obtain

$$|x_m \zeta^m| \;\leq\; |\zeta^{(1-q)m}| \max_{i \geq 0}\big\{\, |A_i \zeta^{qi}|\, |x_{m-i}^\sigma \zeta^{qm-qi}| \,\big\} \;<\; c\,d^q \;\leq\; d\,,$$

a contradiction. □

## 1.2 $\sigma$-Modules

**Definition 1.2.1.** We let $\mathcal{R}$ be one of the $B$-algebras $B[\![z]\!]$, $B[\![z]\!][z^{-1}]$, $B\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle$, $B\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle[z^{-1}]$, or $B\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^r}, \frac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle$. For an $\mathcal{R}$-module $M$ we abbreviate $\sigma^* M := M \otimes_{\mathcal{R},\sigma} \mathcal{R}^\sigma$ and $\iota^* M := M \otimes_{\mathcal{R},\iota} \mathcal{R}^\sigma$. A *$\sigma$-module* over $\mathcal{R}$ is a pair $(M, F_M)$ consisting of a locally free coherent $\mathcal{R}$-module $M$ together with an isomorphism of $\mathcal{R}^\sigma$-modules $F_M : \sigma^* M \xrightarrow{\sim} \iota^* M$. We will usually abuse notation and write $F_M : \sigma^* M \xrightarrow{\sim} M$ instead. The *rank* of a $\sigma$-module $M$ is by definition the rank of its underlying locally free module. It is denoted $\operatorname{rk} M$. The abelian group $\operatorname{Hom}_\sigma(M,N)$ of *morphisms* between two $\sigma$-modules $M$ and $N$ consists of all homomorphisms $f : M \to N$ of $\mathcal{R}$-modules which satisfy $F_N \circ \sigma^* f = \iota^* f \circ F_M$.

*Remark.* The definition of $\sigma$-modules over $B[\![z]\!]$ and over $B[\![z]\!][z^{-1}]$ also makes sense if $B$ is an arbitrary $\mathbb{F}_q$-algebra. The later setting is of importance in studying the reduction modulo $\zeta$ of $\sigma$-modules and we will work in this framework in Chapter 2.

To define $\sigma$-modules over $B\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^r}, z^{-1}\}$ and $B\{z, z^{-1}\}$ we have to work with sheaves on the corresponding rigid analytic spaces mentioned above. This could be done also for the affinoid $B$-algebras $B\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle$, and $B\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^r}, \frac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle$. In view of [11, Proposition 7.3.2/3 and Theorem 9.4.3/3] we get for these an equivalent definition as follows.

**Definition 1.2.2.** We let $\mathcal{R}$ be one of the $B$-algebras just mentioned. A *$\sigma$-module* over $\mathcal{R}$ is a pair $(M, F_M)$ consisting of a locally free coherent sheaf $M$ on the rigid analytic space corresponding to $\mathcal{R}$ together with an isomorphism of coherent sheaves $F_M : \sigma^* M \xrightarrow{\sim} \iota^* M$ on the rigid analytic space corresponding to $\mathcal{R}^\sigma$. The *rank* $\operatorname{rk} M$ of a $\sigma$-module $M$ is by definition the rank of its underlying locally free sheaf. The abelian group $\operatorname{Hom}_\sigma(M, N)$ of *morphisms* between two $\sigma$-modules $M$ and $N$ consists of all homomorphisms of coherent sheaves $f : M \to N$ which satisfy $F_N \circ \sigma^* f = \iota^* f \circ F_M$.

The reader who prefers the more down-to-earth formulation with rings and modules should note that by Proposition 1.4.1 below the study of $\sigma$-modules over $B\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^r}, z^{-1}\}$ is equivalent to the study of $\sigma$-modules over $B\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^r}, \frac{\zeta^{qr}}{z}\rangle$. Moreover, $\sigma$-modules over $B\{z, z^{-1}\}$ are of minor, mainly technical interest in this article. So it is safe to work with Definition 1.2.1 throughout.

We want to introduce a few operations on $\sigma$-modules. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be one of the above $B$-algebras and let $M$ and $N$ be $\sigma$-modules over $\mathcal{R}$. The *tensor product* $M \otimes N$ is defined in the obvious way as the tensor product of the underlying locally free modules $M \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} N$ with the isomorphism $F_{M \otimes N} = F_M \otimes F_N$. Likewise *symmetric* and *alternating powers* are defined. The $\sigma$-module $(\mathcal{R}, F = \sigma)$ is a *unit object* for the tensor product.

The *inner hom* $\mathcal{H}om(M, N)$ is defined as the inner hom of the underlying locally free modules $H = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}}(M, N)$ together with $F_H : \sigma^* H \xrightarrow{\sim} H$, $f \mapsto F_N \circ f \circ F_M^{-1}$. In particular the *dual* of a $\sigma$-module is defined as $M^\vee = \mathcal{H}om\big(M, (\mathcal{R}, \sigma)\big)$. These definitions satisfy the usual compatibilities and make the category of $\sigma$-modules over $\mathcal{R}$ into an additive rigid tensor category; see [21]. It is $\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]$-linear and even $\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)$-linear if $z$ is invertible in $\mathcal{R}$. If in Definition 1.2.1 we allow arbitrary coherent $\mathcal{R}$-modules $M$ instead of only locally free ones and arbitrary morphisms $F_M : \sigma^* M \to \iota^* M$ instead of only isomorphisms, we obtain an abelian category. The category of $\sigma$-modules is a full subcategory of this abelian category.

**Definition 1.2.3.** A *short exact sequence* of $\sigma$-modules over $\mathcal{R}$

$$0 \to M' \to M \to M'' \to 0$$

is a sequence of $\sigma$-modules such that the underlying sequence of coherent $\mathcal{R}$-modules is exact. Note that this implies that the submodule $M' \subset M$ is saturated. The category of $\sigma$-modules with these short exact sequences is an *exact category* in the sense of Quillen [50, §2].

**Definition 1.2.4.** Let $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{R}'$ be an inclusion of two $B$-algebras of the above types (over the same $B$) and let $M$ be a $\sigma$-module over $\mathcal{R}'$. An $\mathcal{R}$-submodule $N \subset M$ with $N \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{R}' = M$ is called an *$\mathcal{R}$-lattice* in $M$. We say that $N$ is *$F$-stable* if $F_M$ maps $\sigma^* N$ into $\iota^* N$. Clearly $\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{R}'$ is a faithful $\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]$-linear exact tensor functor from $\sigma$-modules over $\mathcal{R}$ to $\sigma$-modules over $\mathcal{R}'$.

For later reference we record a special case of Lemma 3.6.2 from [41], which applies to more general situations than the one considered above. Namely, let $\mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R}'$ be an inclusion of principal ideal domains containing $\mathbb{F}_q$ equipped with compatible morphisms $\sigma : \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R}^\sigma$ and $\sigma : \mathcal{R}' \to (\mathcal{R}')^\sigma$. Let $(M, F_M)$ be a $\sigma$-module over $\mathcal{R}$ (definition as in 1.2.1) and let $N'$ be a saturated $\sigma$-submodule of $M \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{R}'$. We say that $N'$ *descends to* $\mathcal{R}$ if there exists a saturated $\sigma$-submodule $N$ of $M$ with $N' = N \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{R}'$.

**Lemma 1.2.5.** *[41, Lemma 3.6.2] Let $M$ be a $\sigma$-module over $\mathcal{R}$ and let $N'$ be a saturated $\sigma$-submodule of $M \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{R}'$. Put $d := \operatorname{rk}_{\mathcal{R}'} N'$. Then $N'$ descends to $\mathcal{R}$, if and only if the $\sigma$-submodule $\wedge^d N' \subset (\wedge^d M) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{R}'$ descends to $\mathcal{R}$.*

If $C$ is a $B$-algebra which is itself an affinoid $L$-algebra there is a natural morphism from $\mathcal{R}_B$ to the corresponding $C$-algebra $\mathcal{R}_C$. It gives rise to a *pullback functor* $M \mapsto M \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_B} \mathcal{R}_C$ from $\sigma$-modules over $\mathcal{R}_B$ to $\sigma$-modules over $\mathcal{R}_C$.

Regarding the local freeness of $\sigma$-modules the following useful result is proved in [46, Satz 1.1] and the subsequent lemma.

**Lemma 1.2.6.** *Let $M$ be a locally free coherent $B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle$-module. Then locally on the basis $B$ the module $M$ is free. That is, there exists an admissible affinoid covering $\{\operatorname{Sp} B_i\}_i$ of the rigid analytic space $\operatorname{Sp} B$ such that the pullback of $M$ to $B_i\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle$ is free.*

**Lemma 1.2.7.** *Let $M$ be a locally free coherent $B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r},\frac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle$-module. Then there exists an admissible affinoid covering $\{\operatorname{Sp} B_i\}_i$ of the rigid analytic space $\operatorname{Sp} B$ such that the pullback of $M$ to $B_i\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r},\frac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle$ is free.*

*Proof.* By [46, Satz 2] the restriction of $M$ to $\operatorname{Sp} B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^{r'}},\frac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle$ is free locally on the basis $B$. We can thus locally glue $M$ with a free sheaf on $\operatorname{Sp} B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^{r'}}\rangle$ to a locally free coherent sheaf on $\operatorname{Sp} B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle$. Since the later is free locally on the basis by Lemma 1.2.6 the same holds for its restriction to $\operatorname{Sp} B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r},\frac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle$ which is $M$. □

In [46] its is even proved that the affinoid subdomains from these admissible coverings can be chosen to have large overlaps. The precise statement is best formulated using Berkovich spaces. Recall from Appendix A.2 the definition of the Berkovich space $\mathcal{M}(B)$ associated to the affinoid $L$-algebra $B$.

**Lemma 1.2.8.** *Let $M$ be a locally free coherent $B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle$-module (respectively $B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r},\frac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle$-module). Then there exists a finite collection of affinoid $L$-algebras $B_i \supset B$ defining affinoid subdomains, such that the pullback of $M$ to $B_i\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle$ (respectively to $B_i\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r},\frac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle$) is free, and such that $\{\mathcal{M}(B_i)\}_i$ is an affinoid covering of $\mathcal{M}(B)$ in the sense of Definition A.2.3.*

**Example 1.2.9.** Let us give the following fundamental examples of $\sigma$-modules. We denote the $\sigma$-module $(\mathcal{R}, F=\sigma)$ by $\mathcal{O}(0)$. Next assume that $z$ is invertible in $\mathcal{R}$. For every integer $d$ we define the $\sigma$-module $\mathcal{O}(d)$ over $\mathcal{R}$ as $(\mathcal{R}, F = z^{-d}\cdot\sigma)$. For more examples let $d$ and $n$ be relatively prime integers with $n>0$. Consider the matrix

$$A_{d,n} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & z^{-d} \\ 1 & \ddots & & & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{R}). \tag{1.1}$$

Let $\mathcal{F}_{d,n} = (\mathcal{R}^n, F = A_{d,n}\cdot\sigma)$. It is a $\sigma$-module of rank $n$ over $\mathcal{R}$. As a special case if $n=1$ we obtain $\mathcal{F}_{d,1} = \mathcal{O}(d)$. We will say that a basis of $\mathcal{F}_{d,n}$ over $\mathcal{R}$ is a *standard basis* if $F$ is of the form $A_{d,n}\cdot\sigma$ with respect to this basis.

Since we didn't want to overload the notation, the ring $\mathcal{R}$ over which these $\sigma$-modules are living is not visible in the symbols. We will compensate for this by always specifying over which $\mathcal{R}$ we consider the $\sigma$-modules $\mathcal{O}(d)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{d,n}$.

Let $\overline{k}$ be an algebraically closed field containing $\mathbb{F}_q$. As mentioned after definition 1.2.1 we may consider $\sigma$-modules over $\overline{k}(\!(z)\!)$. The following theorem proved by Laumon [44, Theorem 2.4.5] is the analogue of the Dieudonné-Manin classification [47] of isocrystals over an algebraically closed field.

**Theorem 1.2.10.** *Every $\sigma$-module over $\overline{k}((z))$ is isomorphic to a direct sum $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell}\mathcal{F}_{d_i,n_i}$ where the $d_i$ and $n_i$ are integers with $n_i > 0$ and $(d_i, n_i) = 1$. The pairs $(d_i, n_i)$ are uniquely determined up to permutation.*

**Corollary 1.2.11.** *Every $\sigma$-module of rank 1 over $\overline{k}((z))$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(d)$ for a uniquely determined integer $d$.*

**Proposition 1.2.12.** *The $\sigma$-modules $\mathcal{F}_{d,n}$ over $\overline{k}((z))$ satisfy* $\mathrm{Hom}_\sigma(\mathcal{F}_{d,n}, \mathcal{F}_{d',n'}) = 0$ *if* $\frac{d}{n} \neq \frac{d'}{n'}$.

*Proof.* Let $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_\sigma(\mathcal{F}_{d,n}, \mathcal{F}_{d',n'})$ with $f \neq 0$. Then $f$ corresponds to a matrix $A \in M_{n'\times n}\big(\overline{k}((z))\big)$ satisfying $A_{d',n'} \cdot A^\sigma = A \cdot A_{d,n}$. In particular

$$z^{-d'n} A^{\sigma^{nn'}} \;=\; A_{d',n'} \cdot \ldots \cdot A_{d',n'}^{\sigma^{nn'-1}} \cdot A^{\sigma^{nn'}} \;=\; A \cdot A_{d,n} \cdot \ldots \cdot A_{d,n}^{\sigma^{nn'-1}} \;=\; z^{-dn'} A\,.$$

Since $A$ has finite principal part this is only possible if $d'n = dn'$, that is if $\frac{d}{n} = \frac{d'}{n'}$. □

## 1.3 $F$-Invariants

Let again $\mathcal{R}$ be a $B$-algebra of the above type.

**Definition 1.3.1.** Let $M$ be a $\sigma$-module over $\mathcal{R}$. We define the *set of $F$-invariants* of $M$ as

$$M^F(B) \;:=\; \{\, x \in M : \;\; F_M(\sigma^* x) = x \,\}\,,$$

where we denote by $\sigma^* x := x \otimes 1$ the image of $x$ under $M \to \sigma^* M = M \otimes_{\mathcal{R},\sigma} \mathcal{R}^\sigma$.

In fact $M^F(B)$ is a module over the ring $\mathcal{O}(0)^F(B) \;=\; \{y \in \mathcal{R} : y^\sigma = y\}$.

**Proposition 1.3.2.** *Assume that $B$ has no non-trivial idempotents. Then*

*(a)* $\mathcal{O}(0)^F(B) \;=\; \mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]$ *if $z$ is not invertible in $\mathcal{R}$.*

*(b)* $\mathcal{O}(0)^F(B) \;=\; \mathbb{F}_q((z))$ *if $z$ is invertible in $\mathcal{R}$.*

*Proof.* By definition $\mathcal{O}(0)^F(B)$ consists of all Laurent series $y = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} b_i z^i \in \mathcal{R}$ with $b_i^q = b_i$, that is $b_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$ since we have assumed that $B$ has no non-trivial idempotents. In particular $|b_i| = 1$ if $b_i \neq 0$. Observing the convergence condition for $\mathcal{R}$ which are imposed on $y$ the claim follows. □

Again it would be more accurate to formulate this definition in terms of sheaves. One proceeds as follows. Denote by $\pi_*$ (respectively by $\widetilde{\pi}_*$) the functor from $\mathcal{R}$-modules (respectively $\mathcal{R}^\sigma$-modules) to $B$-modules coming from the inclusion $B \subset \mathcal{R}$ (respectively $B \subset \mathcal{R}^\sigma$). Denote by $\sigma_*$ the functor from $\mathcal{R}^\sigma$-modules to $\mathcal{R}$-modules coming from $\sigma : \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R}^\sigma$. It is right adjoint to $\sigma^*$. From the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} B \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d, "\sigma_B"'] & \mathcal{R} \arrow[d, "\sigma"] \\ B \arrow[r, hook] & \mathcal{R}^\sigma \end{tikzcd}$$

with $\sigma_B : b \mapsto b^q$ we obtain $\pi_*\sigma_* = (\sigma_B)_*\widetilde{\pi}_*$. By adjunction the morphism $F_M : \sigma^* M \xrightarrow{\sim} \iota^* M$ induces a morphism $\sigma_* F_M : M \to \sigma_*\iota^* M$ of $\mathcal{R}^\sigma$-modules and further a morphism $\pi_*\sigma_* F_M : \pi_* M \to \pi_*\sigma_*\iota^* M$ of $B$-modules. On the other hand the inclusion $\iota : \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R}^\sigma$ yields a morphism $i : \pi_* M \to \widetilde{\pi}_*\iota^* M$ of $B$-modules.

Now the $B$-module $\pi_* M$ defines a sheaf of abelian groups on the étale site $X_{\text{ét}}$ of the rigid analytic space $X = \operatorname{Sp} B$ (see Appendix A.3). Namely for any étale morphism $f : Y \to X$ we set $W(\pi_* M)(Y) \;=\; \Gamma(Y, f^*\pi_* M)$. In the same manner we obtain $W(\widetilde{\pi}_*\iota^* M)$ and $W(\sigma_{B*}\widetilde{\pi}_*\iota^* M)$. Note that the last two sheaves are equal as sheaves of abelian groups (since $\sigma_B$ only changes the scalar multiplication on them by $B$). Therefore we can consider the morphism of sheaves of abelian groups on $X_{\text{ét}}$

$$W(\pi_*\sigma_* F_M) - W(i) : \;\; W(\pi_* M) \to W(\widetilde{\pi}_*\iota^* M)\,.$$

**Definition 1.3.3.** We define the étale sheaves $M^F$ and $M_F$ on $X_{\text{ét}}$ as the kernel and the cokernel of $W(\pi_*\sigma_* F_M) - W(i)$ in the category of étale sheaves of abelian groups on $X_{\text{ét}}$. They are sheaves of $\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]$-modules (and even $\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)$-modules if $z$ is invertible in $\mathcal{R}$).

Clearly $M^F(\operatorname{Sp} B)$ coincides with $M^F(B)$ from Definition 1.3.1 since as usual the "presheaf kernel" already is a sheaf. In the special case where $B = \overline{L}$ we find

$$M_F(\overline{L}) \;\;=\;\; \iota^* M / \{\, F_M(\sigma^* x) - x : \; x \in M \,\}\,.$$

Thus for any $\sigma$-module $M$ over $\overline{L}\{z, z^{-1}\}$ the $\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)$-vector spaces $M^F(\overline{L})$ and $M_F(\overline{L})$ are precisely the ones which were denoted $\mathrm{H}^0(M)$ and $\mathrm{H}^1(M)$ in [9].

By the snake lemma every short exact sequence $0 \to M' \to M \to M'' \to 0$ of $\sigma$-modules over $\mathcal{R}$ gives rise to a long exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (M')^F \longrightarrow M^F \longrightarrow (M'')^F \longrightarrow M'_F \longrightarrow M_F \longrightarrow M''_F \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Let $M$ and $N$ be $\sigma$-modules over the $B$-algebra $\mathcal{R}$. Then $\operatorname{Hom}_\sigma(M, N) \;=\; \big(\mathcal{H}om(N, M)\big)^F(B)$ by definition. We further define

$$\operatorname{Ext}_\sigma(N, M) \;\;:=\;\; \big(\mathcal{H}om(N, M)\big)_F(B)\,.$$

The following proposition is proved by standard homological arguments as in [33, Proposition 2.4].

**Proposition 1.3.4.** *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be one of the above $B$-algebras for $B = \overline{L}$ and let $M$ and $N$ be $\sigma$-modules over $\mathcal{R}$. Then the group $\operatorname{Ext}_\sigma(N, M)$ classifies extensions (short exact sequences) of $\sigma$-modules*

$$0 \to M \to E \to N \to 0$$

*up to isomorphisms of short exact sequences that are identity on $M$ and $N$.*

Let us remark that the proposition (and its proof) also hold for general $B$ but we will not need this here.

**Proposition 1.3.5.** *Let $M$ be a $\sigma$-module over $B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$ which contains an $F$-stable $B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle$-lattice $N$. Then the natural morphism*

*(a)* $M^F \xrightarrow{\sim} \big(M \otimes B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}\big)^F$ *is an isomorphism and*

*(b)* $M_F \hookrightarrow \big(M \otimes B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}\big)_F$ *is injective.*

*If moreover $F : \sigma^* N \to N$ is an isomorphism then the natural morphism*

*(c)* $M^F \xrightarrow{\sim} \big(M \otimes B[\![z]\!][z^{-1}]\big)^F$ *is an isomorphism.*

*(d) The sheaves $\big(N\otimes B[\![z]\!]/(z^m)\big)^F$ form a local system (A.4.4) of $\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]$-lattices on the rigid analytic space $\operatorname{Sp} B$. The sheaf $\big(N\otimes B[\![z]\!]\big)^F$ is the projective limit of $\Big(\big(N\otimes B[\![z]\!]/(z^m)\big)^F\Big)_{m\in\mathbb{N}_0}$.*

*Proof.* Working locally on $B$ we may by Lemma 1.2.8 choose a basis of $N$ and write $F$ with respect to this basis as a matrix $A \in M_n\big(B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^q}\rangle\big)$.

To prove (a) note that the injectivity is obvious and the surjectivity follows from Lemma 1.1.5.

To prove (b) it suffices to show that for any étale affinoid $B$-algebra $C$ and for any vector $v \in M \otimes C\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$ which satisfies $v = w - F(\sigma^* w)$ for some $w \in M \otimes C\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$, already $w \in M \otimes C\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$. Again this follows from Lemma 1.1.5.

In (c) the injectivity is obvious. To prove the surjectivity let $x \in B[\![z]\!]^n$ satisfy $x = A\,x^\sigma$. Since $A \in \mathrm{GL}_n\big(B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^q}\rangle\big)$ by assumption, $x \in B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle^n$ by Lemma 1.1.4.

To prove (d) write $A = \sum_{j\geq 0} A_j z^j$. Then for any étale affinoid $B$-algebra $C$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\big(N \otimes B[\![z]\!]\big)^F(C) &= \Big\{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i z^i :\ x_i \in C^n,\, x_i = \sum_{j=0}^{i} A_j x_{i-j}^\sigma \text{ for all } i \geq 0\Big\} \quad \text{and}\\ \big(N \otimes B[\![z]\!]/(z^m)\big)^F(C) &= \Big\{\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} x_i z^i :\ x_i \in C^n,\, x_i = \sum_{j=0}^{i} A_j x_{i-j}^\sigma \text{ for all } i = 0,\ldots,m-1\Big\}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\big(N\otimes B[\![z]\!]\big)^F = \varprojlim_m \big(N\otimes B[\![z]\!]/(z^m)\big)^F$. The remaining assertions are a consequence of the following lemma. □

**Lemma 1.3.6.** *Assume that $B$ is connected. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and let $N$ be a locally free $B[\![z]\!]/(z^m)$-module of rank $n$, equipped with an isomorphism $F : \sigma^* N \to N$. Then there exists a finite étale connected $B$-algebra $B_m$ such that $N^F(B_m)$ is a free $\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]/(z^m)$-module of rank $n$. Moreover there is a natural isomorphism*

$$N^F(B_m) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]/(z^m)} B_m[\![z]\!]/(z^m) \xrightarrow{\sim} N \otimes_{B[\![z]\!]} B_m[\![z]\!]/(z^m)\,.$$

*Proof.* This is proved in [9, §2]. It is the analogue of the fact that a finite étale group scheme is trivialized by a finite étale covering space. See [9, Theorem 2.5] for details. □

Although the sheaves $M^F$ and $M_F$ will serve us well in this chapter, the above notion of $F$-invariants is not the most useful for $\sigma$-modules over $B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$ or $B[\![z]\!][z^{-1}]$. The reason for this is that unless $B = \overline{L}$, the sheaf $M^F$ will in general be the zero sheaf as the following example shows.

Let $A = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j z^j \in \mathrm{GL}_n\big(B[\![z]\!][z^{-1}]\big)$ and $(M, F_M) = \big(B[\![z]\!][z^{-1}]^n, A\cdot\sigma\big)$. Then for any affinoid étale $B$-algebra $C$

$$M^F(C) \;=\; \Big\{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i z^i :\ x_i \in C^n \text{ with } x_i \;=\; \sum_{j=0}^{i} A_j x_{i-j}^\sigma \text{ for all } i\Big\} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]} \mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)\,.$$

Even if $A \in \mathrm{GL}_n\big(B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^q}\rangle\big)$ and a matrix $\Phi \in \mathrm{GL}_n(C)$ exists with $\Phi = A_0\Phi^\sigma$ we have to solve infinitely many Artin-Schreier equations

$$(\Phi^{-1}x_i) - (\Phi^{-1}x_i)^\sigma \;=\; \sum_{j=1}^{i}(\Phi^{-1}A_j\Phi^\sigma)(\Phi^{-1}x_{i-j})^\sigma\,.$$

Unless $C = \overline{L}$ or $|\Phi^{-1}x_i| < 1$ for $i \gg 0$ we cannot hope to find all solutions $x_i \in C^n$. But the expected convergence condition for $\sum x_i z^i$ is $|x_i \zeta^i| \to 0$, so we will find $|\Phi^{-1}x_i| < 1$ for $i \gg 0$ only in rare cases.

It seems more meaningful to work with the local system $T_z N := \Big(\big(N \otimes B[\![z]\!]/(z^m)\big)^F\Big)_{m\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ of $\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]$-lattices on $\operatorname{Sp} B$ from Proposition 1.3.5 (d) instead. We call $T_z N$ the *Tate module* of $N$. These local systems give rise to Galois representations exactly like the Tate module of a $p$-divisible group or abelian variety. See Section 2.1 for more on this subject. We want to end this discussion by noting the following exactness property.

**Proposition 1.3.7.** *The functor $M \mapsto T_z M$ from the category of $\sigma$-modules over $B[\![z]\!]$ to the category of local systems of $\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]$-lattices on $\operatorname{Sp} B$ is a faithful $\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]$-linear exact tensor functor.*

*Proof.* Linearity and compatibility with tensor products are clear. The faithfulness follows from Lemma 1.3.6.

To prove exactness let $0 \to M' \to M \to M'' \to 0$ be an exact sequence of $\sigma$-modules over $B[\![z]\!]$. We have to show that the associated sequence of sheaves on $(\operatorname{Sp} B)_{\text{ét}}$

$$0 \;\longrightarrow\; (M' \bmod z^m)^F \;\longrightarrow\; (M \bmod z^m)^F \;\longrightarrow\; (M'' \bmod z^m)^F \;\longrightarrow\; 0$$

is exact for all $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Since this property is local on $B$ we may choose bases of $M'$, $M$, and $M''$ such that

$$F_{M'} = A' \cdot \sigma\,, \quad F_{M''} = A'' \cdot \sigma \quad \text{and} \quad F_M = \begin{pmatrix} A' & \widetilde{A} \\ 0 & A'' \end{pmatrix} \cdot \sigma$$

for $A' \in \mathrm{GL}_{n'}\big(B[\![z]\!]\big)$, $A'' \in \mathrm{GL}_{n''}\big(B[\![z]\!]\big)$ and $\widetilde{A} \in M_{n' \times n''}\big(B[\![z]\!]\big)$. In this presentation only the exactness on the right is non-obvious. So let $C$ be an affinoid étale $B$-algebra and let $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} y_i z^i \in (M'' \bmod z^m)^F(C)$. It suffices to find a finite étale $C$-algebra $\widetilde{C}$ and $x_i \in \widetilde{C}^{n'}$ with $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \binom{x_i}{y_i} z^i \in (M \bmod z^m)^F(\widetilde{C})$. This amounts to solving the finite étale equations

$$x_i - A'_0 x_i^\sigma \;=\; \sum_{j=1}^{i} A'_j x_{i-j}^\sigma \;+\; \sum_{j=0}^{i} \widetilde{A}_j y_{i-j}^\sigma$$

for $i = 0, \dots, m-1$ and clearly can be accomplished. □

## 1.4 Results on $\sigma$-Bundles and Supplements

In this section we review the main results from [33] where the term *$\sigma$-bundle* was used for what here is called a $\sigma$-module over $\overline{L}\{z, z^{-1}\}$. Let $r$ be a positive rational number.

**Proposition 1.4.1.** *(a) The functor from the category of $\sigma$-modules over $B\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^r}, z^{-1}\}$ to the category of $\sigma$-modules over $B\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^r}, \frac{\zeta^{qr}}{z}\rangle$, $M \mapsto M \otimes B\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^r}, \frac{\zeta^{qr}}{z}\rangle$ is an exact equivalence of rigid tensor categories.*

*(b) The functor from the category of $\sigma$-modules over $\overline{L}\{z, z^{-1}\}$ to the category of $\sigma$-modules over $\overline{L}\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^r}, \frac{\zeta^{qr}}{z}\rangle$, $M \mapsto M \otimes \overline{L}\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^r}, \frac{\zeta^{qr}}{z}\rangle$ is an exact equivalence of rigid tensor categories.*

*Proof.* We first prove (a). To prove essential surjectivity, let $M$ be a $\sigma$-module over $B\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^r}, \frac{\zeta^{qr}}{z}\rangle$. The isomorphism $F : \sigma^* M \otimes B\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^{qr}}, \frac{\zeta^{qr}}{z}\rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} M \otimes B\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^{qr}}, \frac{\zeta^{qr}}{z}\rangle$ allows us to glue the $B\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^r}, \frac{\zeta^{qr}}{z}\rangle$-module $M$ with the $B\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^{qr}}, \frac{\zeta^{q^2 r}}{z}\rangle$-module $M \otimes_{B\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^r}, \frac{\zeta^{qr}}{z}\rangle, \sigma} B\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^{qr}}, \frac{\zeta^{q^2 r}}{z}\rangle$ to a $\sigma$-module $M_1$ over $B\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^r}, \frac{\zeta^{q^2 r}}{z}\rangle$. We continue in this way and obtain a $\sigma$-module over $B\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^r}, z^{-1}\}$.

To prove full faithfulness, let $M$ and $N$ be $\sigma$-modules over $B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}, z^{-1}\}$. Let $f : M \to N$ be a morphism of $\sigma$-modules, that is $f \circ F_M = F_N \circ \sigma^* f$. Therefore the restriction of $f$ to $B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^{qr}}, \frac{\zeta^{q^2 r}}{z}\rangle$ is uniquely determined by the restriction of $\sigma^* f$ to $B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^{qr}}, \frac{\zeta^{q^2 r}}{z}\rangle$, hence by the restriction of $f$ to $B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}, \frac{\zeta^{qr}}{z}\rangle$. An iteration of this argument yields full faithfulness. Clearly the functor is also compatible with tensor products and internal Hom's, that is a tensor functor, and maps short exact sequences to short exact sequences.

To prove (b) we also must go in the direction $|z| \to 1$. Note that $\sigma : \overline{L}\{z, z^{-1}\} \to \overline{L}\{z, z^{-1}\}$ is an isomorphism. So we can consider $(\sigma^{-1})^* M$ and

$$(\sigma^{-1})^* F : \;\; M \otimes \overline{L}\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta^r}, \tfrac{\zeta^r}{z}\rangle \;\; \xrightarrow{\;\sim\;} \;\; (\sigma^{-1})^* M \otimes \overline{L}\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta^r}, \tfrac{\zeta^r}{z}\rangle \,.$$

This allows us to glue the $\overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}, \frac{\zeta^{qr}}{z}\rangle$-module $M$ with the $\overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^{r/q}}, \frac{\zeta^r}{z}\rangle$-module $(\sigma^{-1})^* M$ to a $\sigma$-module $M_1'$ over $\overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^{r/q}}, \frac{\zeta^{qr}}{z}\rangle$. With this building stone the proof continues as the proof of (a) above. □

In view of (b) the results from [33] are as follows.

**Theorem 1.4.2.** *[33, Theorem 11.1 and Corollary 11.8] Every $\sigma$-module over $\overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ is isomorphic to a direct sum $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathcal{F}_{d_i,n_i}$ where the $d_i$ and $n_i$ are integers with $n_i > 0$ and $(d_i, n_i) = 1$. The pairs $(d_i, n_i)$ are uniquely determined up to permutation.*

Kedlaya pointed out the parallel between Theorems 1.2.10 and 1.4.2 in the case of mixed characteristic by giving a simultaneous proof for both; [41, Theorem 4.5.7]. The same could be done in our situation. Note however that the proof of Theorem 1.2.10 obtained in this way is much more complicated than the standard proof ([44]; or in mixed characteristic [47]).

**Corollary 1.4.3.** *Every $\sigma$-module of rank 1 over $\overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(d)$ for a uniquely determined integer $d$.*

**Proposition 1.4.4.** *[33, Propositions 3.1 and 5.1] For every $\alpha \in \overline{L}$ there exists an $f_\alpha \in \overline{L}\{z, z^{-1}\}$ with simple zeroes at $\alpha^{q^\nu}$ for $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$ and no other zeroes. For the $\sigma$-module $\mathcal{O}(d)$ over $\overline{L}\{z, z^{-1}\}$ we have*

$$\mathcal{O}(d)^F(\overline{L}) \;=\; \begin{cases} (0) & \text{if } d < 0\,, \\ \mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!) & \text{if } d = 0\,, \\ \Bigl\{ \displaystyle\sum_{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}} z^{-d\nu} \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} z^j u_j^{q^\nu} \;:\; u_j \in \overline{L},\, |u_j| < 1 \Bigr\} \;= & \\ = \;\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!) \cdot \bigl\{ \prod_{i=1}^{d} f_{\alpha_i} :\; \alpha_i \in \overline{L}\,,\, |\zeta^q| < |\alpha_i| \le |\zeta| \bigr\} & \text{if } d > 0\,. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* The assertion on $\mathcal{O}(d)^F(\overline{L})$ is demonstrated in the proof of [33, Theorem 5.4]. □

**Proposition 1.4.5.** *[33, Propositions 8.5, 8.6, and 8.8] The $\sigma$-modules $\mathcal{F}_{d,n}$ over $\overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ satisfy*

*(a)* $$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]} \operatorname{Hom}_\sigma(\mathcal{F}_{d,n}, \mathcal{F}_{d',n'}) \;=\; \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } d/n < d'/n'\,, \\ n^2 & \text{if } d/n = d'/n'\,, \\ 0 & \text{if } d/n > d'/n'\,. \end{cases}$$

*(b)* $$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]} \operatorname{Ext}_\sigma(\mathcal{F}_{d,n}, \mathcal{F}_{d',n'}) \;=\; \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } d/n \le d'/n'\,, \\ \infty & \text{if } d/n > d'/n'\,. \end{cases}$$

*(c)* $\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{F}_{d,n})$ *is the central division algebra over $\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)$ of dimension $n^2$ and Hasse invariant $-\frac{d}{n} \bmod \mathbb{Z}$.*

## 1.5 Slopes and Polygons

As remarked above we may also consider $\sigma$-modules over $k((z))$ for arbitrary fields $k \supset \mathbb{F}_q$. For such a field we let $\overline{k} \supset k$ be some algebraically closed extension. What follows does not depend on the choice of $\overline{k}$.

**Definition 1.5.1.** Let $M$ be a $\sigma$-module of rank 1 over $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ (or over $k((z))$). We define the *degree* $\deg M$ of $M$ as the unique integer $d$ from Corollary 1.4.3 (respectively Corollary 1.2.11) such that $M \otimes \overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\} \cong \mathcal{O}(d)$ over $\overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ (respectively $M \otimes \overline{k}((z)) \cong \mathcal{O}(d)$ over $\overline{k}((z))$). For a $\sigma$-module $M$ of rank $n$ over $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ or over $k((z))$ we define $\deg M := \deg \wedge^n M$. We define the *slope* of $M$ as

$$\lambda(M) \;:=\; -\frac{\deg M}{\operatorname{rk} M}\,.$$

For example the $\sigma$-modules $\mathcal{F}_{d,n}$ over $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ (and also over $k((z))$) have degree $\deg \mathcal{F}_{d,n} = d$ and slope $\lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d,n}) = -\frac{d}{n}$. The degree is additive in short exact sequences (see [33, Proposition 6.1].

Note that compared to [33] the definitions of degree of a $\sigma$-module $M$ over $\overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ coincide whereas the slope is the negative of what was called the *weight* of $M$ in [33]. Compared to [41] the definitions of slope are the same whereas the definitions of degree differ by their sign. This difference lies in the fact that the terminology of [33] is "geometric", expressing the analogy with the stability of vector bundles, and the terminology of [41] is "arithmetic", owing to the fact that the Dieudonné module of a $p$-divisible group has slopes 0 and 1. We have chosen our maybe unnatural definitions here because on the one hand we wanted to be consistent with [33] through the term "degree", and on the other hand our applications through the notion of "slope" are of arithmetic nature.

**Definition 1.5.2.** A $\sigma$-module $M$ over $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ or over $k((z))$ is called *semistable* (respectively *stable*) if $\lambda(N) \geq \lambda(M)$ (respectively $\lambda(N) > \lambda(M)$) for any non-zero $\sigma$-submodule $N$ of $M$.

Note that a direct sum of semistable $\sigma$-modules of the same slope is again semistable. Using the additivity of degree and rank in short exact sequences one shows as usual that $M$ is (semi-)stable if and only if for any quotient $\sigma$-module $N$ of $M$ the inequality $\lambda(N) < \lambda(M)$ (respectively $\lambda(N) \leq \lambda(M)$) holds.

In order to define the Harder-Narasimhan polygon of a $\sigma$-module we first recall the following

**Definition 1.5.3.** To a multiset $S$ of $n$ real numbers one defines the *Newton polygon* of $S$ to be the graph of the piecewise linear function on $[0, n]$ sending 0 to 0, whose slope on $[i-1, i]$ is the $i$-th smallest element of $S$. One calls the point on the graph corresponding to the image of $n$ the *endpoint* of the polygon. Conversely for every such graph one defines its *slope multiset* as the slopes of the piecewise linear function on $[i-1, i]$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

One says that one Newton polygon $P$ *lies above* another Newton polygon $P'$ if both have the same endpoint and if no vertex of $P$ lies below the polygon $P'$. In this case one writes $P \geq P'$.

Given Newton polygons $P_1 \ldots, P_m$, one defines their *sum* $P_1 + \ldots + P_m$ as the Newton polygon whose slope multiset is the union of the slope multisets of $P_1, \ldots, P_m$.

**Definition 1.5.4.** Let $M$ be a $\sigma$-module over $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ or over $k((z))$. A *semistable filtration* of $M$ is a filtration $0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \ldots \subset M_\ell = M$ of $M$ by saturated $\sigma$-submodules, such that the successive quotients $M_i/M_{i-1}$ are semistable of some slope $s_i$. A *Harder-Narasimhan filtration* (short *HN-filtration*) of $M$ is a semistable filtration with $s_1 < \ldots < s_\ell$. A Harder-Narasimhan filtration is unique if it exists, since $M_1$ must then be the unique maximal $\sigma$-submodule of $M$ of minimal slope.

**Definition 1.5.5.** Let $M$ be a $\sigma$-module over $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ or over $k((z))$. To any semistable filtration $0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \ldots \subset M_\ell = M$ of $M$ we associate the multiset consisting of the slope $\lambda(M_i/M_{i-1})$ with multiplicity $\operatorname{rk} M_i/M_{i-1}$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$. We call this multiset the *slope multiset* of the filtration, and we call the corresponding Newton polygon the *slope polygon* of the filtration. If $M$ has an HN-filtration, we call its slope multiset the *Harder-Narasimhan slope multiset* (short *HN-slope multiset*) of $M$, and its slope polygon the *Harder-Narasimhan polygon* (short *HN-polygon*) of $M$.

If $M$ is a $\sigma$-module over $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$ we call the HN-polygon of $M \otimes L((z))$ the *generic HN-polygon* of $M$, and we call the HN-polygon of $M \otimes L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ the *special HN-polygon* of $M$. The same naming is chosen for HN-filtrations and HN-slopes.

The later names were coined and motivated by Kedlaya [41, §7.3] in mixed characteristic and adopted here to emphasize the analogy between equal and mixed characteristic.

The ultimate goal of this chapter, the Slope Filtration Theorem, says that the (special) HN-polygon does not change under passing from $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ to $\overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ (see Corollary 1.7.8). As in [41] the relation between $\sigma$-modules over $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ and $\overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ is quite complicated. Therefore we too will have to make a detour via $L((z))$ where the comparison of HN-polygons is much easier (see Corollary 1.5.9). Since we may not yet use the assertion of the Slope Filtration Theorem we temporarily make the following definition.

**Definition 1.5.6.** Let $M$ be a $\sigma$-module over $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ or over $k((z))$. We call the HN-slopes and the HN-polygon of $M \otimes \overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ (respectively $M \otimes \overline{k}((z))$) the *absolute HN-slopes* and the *absolute HN-polygon* of $M$. We say that $M$ is *isoclinic* of slope $s$ if the absolute HN-slopes of $M$ are all equal to $s$. This means that $M \otimes \overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ (respectively $M \otimes \overline{k}((z))$) is isomorphic to a direct sum of $\mathcal{F}_{d,n}$ for $s = -\frac{d}{n}$ with $(d, n) = 1$. Note that this implies that $M$ is semistable. If $B$ is an affinoid $L$-algebra, we call a $\sigma$-module $M$ over $B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ *isoclinic* if at every analytic point $x \in \mathcal{M}(B)$ (see Definition A.2.1) the $\sigma$-module $M \otimes \widehat{\kappa(x)^{\mathrm{alg}}}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ is isoclinic of the same slope.

A $\sigma$-module $M$ over $B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$ is called *isoclinic* if at every analytic point $x \in \mathcal{M}(B)$ the $\sigma$-module $M \otimes \kappa(x)((z))$ is isoclinic of the same slope.

As a justification of the later definition we will see in Proposition 1.6.6 below that if a $\sigma$-module $M$ over $B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$ is isoclinic then also $M \otimes B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ is isoclinic of the same slope.

Let us gather a few facts about the generic and special HN-polygons. We start with the generic HN-polygon. Let $k \supset \mathbb{F}_q$ be a field and let $\overline{k}$ be some algebraically closed extension of $k$. First of all there is no need to distinguish between generic and generic absolute HN-polygons due to the following proposition. As a preparation we need a lemma.

**Lemma 1.5.7.** *Let $M$ be a $\sigma$-module over $k((z))$ such that all slopes of $M' = M \otimes \overline{k}((z))$ are non-negative. Then $M$ contains an $F$-stable $k[\![z]\!]$-lattice $N$.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 1.2.10, $M'$ is a direct sum with summands of the form $\mathcal{F}_{d,n}$ for $d \leq 0$. In this presentation the standard basis vectors of the $\mathcal{F}_{d,n}$ generate an $F$-stable $\overline{k}[\![z]\!]$-lattice in $M'$. Its intersection with $M$ gives the desired lattice in $M$. (Compare [41, 5.1.2].) $\square$

**Proposition 1.5.8.** *Let $M$ be a $\sigma$-module over $k((z))$. Then there exists a uniquely determined filtration $0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \ldots \subset M_\ell = M$ of $M$ by $\sigma$-submodules over $k((z))$ with the following properties:*

*(a) For $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$ the $\sigma$-module $M_i/M_{i-1}$ is isoclinic of some slope $s_i$,*

*(b) $s_1 < \ldots < s_\ell$.*

*Moreover, if $k$ is perfect this filtration splits canonically and yields the slope decomposition $M \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell} M_i/M_{i-1}$.*

*Proof.* Let $M \otimes_{k((z))} \overline{k}((z)) \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\ell} \mathcal{F}_{d_j,n_j}^{\oplus m_j}$ be the decomposition from Theorem 1.2.10. We may assume that the slopes $s_i := -\frac{d_i}{n_i}$ satisfy condition (b). Put $M_i := \bigoplus_{j=1}^{i} \mathcal{F}_{d_j,n_j}^{\oplus m_j}$. Then $M_i/M_{i-1}$ is isoclinic of slope $s_i$. By induction on $\ell$ it suffices to descend $M_1$ to $k((z))$. Choose a $k((z))$-basis $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ of $M$ and let $A \in \mathrm{GL}_n\big(k((z))\big)$ be the matrix by which $F_M$ acts on this basis.

1. We first claim that $M_1$ descends to $k^{\mathrm{sep}}((z))$ where $k^{\mathrm{sep}}$ is the separable closure of $k$ in $\overline{k}$. By Lemma 1.2.5 it suffices to treat the case where $\dim_{\overline{k}((z))} M_1 = 1$. By twisting with $\mathcal{O}(s_1)$ we may then assume that $s_1 = 0$. So by Lemma 1.5.7, $M$ contains an $F$-stable $k[\![z]\!]$-lattice and we may assume that the basis $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ is in fact a $k[\![z]\!]$-basis of that lattice. This implies $A = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} A_\nu z^\nu \in M_n\big(k[\![z]\!]\big)$. Let $v \in M \otimes_{k((z))} \overline{k}((z))$ be a generator of $M_1$ satisfying $F_M(\sigma^* v) = v$ and let $x \in \overline{k}((z))^n$ be its coordinate vector with respect to the above basis. After multiplication with a power of $z$ we have $x = \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} x_\mu z^\mu \in \overline{k}[\![z]\!]^n$ with $x_\mu \in \overline{k}^n$. The equation $F_M(\sigma^* v) = v$ implies

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu} A_\nu x_{\mu-\nu}^{\sigma} \;=\; x_\mu - A_0 x_\mu^{\sigma} \qquad \text{for all } \mu \geq 0\,.$$

This is an étale equation for the components of $x_\mu$ and by induction on $\mu$ we obtain $x_\mu \in (k^{\mathrm{sep}})^n$. thus $M_1$ descends to $k^{\mathrm{sep}}((z))$ as claimed.

2. There are two things left to be proven. Firstly we have to show that the $\sigma$-submodule $M_1 = \mathcal{F}_{d_1,n_1}^{\oplus m_1} \subset M \otimes k^{\mathrm{sep}}((z))$ further descends to $k((z))$. Secondly, if $k$ is perfect (and $\overline{k} = k^{\mathrm{sep}}$) we must prove that the $\sigma$-submodules $\mathcal{F}_{d_j,n_j}^{\oplus m_j}$ descend to $k((z))$. Thus let $N := \mathcal{F}_{d_j,n_j}^{\oplus m_j} \subset M \otimes_{k((z))} k^{\mathrm{sep}}((z))$. By Lemma 1.2.5 we may assume that $N$ has dimension 1 and is generated by a vector $v = \sum_i x_i e_i$ with $x_i \in k^{\mathrm{sep}}((z))$ satisfying $F(\sigma^* v) = z^{d_j} v$. Without loss of generality we have $x_1 \neq 0$ and we set $w := x_1^{-1} v$. Now let $\gamma \in \mathrm{Gal}(k^{\mathrm{sep}}/k)$. Then $F(\sigma^* v^\gamma) = z^{d_j} v^\gamma$ and $v^\gamma$ belongs to $N$, that is $v^\gamma = \alpha\, v$ for some $\alpha \in k^{\mathrm{sep}}((z))$. In particular $w^\gamma = \alpha\, x_1 / x_1^\gamma \cdot w$. Since the first coordinate of $w$ with respect to $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ is 1 we infer $\alpha\, x_1/x_1^\gamma = 1$ and $w^\gamma = w$. Thus $w \in M$ and $N$ descends to $k((z))$ as desired. (Compare [41, 5.3.1].) ☐

**Corollary 1.5.9.** *Let $M$ be a $\sigma$-module over $k((z))$. Then the HN-filtration of $M$, tensored up to $\overline{k}((z))$ gives the HN-filtration of $M \otimes \overline{k}((z))$.*

*Proof.* The characterization of the HN-filtration given in Proposition 1.5.8 is stable under base change. ☐

Although we won't need it in the sequel the reader should note the following analogue of the theorem of Grothendieck-Katz [39] which is proved in [32].

**Theorem 1.5.10.** *Let $A$ be an $\mathbb{F}_q$-algebra and let $M$ be a $\sigma$-module of rank $n$ over $A[\![z]\!][z^{-1}]$. Let $P$ be the graph of a continuous real valued function on $[0,n]$ which is linear between successive integers. Then the set of points in* $\operatorname{Spec} A$ *at which the HN-polygon of $M$ lies above $P$ is Zariski closed.*

The following tool will be useful for determining the generic HN-polygon.

**Lemma 1.5.11.** *Let $M$ be a $\sigma$-module over $\overline{k}((z))$. Suppose $M$ has a basis on which $F$ acts by a matrix $A \in \mathrm{GL}_n\big(\overline{k}((z))\big)$ which satisfies $AD^{-1} - \mathrm{Id}_n \in M_n\big(z\,\overline{k}[\![z]\!]\big)$ for some diagonal matrix $D \in \mathrm{GL}_n\big(\overline{k}((z))\big)$. Then the generic HN-slopes of $M$ are equal to* $\operatorname{ord}_z D_{\nu\nu}$*, where $D_{\nu\nu}$ are the diagonal entries of $D$.*

*Proof.* There is no harm in multiplying both $A$ and $D$ by the same power of $z$. Thus we may assume $A, D \in M_n\big(\overline{k}[\![z]\!]\big)$. We construct a sequence of matrices $U_\ell \in \mathrm{GL}_n\big(\overline{k}[\![z]\!]\big)$ with $U_0 = \mathrm{Id}_n$, $U_{\ell+1} \equiv U_\ell \bmod z^{\ell+1}$, and $U_\ell^{-1} A U_\ell^\sigma D^{-1} \equiv \mathrm{Id}_n \bmod z^{\ell+1}$. Then the limit $U$ of the $U_\ell$ satisfies $U^{-1} A U^\sigma = D$, proving the lemma.

By assumption the conditions are satisfied for $\ell = 0$. Suppose $U_\ell$ has been constructed. Put $V = U_\ell^{-1} A U_\ell^\sigma D^{-1} - \mathrm{Id}_n \in M_n\big(z^{\ell+1}\overline{k}[\![z]\!]\big)$. Define a matrix $W$ whose entry $W_{\mu\nu}$ for each $\mu$ and $\nu$ is a solution of the congruence

$$W_{\mu\nu} - D_{\mu\mu} W_{\mu\nu}^\sigma D_{\nu\nu}^{-1} \;\equiv\; V_{\mu\nu} \bmod z^{\ell+2}$$

with $W_{\mu\nu}, D_{\mu\mu} W_{\mu\nu}^\sigma D_{\nu\nu}^{-1} \in z^{\ell+1}\overline{k}[\![z]\!]$. Such a solution exists because $\overline{k}$ is algebraically closed and depending on $\mathrm{ord}_z(D_{\mu\mu} D_{\nu\nu}^{-1})$ one has to solve either a linear equation, an Artin-Schreier equation or extract a $q$-th root over $\overline{k}$. Then both $W$ and $DW^\sigma D^{-1}$ belong to $M_n\big(z^{\ell+1}\overline{k}[\![z]\!]\big)$. Put $U_{\ell+1} = U_\ell(\mathrm{Id}_n + W)$. Then $U_{\ell+1} \in \mathrm{GL}_n\big(\overline{k}[\![z]\!]\big)$ satisfies $U_{\ell+1} \equiv U_\ell \bmod z^{\ell+1}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
U_{\ell+1}^{-1} A U_{\ell+1}^\sigma D^{-1} &= (\mathrm{Id}_n + W)^{-1} U_\ell^{-1} A U_\ell^\sigma (\mathrm{Id}_n + W)^\sigma D^{-1} \\
&= (\mathrm{Id}_n + W)^{-1} U_\ell^{-1} A U_\ell^\sigma D^{-1} (\mathrm{Id}_n + D W^\sigma D^{-1}) \\
&= (\mathrm{Id}_n + W)^{-1} (\mathrm{Id}_n + V)(\mathrm{Id}_n + D W^\sigma D^{-1}) \\
&\equiv \mathrm{Id}_n - W + V + D W^\sigma D^{-1} \\
&\equiv \mathrm{Id}_n \bmod z^{\ell+2}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain $U_{\ell+1}$ as desired and the lemma follows. (Compare [41, 5.2.6].) □

Next let us turn towards $\sigma$-modules over $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ and the special HN-polygon.

**Proposition 1.5.12.** *[33, Propositions 6.3 and 8.2] The $\sigma$-modules $\mathcal{F}_{d,n}$ over $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ are stable. The $\sigma$-modules $\mathcal{F}_{d,n}^{\oplus m}$ and in particular $\mathcal{O}(d)^{\oplus m}$ over $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ are semistable.*

**Theorem 1.5.13.** *[33, Corollary 11.7] Let $M$ be a $\sigma$-module over $\overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ and let $M \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathcal{F}_{d_i,n_i}^{\oplus m_i}$ be its decomposition from Theorem 1.4.2. Assume that $d_{i-1}/n_{i-1} > d_i/n_i$ for all $i$ and set $M_j := \bigoplus_{i=1}^{j} \mathcal{F}_{d_i,n_i}^{\oplus m_i}$. Then the HN-filtration of $M$ is $0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \ldots \subset M_\ell = M$ and the HN-slopes are the numbers $\frac{d_i}{n_i}$ with multiplicity $n_i m_i$. In particular the HN-polygon of $M$ determines its isomorphy type.*

**Proposition 1.5.14.** *Every $\sigma$-module $M$ over $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ admits a Harder-Narasimhan filtration.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 1.4.2 and Proposition 1.5.12 the set of slopes of all nontrivial $\sigma$-submodules of $M$ has a smallest element $s_1$. Let $N_1$ and $N_2$ be two $\sigma$-submodules of $M$ of slope $s_1$. Then $N_1$ and $N_2$, and thus also $N_1 \oplus N_2$ are semistable. Since the sum $N_1 + N_2$ of $N_1$ and $N_2$ inside $M$ is a quotient of $N_1 \oplus N_2$ its slope is at most $s_1$. By minimality of $s_1$ it equals $s_1$. Therefore there exists a unique maximal $\sigma$-submodule $M_1$ of $M$ of slope $s_1$. Then $M_1$ is the first step in the HN-filtration of $M$. The remaining steps are obtained by replacing $M$ by $M/M_1$. (Compare [41, 4.2.5].) □

**Proposition 1.5.15.** *Let $M$ be a $\sigma$-module over $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$. Then the HN-polygon lies above the slope polygon of any semistable filtration of $M$.*

*Proof.* Let $0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \ldots \subset M_\ell = M$ be the HN-filtration and let $0 = M'_0 \subset M'_1 \subset \ldots \subset M'_m = M$ be a semistable filtration of $M$. It suffices to prove that for each $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$ we can find $\mathrm{rk}\, M_i$ slopes from the slope multiset of the semistable filtration whose sum is less than or equal to $-\deg M_i$.

For $j = 1, \ldots, m$ consider the $\sigma$-submodule $(M'_j \cap M_i)/(M'_{j-1} \cap M_i)$ of $M'_j/M'_{j-1}$. Note that the $M'_j \cap M_i$ are saturated $\sigma$-submodules of $M_i$. Since the later is semistable we have

$$\lambda_j \;:=\; \lambda\big((M'_j \cap M_i)/(M'_{j-1} \cap M_i)\big) \;\geq\; \lambda(M'_j/M'_{j-1})\,.$$

Set $r_j \;=\; \operatorname{rk}(M'_j \cap M_i)/(M'_{j-1} \cap M_i) \;\leq\; \operatorname{rk} M'_j/M'_{j-1}$. Since the $M'_j \cap M_i$ filter $M_i$ we have

$$-\deg M_i \;=\; \sum_{j=1}^{m} r_j \lambda_j\,.$$

From this the desired inequality follows. (Compare [41, 3.5.4].) $\square$

**Proposition 1.5.16.** *Let* $0 \to M_1 \to M \to M_2 \to 0$ *be a short exact sequence of* $\sigma$*-modules over* $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ *and let* $P(M_1), P(M)$*, and* $P(M_2)$ *be their absolute HN-polygons. Then*

*(a)* $P(M) \geq P(M_1) + P(M_2)$,

*(b)* $P(M) = P(M_1) + P(M_2)$ *if and only if the short exact sequence splits over* $\overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$.

*Proof.* (The proof literally follows [41, Proposition 4.7.2].) To prove (a) note that the HN-filtrations of $M_1 \otimes \overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ and $M_2 \otimes \overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ induce a semistable filtration of $M \otimes \overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ whose slope polygon is $P(M_1) + P(M_2)$. Then Proposition 1.5.15 yields the claim.

To prove (b) note that the splitting of the sequence implies $P(M) = P(M_1) + P(M_2)$ by Theorem 1.5.13. Conversely suppose $P(M) = P(M_1) + P(M_2)$. We prove by induction on the rank of $M$ that the sequence splits in case $L = \overline{L}$. The induction starts with the case where $M_1 = \mathcal{F}_{c,r}$ and $M_2 = \mathcal{F}_{d,s}$. By Proposition 1.4.5 the sequence splits if $\frac{d}{s} \leq \frac{c}{r}$. So now assume $\frac{d}{s} > \frac{c}{r}$. By Theorem 1.5.13 the assumption on $P(M)$ implies that $M \cong M_1 \oplus M_2$, yielding a map $M_2 \to M$. Since $\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{F}_{d,s})$ is a division algebra by Proposition 1.4.5 the composite $M_2 \to M \to M_2$ is either zero or an isomorphism. In the former case, the map factors through $M_2 \to M_1$ by exactness. But because $\frac{d}{s} > \frac{c}{r}$, this is impossible by Proposition 1.4.5. Thus $M_2 \to M \to M_2$ is an isomorphism splitting the sequence.

Next assume that $M_1$ decomposes as $M_1 = N \oplus N'$. We compute

$$\begin{array}{rlll} P(M) & \geq & P(N) + P(M/N) & \text{[by (a)]} \\ & \geq & P(N) + P(M_1/N) + P(M_2) & \text{[by (a)]} \\ & = & P(M_1) + P(M_2) & \text{[because } N \text{ is a summand of } M_1] \\ & = & P(M) & \text{[by assumption]} \end{array}$$

and find that all the inequalities must be equalities. In particular $P(M/N) = P(M_1/N) + P(M_2)$. Since $M/N$ is of smaller rank, the induction hypothesis implies that the sequence $0 \to M_1/N \to M/N \to M_2 \to 0$ splits. We obtain a map $M \to M_1/N \cong N'$ which splits the sequence $0 \to N' \to M \to M/N' \to 0$. It remains to consider the sequence $0 \to N \to M/N' \to M_2 \to 0$ which splits because $P(M/N') = P(N) + P(M_2)$ by a similar computation as above. Thus we have proved the claim whenever $M_1$ decomposes.

There remains the case, when only $M_2$ decomposes. By considering the dualized sequence, we reduce to the case in which $M_1$ decomposes. This proves the proposition. $\square$

## 1.6 Comparison of Harder-Narasimhan Polygons

We will now study the relation between the generic and special HN-polygons. We need three preparatory lemmas. The first is proved in the same way as Lemma 1.5.7

**Lemma 1.6.1.** *Let $M$ be a $\sigma$-module over $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$ such that all slopes of $M' = M \otimes \overline{L}(\!(z)\!)$ are non-negative. Then $M$ contains an $F$-stable $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle$-lattice $N$. Moreover if all slopes of $M'$ are zero, then $N$ can be chosen such that $F : \sigma^* N \to N$ is an isomorphism.* ☐

**Lemma 1.6.2.** *Let $M$ be a $\sigma$-module over $\overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$ such that all HN-slopes of $M' := M \otimes \overline{L}(\!(z)\!)$ are non-positive. Let $v \in M'$ satisfy $F(\sigma^* v) = v$. Then $v \in M$.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 1.6.1 we can find an $F$-stable $\overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle$-lattice $N^\vee$ in $M^\vee$. Its dual lattice $N = \{w \in M : f(w) \in L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle$ for all $f \in N^\vee\}$ in $M$ is stable under $F^{-1} : M \to \sigma^* M$. Let $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ be a basis of $N$ and let $A = (A_{\mu\nu}) \in M_n\big(\overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^q}\rangle\big)$ be the matrix with $F^{-1} e_\nu = \sum_\mu A_{\mu\nu} e_\mu$.

By multiplying $v$ with a power of $z$ we may assume that $v \in \overline{L}[\![z]\!]$. Let $x \in \overline{L}[\![z]\!]^n$ be the coordinate vector of $v$ with respect to the basis $e_1, \ldots, e_n$. Then $F(\sigma^* v) = v$ amounts to the equation $x^\sigma = Ax$. We deduce from Lemma 1.1.4 that $x \in \overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle^n$ and $v \in M$ as desired. (Compare [41, 5.4.1].) ☐

**Lemma 1.6.3.** *Let $M$ be a $\sigma$-module over $\overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$ such that $M \otimes \overline{M}(\!(z)\!) \cong \mathcal{F}_{d,n}^{\oplus m}$. Then $M$ itself is isomorphic to the $\sigma$-module $\mathcal{F}_{d,n}^{\oplus m}$ over $\overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$.*

*Proof.* Let $e_1, \ldots, e_{mn}$ be the standard basis of the $\sigma$-module $\mathcal{F}_{d,n}^{\oplus m}$ over $\overline{L}(\!(z)\!)$, that is

$$\begin{aligned} F(\sigma^* e_{\ell n+i}) &= e_{\ell n+i+1} && \text{for all } i = 1, \ldots, n-1 \quad \text{and } \ell = 0, \ldots, m-1\,, \\ F(\sigma^* e_{\ell n+n}) &= z^{-d} e_{\ell n+1} && \text{for all } \ell = 0, \ldots, m-1\,. \end{aligned}$$

Replace $F$ by $F' := z^d F^n : (\sigma^n)^* M \xrightarrow{\sim} M$. Then the vectors $e_i$ satisfy $F'(\sigma^* e_i) = e_i$. In particular $e_i \in M$ by Lemma 1.6.2. Clearly $e_1, \ldots, e_{mn}$ form a basis of $M$ over $\overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$. This proves the lemma. ☐

In order to compare the generic and special HN-polygons of a $\sigma$-module over $\overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$ we need to descend the generic information from $\overline{L}(\!(z)\!)$ to $\overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$. This is achieved by the reverse filtration.

**Definition 1.6.4.** Let $M$ be a $\sigma$-module over $\overline{L}(\!(z)\!)$ with slope decomposition $P_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus P_\ell$ labeled so that $\lambda(P_1) > \ldots > \lambda(P_\ell)$. We call the filtration $0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \ldots \subset M_\ell = M$ with $M_i = P_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus P_i$ for $i = 0, \ldots, \ell$ the *reverse filtration* of $M$. By construction its slope polygon is the HN-polygon of $M$.

**Proposition 1.6.5.** *Let $M$ be a $\sigma$-module over $\overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$, then the reverse filtration of $M \otimes \overline{L}(\!(z)\!)$ descends to $\overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$.*

*Proof.* Let $0 = M'_0 \subset M'_1 \subset \ldots \subset M'_\ell = M'$ be the reverse filtration of $M' = M \otimes \overline{L}(\!(z)\!)$. It suffices to show that $M'_1$ descends to $\overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$. By Lemma 1.2.5 we may reduce to the case where $\operatorname{rk} M'_1 = 1$ by passing from $M$ to an exterior power. By twisting we may then reduce to the case where $\lambda(M'_1) = 0$. Thus $M'_1$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(0)$ and therefore $M'_1$ is generated by a vector $v$ with $Fv = v$. By Lemma 1.6.2, $v$ belongs to $M$. Hence $M'_1$ descends to $\overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$ proving the proposition. (Compare [41, 5.4.3].) ☐

**Proposition 1.6.6.** *Let $M$ be a $\sigma$-module over $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$. Then the HN-polygon of $M \otimes \overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ lies above the HN-polygon of $M \otimes \overline{L}(\!(z)\!)$.*

*Proof.* The HN-polygon of $M \otimes \overline{L}(\!(z)\!)$ equals the slope polygon of its (descended) reverse filtration. The factors of the later are isomorphic to $\sigma$-modules $\mathcal{F}_{d,n}^{\oplus m}$ over $\overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$ for appropriate $d, n$ and $m$ by Lemma 1.6.3. This property is preserved by tensoring the reverse filtration up to $\overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$. In this way we obtain a semistable filtration of $M \otimes \overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$. The slope polygon of this filtration equals the HN-polygon of $M \otimes \overline{L}(\!(z)\!)$ and lies below the HN-polygon of $M \otimes \overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ by Proposition 1.5.15. (Compare [41, 5.5.1].) $\square$

The case when both polygons coincide is particularly favorable.

**Theorem 1.6.7.** *Let $M$ be a $\sigma$-module over $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$ whose generic and special absolute HN-polygons coincide. Then the generic and special absolute HN-filtrations of $M \otimes \overline{L}(\!(z)\!)$ and $M \otimes \overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$, respectively, are both obtained from a filtration of $M$.*

*Proof.* It is enough to show that the first steps of the generic and special absolute HN-filtrations descend and coincide. By Lemma 1.2.5 we may reduce to the case where the least slope of the common HN-polygon occurs with multiplicity one. Choose a basis $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ of $M$. Let $v \in M \otimes \overline{L}(\!(z)\!)$ be a generator of the first step of the HN-filtration of $M \otimes \overline{L}(\!(z)\!)$ and write $v = a_1 e_1 + \ldots + a_n e_n$ with $a_i \in \overline{L}(\!(z)\!)$. Without loss of generality, $a_1 \neq 0$ and we can assume $a_1 = 1$. By Corollary 1.5.9 we have $a_i \in L(\!(z)\!)$ for all $i$.

Consider the descended reverse filtration $0 = \widetilde{M}_0 \subset \widetilde{M}_1 \subset \ldots \subset \widetilde{M}_\ell$ of $\widetilde{M}_\ell := M \otimes \overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$ from Proposition 1.6.5. It satisfies $\widetilde{M}_\ell \otimes \overline{L}(\!(z)\!) = \widetilde{M}_{\ell-1} \otimes \overline{L}(\!(z)\!) \oplus \overline{L}(\!(z)\!) \cdot v$. By Proposition 1.6.6 the HN-polygons satisfy $P\big(\widetilde{M}_{\ell-1} \otimes \overline{L}(\!(z)\!)\big) \leq P\big(\widetilde{M}_{\ell-1} \otimes \overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}\big)$ and analogously for $\widetilde{M}_\ell / \widetilde{M}_{\ell-1}$. Since by assumption we have

$$\begin{aligned} P\big(\widetilde{M}_\ell \otimes \overline{L}\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}\big) &= P\big(\widetilde{M}_\ell \otimes \overline{L}(\!(z)\!)\big) \\ &= P\big(\widetilde{M}_{\ell-1} \otimes \overline{L}(\!(z)\!)\big) + P\big((\widetilde{M}_\ell / \widetilde{M}_{\ell-1}) \otimes \overline{L}(\!(z)\!)\big)\,, \end{aligned}$$

we deduce from Proposition 1.5.16 that the sequence $0 \to \widetilde{M}_{\ell-1} \to \widetilde{M}_\ell \to \widetilde{M}_\ell / \widetilde{M}_{\ell-1} \to 0$ splits after tensoring up to $\overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$. Since all generic slopes of $\mathcal{H}om(\widetilde{M}_\ell / \widetilde{M}_{\ell-1}\,,\, \widetilde{M}_{\ell-1})$ are positive, Lemma 1.6.1 allows us to apply Proposition 1.3.5 to deduce that the sequence already splits over $\overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$. Therefore there is a vector $\widetilde{v} = b_1 e_1 + \ldots + b_n e_n \in \widetilde{M}_\ell$, $b_i \in \overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$, which generates a $\sigma$-submodule isomorphic to $\widetilde{M}_\ell / \widetilde{M}_{\ell-1}$. Over $\overline{L}(\!(z)\!)$ the vectors $v$ and $\widetilde{v} \in \widetilde{M}_\ell \otimes \overline{L}(\!(z)\!)$ define splittings of the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \widetilde{M}_{\ell-1} \otimes \overline{L}(\!(z)\!) \longrightarrow \widetilde{M}_\ell \otimes \overline{L}(\!(z)\!) \longrightarrow (\widetilde{M}_\ell / \widetilde{M}_{\ell-1}) \otimes \overline{L}(\!(z)\!) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Since all generic slopes of $\widetilde{M}_\ell / \widetilde{M}_{\ell-1}$ are less than all generic slopes of $\widetilde{M}_{\ell-1}$, these splittings coincide by Proposition 1.2.12. Hence $\widetilde{v} = c\,v$ for some $c \in \overline{L}(\!(z)\!)^\times$. By construction $c = b_1 \in \overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$. Since $c$ has only finitely many zeroes by Lemma 1.1.2 there is a rational number $r \geq 1$ with $c \in \overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle[z^{-1}]^\times$. In particular

$$a_i \;=\; c^{-1} b_i \;\in\; L(\!(z)\!) \cap \overline{L}\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle[z^{-1}] \;=\; L\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle[z^{-1}]\,.$$

So the vector $v \in M \otimes L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle[z^{-1}]$ defines a saturated $\sigma$-submodule $N'$ of $M \otimes L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle[z^{-1}]$ with $N' \otimes \overline{L}(\!(z)\!) = \overline{L}(\!(z)\!) \cdot v$. By repeated application of Lemma 1.6.8 below $N' \otimes L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}, \frac{\zeta^{qr}}{z}\rangle$ extends to a saturated $\sigma$-submodule of $M \otimes L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, \frac{\zeta^{qr}}{z}\rangle$ which we glue over $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}, \frac{\zeta^{qr}}{z}\rangle$ with $N'$ to a saturated $\sigma$-submodule of $M$. Thus the first step of the generic HN-filtration descends to $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$. Let $M_1$ be the corresponding $\sigma$-submodule of $M$.

Let $M_1'$ be the first step of the HN-filtration of $M \otimes \overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$. So $M_1'$ is characterized as the maximal $\sigma$-submodule of minimal slope, which by assumption is $\lambda(M_1') = \lambda(M_1)$. In particular

$M_1 \otimes \overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\} \subset M_1'$ and since their ranks and degrees are equal, $M_1 \otimes \overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ and $M_1'$ coincide by [33, Proposition 6.2]. Hence the first step of the special absolute HN-filtration also descends to $M_1$. This proves the theorem. (Compare [41, 5.5.2].) $\square$

**Lemma 1.6.8.** *Let $r$ and $r'$ be rational numbers with $r' \geq q^2 r > 0$. Let $M$ be a $\sigma$-module over $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}, \frac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle$ and let $N' \subset M \otimes L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^{qr}}, \frac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle$ be a saturated $\sigma$-submodule. Then there exists a uniquely determined saturated $\sigma$-submodule $N$ of $M$ with $N' = N \otimes L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^{qr}}, \frac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle$.*

*Proof.* Clearly $N$ is unique if it exists since it can be described as the intersection $M \cap N'$ inside $M \otimes L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^{qr}}, \frac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle$.

To prove existence let $d := \operatorname{rk} N'$. By Lemma 1.2.5 it suffices to show that $\wedge^d N' \subset (\wedge^d M) \otimes L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^{qr}}, \frac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle$ descends to $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^{r}}, \frac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle$. Thus we may assume that $\operatorname{rk} N' = 1$. Choose a basis $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ of $M$ and let $\Phi \in \mathrm{GL}_n\big(L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^{qr}}, \frac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle\big)$ be the matrix by which $F_M$ acts on this basis. Let $v = a_1 e_1 + \ldots + a_n e_n$ with $a_i \in L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^{qr}}, \frac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle$ be a generator of $N'$. Without loss of generality $a_1 \neq 0$. By Lemma 1.1.2 we may multiply $v$ by a unit and assume that $a_1 \in L[z]$ is a monic polynomial whose zeroes $x$ all satisfy $|\zeta^{r'}| \leq |x| \leq |\zeta^{qr}|$. Since $N'$ is $F_M$-stable there exists a unit $\alpha \in L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^{q^2 r}}, \frac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle^\times$ with $F_M(\sigma^* v) = \alpha v$. Let

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1' \\ \vdots \\ a_n' \end{pmatrix} \;:=\; \Phi^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{pmatrix} \;=\; \alpha^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_1^\sigma \\ \vdots \\ a_n^\sigma \end{pmatrix} \quad \in \quad L\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta^{qr}}, \tfrac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle^{\oplus n}\,.$$

Again by Lemma 1.1.2 we write $a_1' = uf$ with a unit $u \in L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^{qr}}, \frac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle^\times$ and a monic polynomial $f \in L[z]$ whose zeroes $x$ satisfy $|\zeta^{r'}| \leq |x| \leq |\zeta^{qr}|$. By [45, Proposition 2] we may split $f = g\tilde{f}$ with $g, \tilde{f} \in L[z]$ monic such that the zeroes $x$ of $g$ (respectively of $\tilde{f}$) all satisfy $|x| > |\zeta^{q^2 r}|$ (respectively $|x| \leq |\zeta^{q^2 r}|$). Similarly we split $a_1^\sigma = hb$ with $h, b \in L[z]$ monic such that the zeroes $x$ of $h$ (respectively of $b$) all satisfy $|x| < |\zeta^{r'}|$ (respectively $|\zeta^{r'}| \leq |x| \leq |\zeta^{q^2 r}|$). Since $u, \alpha, g$ and $h$ are units in $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^{q^2 r}}, \frac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle$ the equation $ug\tilde{f} = a_1' = \alpha^{-1}hb$ implies $b = \tilde{f}$ by Lemma 1.1.2. Hence $ug = \alpha^{-1}h$. Now there exists a polynomial $\tilde{g} \in L[z]$ with $\tilde{g}^\sigma = g^q$, namely $\tilde{g}(z) := g(z^q)$. Consider the vector $\tilde{g}v \in N'$. We have

$$(\tilde{g}a_i)^\sigma \;=\; g^q a_i^\sigma \;=\; g^{q-1} h u^{-1} a_i' \quad \in \quad L\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta^{qr}}, \tfrac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle\,.$$

Therefore $\tilde{g}a_i \in L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^{r}}, \frac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle$. After clearing a common factor of the $\tilde{g}a_i$ in $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^{r}}, \frac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle$ if necessary, the vector $\tilde{g}v$ defines the desired saturated $\sigma$-submodule $N$ of $M$. It satisfies $N' = N \otimes L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^{qr}}, \frac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle$ since $\tilde{g} \in L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^{qr}}, \frac{\zeta^{r'}}{z}\rangle^\times$. $\square$

## 1.7 Descent of $\sigma$-Modules and HN-Filtrations

In this section we finally prove the Slope Filtration Theorem as well as the fact that isoclinic $\sigma$-modules over $B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ descend to $B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$. For this purpose we will need to work locally on the Berkovich space $\mathcal{M}(B)$ associated to $B$ (see Appendix A.2). Also recall the notion of affinoid covering of $\mathcal{M}(B)$ from Definition A.2.3.

**Lemma 1.7.1.** *Let $r$ be a positive rational number and let $D \in \mathrm{GL}_n\big(B\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^r}, \frac{\zeta^r}{z}\rangle\big)$. Define the number $h := \big(\|D\|_r\, \|D^{-1}\|_r\big)^{1/(q-1)} \geq 1$ and let $A \in M_n\big(B\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^r}, \frac{\zeta^r}{z}\rangle\big)$ satisfy $\|AD^{-1} - \mathrm{Id}_n\|_r < h^{-1}$. Then there exists a matrix $U \in \mathrm{GL}_n\big(B\langle \frac{\zeta^r}{z}\rangle\big)$ with $\|U - \mathrm{Id}_n\|_r < 1$ and*

$$U^{-1}AU^{\sigma}D^{-1} - \mathrm{Id}_n \;\in\; M_n\big(zB\langle \tfrac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle\big) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \|U^{-1}AU^{\sigma}D^{-1} - \mathrm{Id}_n\|_r < 1\,.$$

*Proof.* We define sequences of matrices $U_0, U_1, \ldots$ and $A_0, A_1, \ldots$ as follows. We start with $U_0 = \mathrm{Id}_n$. Given $U_\ell \in \mathrm{GL}_n\big(B\langle \frac{\zeta^r}{z}\rangle\big)$ we set $A_\ell = U_\ell^{-1}AU_\ell^{\sigma}$. We define an additive function $f : B\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^r}, \frac{\zeta^r}{z}\rangle \to B\langle \frac{\zeta^r}{z}\rangle$ by mapping $a = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} a_i z^i$ to $\sum_{i\leq 0} a_i z^i$ and we extend it to a function on matrices. We have $\|f(a)\|_r \leq \|a\|_r$. Set $X_\ell = f(A_\ell D^{-1} - \mathrm{Id}_n)$ and $U_{\ell+1} = U_\ell(\mathrm{Id}_n + X_\ell)$. Put $c = \|AD^{-1} - \mathrm{Id}_n\|_r \cdot h < 1$ and $c_\ell = \|X_\ell\|_r \cdot h$.

We claim that $c_\ell \leq c^{\ell+1}$ and $\|A_\ell D^{-1} - \mathrm{Id}_n\|_r \leq \|AD^{-1} - \mathrm{Id}_n\|_r < 1$ for all $\ell \geq 0$.

Clearly this holds for $\ell = 0$. Assume the claim for $\ell$. Then $\|X_\ell\|_r < 1$ and in particular $\mathrm{Id}_n + X_\ell$ and $U_{\ell+1}$ belong to $\mathrm{GL}_n\big(B\langle \frac{\zeta^r}{z}\rangle\big)$ and $A_\ell D^{-1}$ belongs to $\mathrm{GL}_n\big(B\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^r}, \frac{\zeta^r}{z}\rangle\big)$. We obtain

$$A_{\ell+1}D^{-1} \;=\; (\mathrm{Id}_n + X_\ell)^{-1} A_\ell D^{-1} (\mathrm{Id}_n + DX_\ell^{\sigma} D^{-1})\,.$$

Write

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{Id}_n + X_\ell)^{-1} A_\ell D^{-1} \;&=\; (\mathrm{Id}_n - X_\ell)A_\ell D^{-1} \;+\; (\mathrm{Id}_n + X_\ell)^{-1} X_\ell^2 A_\ell D^{-1} \\ &=\; \mathrm{Id}_n + Y_\ell + V_\ell + W_\ell\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} Y_\ell \;&=\; A_\ell D^{-1} - \mathrm{Id}_n - X_\ell\,, \\ V_\ell \;&=\; -X_\ell(A_\ell D^{-1} - \mathrm{Id}_n)\,, \\ W_\ell \;&=\; X_\ell^2(\mathrm{Id}_n + X_\ell)^{-1} A_\ell D^{-1}\,. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $Y_\ell \in M_n\big(zB\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle\big)$, in particular $f(Y_\ell) = 0$. We estimate using Lemma 1.1.1

$$\begin{aligned} \big\|(\mathrm{Id}_n + X_\ell)^{-1} A_\ell D^{-1}\big\|_r \;&=\; 1\,, \\ \big\|V_\ell\big\|_r \;&\leq\; c_\ell\, c\, h^{-2} \;\leq\; c^{\ell+2}\, h^{-2}\,, \\ \big\|W_\ell\big\|_r \;&\leq\; (c_\ell\, h^{-1})^2 \;\leq\; c^{2\ell+2}\, h^{-2}\,, \\ \big\|Y_\ell\big\|_r \;&\leq\; c\, h^{-1}\,, \\ \big\|DX_\ell^{\sigma}D^{-1}\big\|_r \;&\leq\; \|D\|_r\, \|D^{-1}\|_r\, \|X_\ell\|_r^q \;\leq\; h^{q-1}(c_\ell\, h^{-1})^q \;\leq\; c^{q(\ell+1)}\, h^{-1}\,. \end{aligned}$$

Putting everything together we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_{\ell+1}D^{-1} - \mathrm{Id}_n\|_r \;&=\; \big\|Y_\ell + V_\ell + W_\ell + (\mathrm{Id}_n + X_\ell)^{-1} A_\ell D^{-1}(DX_\ell^{\sigma}D^{-1})\big\|_r \\ &\leq\; c\, h^{-1} \;=\; \|AD^{-1} - \mathrm{Id}_n\|_r\,. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$X_{\ell+1} \;=\; f(V_\ell) + f(W_\ell) + f\big((\mathrm{Id}_n + X_\ell)^{-1} A_\ell D^{-1}(DX_\ell^{\sigma}D^{-1})\big)\,.$$

We compute $c_{\ell+1}\, h^{-1} \;=\; \|X_{\ell+1}\|_r \;\leq\; c^{\ell+2}\, h^{-1}$ and the claim follows.

Since $\|X_\ell\|_r \to 0$ as $\ell \to \infty$ the sequence $U_\ell$ converges to a matrix $U \in \mathrm{GL}_n\big(B\langle \frac{\zeta^r}{z}\rangle\big)$ satisfying $\|U^{-1}AU^{\sigma}D^{-1} - \mathrm{Id}_n\|_r \;\leq\; \|AD^{-1} - \mathrm{Id}_n\|_r \;<\; 1$. Moreover, since $f$ is continuous with respect to the norm $\|\,.\,\|_r$ we obtain

$$f(U^{-1}AU^{\sigma}D^{-1} - \mathrm{Id}_n) \;=\; \lim_{\ell\to\infty} f(A_\ell D^{-1} - \mathrm{Id}_n) \;=\; \lim_{\ell\to\infty} X_\ell \;=\; 0\,.$$

Hence $U^{-1}AU^{\sigma}D^{-1} - \mathrm{Id}_n \;\in M_n\big(zB\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle\big)$. Since $U_{\ell+1} - \mathrm{Id}_n = (U_\ell - \mathrm{Id}_n)(\mathrm{Id}_n + X_\ell) + X_\ell$ we find $\|U_\ell - \mathrm{Id}_n\|_r < 1$ and hence $\|U - \mathrm{Id}_n\|_r < 1$ as desired. (Compare [41, 6.1.1].) □

**Proposition 1.7.2.** *Let $M$ be a $\sigma$-module over $B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}, z^{-1}\}$ and let $x \in \mathcal{M}(B)$ be an analytic point. Then there exists an affinoid neighborhood $\mathcal{M}(B')$ of $x$, a finite étale $B'$-algebra $C$, a lift of $x$ to a point of $\mathcal{M}(C)$, a positive integer $s$, and a $\sigma^s$-module $M'$ over $C\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle[z^{-1}]$ such that $M' \otimes C\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}, z^{-1}\} \cong M \otimes C\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}, z^{-1}\}$ as $\sigma^s$-modules, and such that for all analytic points $y \in \mathcal{M}(C)$ the generic HN-polygon of $M' \otimes \kappa(y)\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle[z^{-1}]$ coincides with the special absolute HN-polygon of $M \otimes \kappa(x)\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}, z^{-1}\}$. The integer $s$ can be chosen to be the smallest common denominator of all the slopes of $M \otimes \kappa(x)\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}, z^{-1}\}$.*

*Proof.* Note that we may assume that the slopes of $M \otimes \kappa(x)\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}, z^{-1}\}$ are integers by replacing $F$ by $F^s$ for a suitable positive integer $s$. In the sequel we write again $q$ for $q^s$ and $\sigma$ for $\sigma^s$ to shorten notation. By Lemma 1.2.8 we may assume that $M \otimes B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}, \frac{\zeta^{qr}}{z}\rangle$ is free. Let $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ be a basis and let $A \in \mathrm{GL}_n\big(B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^{qr}}, \frac{\zeta^{qr}}{z}\rangle\big)$ be the matrix by which $F$ acts on this basis. Consider the base change of $M$ via $B \to \widehat{\kappa(x)^{\mathrm{alg}}} =: \overline{K}$. Since all slopes of $M \otimes \overline{K}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}, z^{-1}\}$ are assumed to be integers, Theorem 1.4.2 provides us with a matrix $W \in \mathrm{GL}_n\big(\overline{K}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}, \frac{\zeta^{qr}}{z}\rangle\big)$ such that $D := W^{-1}AW^{\sigma}$ is a diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are powers of $z$. Put $h := \big(\|D\|_{qr}\,\|D^{-1}\|_{qr}\big)^{1/(q-1)} \geq 1$. By Lemma A.5.1 we find an affinoid neighborhood $\mathcal{M}(B')$ of $x$, a finite étale $B'$-algebra $C$ such that $\mathcal{M}(C)$ contains exactly one point $x'$ above $x$, and a matrix $V \in M_n\big(C\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}, \frac{\zeta^{qr}}{z}\rangle\big)$ with $\|V - W\|_{x',r'} < \|W^{-1}\|^{-1}_{x',r'}\, h^{-1}$ for all $r' \in [r, qr]$. Indeed it suffices to approximate finitely many coefficients in the Laurent series expansion of $W$.

We claim that after shrinking $\mathcal{M}(B')$ the matrix $V$ belongs to $\mathrm{GL}_n\big(C\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}, \frac{\zeta^{qr}}{z}\rangle\big)$. Indeed, since $\|VW^{-1} - \mathrm{Id}_n\|_{x',r'} < 1$ for all $r' \in [r, qr]$ we have $V \in \mathrm{GL}_n\big(\kappa(x')\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}, \frac{\zeta^{qr}}{z}\rangle\big)$ at the point $x'$. By [11, Lemma 9.7.1/1] this is equivalent to the existence of an integer $m$ such that $z^m \det V = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} a_i z^i$ satisfies

$$|a_i\zeta^{ri}|_{x'} \;<\; |a_0|_{x'} \quad \text{for all } i > 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad |a_i\zeta^{qri}|_{x'} \;<\; |a_0|_{x'} \quad \text{for all } i < 0\,. \tag{1.2}$$

Clearly the equations (1.2) are satisfied on a whole affinoid neighborhood $\mathcal{M}(C')$ of $x'$. Using Lemma A.5.2 the claim follows.

In $M_n\big(\overline{K}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^{qr}}, \frac{\zeta^{qr}}{z}\rangle\big)$ we write

$$\begin{aligned} V^{-1}AV^{\sigma}D^{-1} &= (W^{-1}V)^{-1}D(W^{-1}V)^{\sigma}D^{-1} \\ &= \big(W^{-1}(V-W) + \mathrm{Id}_n\big)^{-1} D\,\big(W^{-1}(V-W) + \mathrm{Id}_n\big)^{\sigma} D^{-1} \\ &= \big(W^{-1}(V-W) + \mathrm{Id}_n\big)^{-1} D\,\big(W^{-1}(V-W)\big)^{\sigma} D^{-1} + \big(W^{-1}(V-W) + \mathrm{Id}_n\big)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 1.1.1 we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \big\|\big(W^{-1}(V-W)\big)^{\sigma}\big\|_{x',qr} &= \|W^{-1}(V-W)\|^q_{x',r} \;<\; h^{-q}, \quad \text{and} \\ \big\|\big(W^{-1}(V-W) + \mathrm{Id}_n\big)^{-1} - \mathrm{Id}_n\big\|_{x',qr} &\leq \|W^{-1}(V-W)\|_{x',qr} \;<\; h^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\|V^{-1}AV^{\sigma}D^{-1} - \mathrm{Id}_n\|_{x',qr} \;<\; h^{-1}$ at the point $x'$. Shrinking $\mathcal{M}(B')$ further we may assume that $\|V^{-1}AV^{\sigma}D^{-1} - \mathrm{Id}_n\|_{qr} \;<\; h^{-1}$ holds on all of $\mathcal{M}(C)$. Then Lemma 1.7.1 yields a matrix $U \in \mathrm{GL}_n\big(C\langle\frac{\zeta^{qr}}{z}\rangle\big)$ for which $\widetilde{A} := (VU)^{-1}A(VU)^{\sigma}$ satisfies $\widetilde{A}D^{-1} - \mathrm{Id}_n \in M_n\big(zC\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^{qr}}\rangle\big)$ and $\|\widetilde{A}D^{-1} - \mathrm{Id}_n\|_{qr} \;<\; 1$. In particular $\widetilde{A} \in \mathrm{GL}_n\big(C\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^{qr}}\rangle[z^{-1}]\big)$. Consider the $\sigma$-module $M'$ over $C\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle[z^{-1}]$ with basis $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ on which Frobenius acts by the matrix $\widetilde{A}$. Then by what we have just shown $M' \otimes C\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}, \frac{\zeta^{qr}}{z}\rangle \cong M \otimes C\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}, \frac{\zeta^{qr}}{z}\rangle$, hence $M' \otimes C\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}, z^{-1}\} \cong M \otimes C\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}, z^{-1}\}$ by Proposition 1.4.1. By Lemma 1.5.11 for any point $y \in \mathcal{M}(C)$ the generic HN-slopes of $M' \otimes \widehat{\kappa(y)^{\mathrm{alg}}}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle[z^{-1}]$ are the exponents of $z$ in the diagonal entries of $D$. By construction these are also the special absolute HN-slopes of $M' \otimes \widehat{\kappa(x')^{\mathrm{alg}}}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle[z^{-1}]$. (Compare [41, 6.2.2].) □

From the proof we may also read off the following corollaries.

**Corollary 1.7.3.** *Consider the situation of Proposition 1.7.2*

- *(a) If the absolute HN-slopes of* $M \otimes \kappa(x)\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}, z^{-1}\}$ *are all non-negative then the* $\sigma^s$*-module* $M'$ *contains an* $F^s$*-stable* $C\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle$*-lattice* $N$*.*
- *(b) If the absolute HN-slopes of* $M \otimes \kappa(x)\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}, z^{-1}\}$ *are all equal to* $\frac{d}{s}$ *then the* $\sigma^s$*-module* $M'$ *contains a* $C\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^r}\rangle$*-lattice* $N$ *on which* $z^{-d}F^s : (\sigma^s)^*N \to N$ *is an isomorphism.*

In the situation where $B$ equals $L$ Proposition 1.7.2 takes the following form.

**Corollary 1.7.4.** *Let* $M$ *be a* $\sigma$*-module over* $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$*. Then there exists a finite separable extension* $L'$ *of* $L$*, a positive integer* $s$*, and a* $\sigma^s$*-module* $M'$ *over* $L'\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$ *such that* $M' \otimes L'\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\} \cong M \otimes L'\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ *and the generic and special absolute HN-polygon of* $M'$ *coincide.*

If $M$ is isoclinic we can even say more. For the notion of affinoid covering see Definition A.2.3.

**Theorem 1.7.5.** *Let* $M$ *be a* $\sigma$*-module over* $B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ *which is isoclinic of slope* $\frac{d}{s}$ *with* $d$ *and* $s$ *relatively prime. Then there exists a* $\sigma$*-module* $M'$ *over* $B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$*, isoclinic of slope* $\frac{d}{s}$ *with* $M' \otimes B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\} \cong M$*. It is unique up to canonical isomorphism.*

*Moreover there exists an affinoid covering* $\{\mathcal{M}(B_i)\}_i$ *of* $\mathcal{M}(B)$ *and for each* $i$ *a* $B_i\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle$*-lattice* $N'_i$ *in* $M' \otimes B_i\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$ *on which* $z^{-d}F^s : (\sigma^s)^*N'_i \to N'_i$ *is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* From Proposition 1.7.2 we obtain an affinoid covering $\{\mathcal{M}(B_i)\}_i$ of $\mathcal{M}(B)$, and for each $i$ an isoclinic $\sigma^s$-module $M_i$ over $C_i\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$ for a finite étale $B_i$-algebra $C_i$, with $M_i \otimes C_i\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\} \cong M \otimes C_i\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ as $\sigma^s$-modules. By Corollary 1.7.3 each $M_i$ contains a $C_i\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle$-lattice $N_i$ on which $z^{-d}F^s$ is an isomorphism. Since $(\sigma^*M_i)^\vee \otimes M_i$ contains the lattice $(\sigma^*N_i)^\vee \otimes N_i$ on which $F^s$ is an isomorphism, the isomorphism $F : \sigma^*M \otimes C_i\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\} \to M \otimes C_i\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ comes by Proposition 1.3.5 from an isomorphism $F : \sigma^*M_i \to M_i$. This makes $M_i$ into a $\sigma$-module. The canonical descent datum on $M \otimes C_i\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ permits us again by Proposition 1.3.5 to descend $M_i$ to a $\sigma$-module $M'_i$ over $B_i\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$ with $M'_i \otimes B_i\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\} \cong M \otimes B_i\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$. Moreover, $N_i$ descends to a $B_i\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle$-lattice $N'_i$ in $M'_i$ on which $z^{-d}F^s$ is an isomorphism. Once more by Proposition 1.3.5 the canonical gluing datum for $M$ allows us to glue the $M'_i$ to a $\sigma$-module $M'$ over $B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$ as desired. If $M''$ is another $\sigma$-module over $B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$ with $M'' \otimes B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\} \cong M$ then the isomorphism $M' \otimes B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\} \cong M'' \otimes B\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ comes from an isomorphism $M' \cong M''$ by Proposition 1.3.5. This proves the theorem. (Compare [41, 6.3.3].) □

If $B = L$ is a field the theorem takes the following form:

**Corollary 1.7.6.** *Let* $M$ *be a* $\sigma$*-module over* $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ *which is isoclinic of slope* $\frac{d}{s}$ *with* $d$ *and* $s$ *relatively prime. Then there exists a unique* $\sigma$*-module* $M'$ *over* $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$*, isoclinic of slope* $\frac{d}{s}$ *with* $M' \otimes L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\} \cong M$*. Moreover* $M'$ *contains an* $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle$*-lattice on which* $z^{-d}F^s$ *is an isomorphism.*

**Theorem 1.7.7.** *(Slope Filtration Theorem)*
*Let* $M$ *be a* $\sigma$*-module over* $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$*. Then there exists a unique filtration* $0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \ldots \subset M_\ell = M$ *of* $M$ *by saturated* $\sigma$*-submodules with the following properties:*

- *(a) For* $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$ *the quotient* $M_i/M_{i-1}$ *is isoclinic of some slope* $s_i$*,*
- *(b)* $s_1 < \ldots < s_\ell$*.*

*This filtration coincides with the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of* $M$*.*

*Proof.* Since isoclinic $\sigma$-modules are semistable by definition, any filtration as in (a) and (b) is a Harder-Narasimhan filtration. In particular the filtration is unique if it exists.

To prove the existence, it suffices to show that the HN-filtration of $M \otimes \overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ from Theorem 1.5.13 descends to $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$. By Corollary 1.7.4 there exists a finite separable extension $L'$ of $L$, a positive integer $s$, and a $\sigma^s$-module $N$ over $L'\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$ such that $N \otimes L'\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\} \cong M \otimes L'\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ as $\sigma^s$-modules, and such that the generic and special absolute HN-polygons of $N$ coincide. Clearly we may also assume that $L'$ is Galois over $L$. By Theorem 1.6.7 the HN-filtration of $M \otimes \overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ descends to a filtration of $N$ by $\sigma^s$-submodules, which in turn yields a filtration $0 = M'_0 \subset M'_1 \subset \ldots \subset M'_\ell = M'$ of $M' := M \otimes L'\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ by $\sigma^s$-submodules. Clearly the $M'_i$ are even $\sigma$-submodules since they are stable under $F$ after tensoring up to $\overline{L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$.

To prove that the filtration of $M'$ by the $M'_i$ further descends to $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$, it suffices to show that $M'_1$ descends to $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$. By Lemma 1.2.5 we only need to treat the case where $\operatorname{rk} M'_1 = 1$. In this case $M'_1$ is generated by a vector $v \in M \otimes L'\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$. Let $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ be an $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$-basis of $M$. Then $v = a_1 e_1 + \ldots + a_n e_n$ for $a_i \in L'\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$. For each $g \in \operatorname{Gal}(L'/L)$, ${}^g v$ is a scalar multiple of $v$ since ${}^g v \in M'_1$. Thus $\frac{a_i}{a_j} \in \operatorname{Frac} L'\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ is $\operatorname{Gal}(L'/L)$-invariant for all $i$ and $j$ with $a_j \neq 0$. From Lemma 1.1.3 it follows that $\frac{a_i}{a_j} \in \operatorname{Frac} L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$. Thus $M'_1$ descends to $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ as claimed. (Compare [41, 6.4.1].) □

**Corollary 1.7.8.** *For any complete extension $L'$ of $L$ and any $\sigma$-module $M$ over $L\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$, the HN-filtration of $M \otimes L'\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ coincides with the result of tensoring the HN-filtration of $M$ up to $L'\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$.*

*Proof.* The characterization of the HN-filtration given in Theorem 1.7.7 is stable under base change. □

# Chapter 2

# Hodge-Pink Theory

In this chapter we develop the equal characteristic analogue of $p$-adic Hodge theory. It is based on Pink's invention of Hodge theory over function fields [48] and the concept of local shtuka which replaces the $p$-adic Galois representations from $p$-adic Hodge theory. In particular, we study in Section 2.3 the analogue of the mysterious functor relating crystalline Galois representations and filtered isocrystals. We define in Section 2.2 the analogues of (weakly) admissible filtered isocrystals and show in Section 2.5 that "weakly admissible implies admissible" if the value group of the base field is not $q$-divisible. The actual hypothesis on the base field is even weaker. As a universal tool we apply a criterion for (weak) admissibility in the spirit of Berger's [6] criterion in $p$-adic Hodge theory using $p$-adic differential equations. We prove our criterion in Section 2.4. The Slope Filtration Theorem and the other results from Chapter 1 enter at various places. We begin with an explanation of local shtuka.

## 2.1 Local Shtuka

We retain the notation introduced in Section 1.1. For the notion of formal scheme over $\operatorname{Spf} R$ we refer the reader to [EGA, I §10]. In this article we are particularly interested in formal schemes of the following two types:

- schemes over $\operatorname{Spec} R$ on which $\zeta$ is locally nilpotent,
- admissible formal schemes over $\operatorname{Spf} R$ in the sense of Raynaud; see Appendix A.1.

Let $X$ be a formal scheme over $\operatorname{Spf} R$. We let $\mathcal{O}_X[\![z]\!]$ be the sheaf on $X$ of formal power series in $z$. And we denote by $\sigma$ the endomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_X[\![z]\!]$ that acts as the identity on $z$ and as $b \mapsto b^q$ on local sections $b \in \mathcal{O}_X$. For a sheaf $M$ of $\mathcal{O}_X[\![z]\!]$-modules on $X$ we abbreviate the sheaf $M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X[\![z]\!],\sigma} \mathcal{O}_X[\![z]\!]$ by $\sigma^*M$.

**Definition 2.1.1.** A *local shtuka* of rank $n$ over $X$ is a pair $(M, F_M)$ consisting of a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X[\![z]\!]$-modules on $X$ and an isomorphism $F_M : \sigma^*M[\frac{1}{z-\zeta}] \xrightarrow{\sim} M[\frac{1}{z-\zeta}]$ (where we abbreviate $M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X[\![z]\!]} \mathcal{O}_X[\![z]\!][\frac{1}{z-\zeta}]$ to $M[\frac{1}{z-\zeta}]$) such that the following conditions hold:

(a) locally for the Zariski topology on $X$, $M$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_X[\![z]\!]$-module of rank $n$,

(b) there exists an integer $e$ such that $F_M(\sigma^*M) \subset (z-\zeta)^{-e}M$ and $(z-\zeta)^{-e}M/F_M(\sigma^*M)$ is locally free and coherent as an $\mathcal{O}_X$-module.

A *morphism* of local shtuka $f : (M, F_M) \to (M', F_{M'})$ over $X$ is a morphism of the underlying sheaves $f : M \to M'$ which satisfies $F_{M'} \circ \sigma^* f = f \circ F_M$. An *isogeny* is a morphism $f : (M, F_M) \to (M', F_{M'})$ such that for some morphism $g : (M', F_{M'}) \to (M, F_M)$ the compositions $f \circ g$ and $g \circ f$ equal multiplication with a power of $z$.

Before we proceed further let us mention the main sources from which local shtuka arise.

**Example 2.1.2.** Let $C$ be a smooth projective geometrically irreducible curve over $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{F}_q$ and let $\infty \in C$ be a closed point. Put $A := \Gamma(C \smallsetminus \{\infty\}, \mathcal{O}_C)$. Let $P \in C \smallsetminus \{\infty\}$ be another closed point with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \subset A$. Let $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{C,P}$ be the completed local ring and let $R$ be a complete extension of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{C,P}$. Denote by $c : A \to R$ the inclusion morphism and by $\mathcal{I}$ the kernel of $c \otimes \mathrm{id} : A \otimes R \to R$. We denote a uniformizing parameter of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{C,P}$ by $z$ and its image in $R$ by $\zeta$. Let $L$ be the fraction field of $R$. For a formal $R$-scheme $X$ consider the completion of $C_X := C \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} X$ along the closed subscheme $\{P\} \times X$. Its structure sheaf is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_X[\![z]\!]$.

(a) Let $X = \operatorname{Spf} R$ and let $(E, \varphi)$ be a *Drinfeld-$A$-module* [22] or more generally an *abelian $t$-module* [1] over $L$. Let $(M_L, F)$ be the *$t$-motive* associated with $(E, \varphi)$ (more precisely the Drinfeld-Anderson-$A$-motive, see [49, §5]). $M_L$ is a locally free coherent $A \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} L$-module equipped with an injective morphism $F : \sigma^* M_L \to M_L$, where

$$\sigma^* M_L \;:=\; M_L \otimes_{A \otimes L,\, \mathrm{id} \otimes Frob_q} A \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} L\,,$$

such that $\operatorname{coker} F$ is annihilated by a power of $\mathcal{I}$.

Assume that $(M_L, F)$ has *good reduction*, that is there exists a locally free coherent $A \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} R$-module $M$ with $M_L = M \otimes_R L$ such that $F$ restricted to $M$ gives a morphism $F : \sigma^* M \to M$ whose cokernel is a finite free $R$-module and annihilated by a power of $\mathcal{I}$. Then the completion $(M \otimes R[\![z]\!], F \otimes \mathrm{id})$ of $(M, F)$ along $\{P\} \times \operatorname{Spf} R$ is a local shtuka over $\operatorname{Spf} R$. It can be viewed as a kind of Dieudonné module of the $\mathfrak{m}$-divisible group

$$\varinjlim_n E[\mathfrak{m}^n] \qquad \text{where} \quad E[\mathfrak{m}^n] \;:=\; \bigcap_{a \in \mathfrak{m}^n} \ker \varphi_a\,.$$

of $(E, \varphi)$; see [32]. Recall that abelian $t$-modules are function field analogues of abelian varieties, so the $\varinjlim E[\mathfrak{m}^n]$ are analogues of $p$-divisible groups. In that sense we are developing here the local theory of good reduction of $t$-motives at places which "divide the residue characteristic".

(b) Let $(\mathcal{F}_i, \Pi_i, \tau_i)$ be an *abelian sheaf* of characteristic $c$ over $X$, see [31, §1]. Then the completions $\mathcal{F}_i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{C_X}} \mathcal{O}_X[\![z]\!]$ of $\mathcal{F}_i$ along $\{P\} \times X$ are all isomorphic via $\Pi_i$. Let us denote their common value by $M$. Let us further denote the common value of the $\tau_i \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{O}_X[\![z]\!]}$ by $F$. Then $(M, F)$ is a local shtuka over $X$. We may even allow $P = \infty$ here. Then the formation of $(M, F)$ is slightly more complicated and was described in [31, Construction 7.13].

(c) Let

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{E} \arrow[r, "j"] & \mathcal{E}' \\ \sigma^*\mathcal{E} \arrow[ur, "t"'] & \end{tikzcd} \qquad \Bigg(\text{resp.} \quad \begin{tikzcd} & \mathcal{E} \\ \mathcal{E}' \arrow[ur, "t"] \arrow[r, "j"'] & \sigma^*\mathcal{E} \end{tikzcd} \Bigg)$$

be a *right* (respectively *left*) *shtuka* [23] over $X$. Assume that $\operatorname{coker} t$ is supported on $\{P\} \times X$ and that the support of $\operatorname{coker} j$ is disjoint from $\{P\} \times X$. Let $M := \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{C_X}} \mathcal{O}_X[\![z]\!]$ be the completion of $\mathcal{E}$ at $\{P\} \times X$ and let $F := j^{-1} \circ t : \sigma^* M[\tfrac{1}{z-\zeta}] \xrightarrow{\sim} M[\tfrac{1}{z-\zeta}]$. Then $(M, F)$ is a local shtuka over $X$. The sense in which $(M, F)$ is *local* is with respect to the *coefficients*: $M$ lives over $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{C,P}$ as opposed to over all of $C$. This example gave rise to the name local shtuka.

Local Shtuka are investigated in great detail in [32]. As mentioned in Example (a) they take up the role in the function field case that is played by $p$-divisible groups in number theory. The concept of local shtuka is actually even more general since it is not restricted to weights 0 and 1; see below. In fact if $X = \operatorname{Spec} k$ is the spectrum of a field in which $\zeta = 0$, local shtuka over $X$ are precisely the analogues of $F$-crystals. Studying local shtuka up to isogeny leads to the notion of local isoshtuka.

**Definition 2.1.3.** Let $X$ be a formal scheme over $\operatorname{Spf} R$ on which $\zeta$ is locally nilpotent. A *local isoshtuka* of rank $n$ over $X$ is a pair $(D, F_D)$ consisting of a sheaf $D$ of $\mathcal{O}_X[\![z]\!][z^{-1}]$-modules on $X$ which Zariski locally on $X$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_X[\![z]\!][z^{-1}]$-module of rank $n$, and an isomorphism $F_D : \sigma^* D \xrightarrow{\sim} D$.

Local isoshtuka over a field in which $\zeta$ is zero are also called *$z$-isocrystals* since they behave very much like $F$-isocrystals in mixed characteristics; see [32].

A *morphism* of local isoshtuka over $X$ is a morphism of the underlying sheaves which is compatible with the $F$'s as in Definition 2.1.1.

Note that a local isoshtuka over $\operatorname{Spf} A$ is nothing but a $\sigma$-module over $A[\![z]\!][z^{-1}]$ as defined in Section 1.2. We view a local shtuka over $X$ as an $\mathcal{O}_X[\![z]\!]$-lattice in the associated local isoshtuka. We define the *tensor product* of two local shtuka $(M, F_M)$ and $(N, F_N)$ over $X$ as the local shtuka

$$\big(M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X[\![z]\!]} N\,,\; F_M \otimes F_N\big)\,.$$

The local shtuka $(\mathcal{O}_X[\![z]\!], F = \sigma)$ is a *unit object* for the tensor product. Also there is a natural definition of *internal Hom's*. In particular the *dual* $(M^\vee, F_{M^\vee})$ of a local shtuka $(M, F_M)$ over $X$ is defined as the sheaf $M^\vee = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X[\![z]\!]}\big(M, \mathcal{O}_X[\![z]\!]\big)$ together with

$$F_{M^\vee} \;=\; (\,.\circ F_M^{-1}) :\; \sigma^* M^\vee[\tfrac{1}{z-\zeta}] \xrightarrow{\sim} M^\vee[\tfrac{1}{z-\zeta}]\,.$$

Similar definitions apply to the category of local isoshtuka over $X$ making the category of local shtuka (respectively isoshtuka) over $X$ into an $\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]$-linear (respectively $\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)$-linear) additive rigid tensor category. If $X$ is the spectrum of a field then the category of local isoshtuka over $X$ is abelian. In general the category of local (iso-)shtuka is an exact category in the sense of Quillen [50, §2] if one calls a short sequence of local shtuka *exact* when the underlying sequence of sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_X[\![z]\!]$-modules is exact (compare Definition 1.2.3).

For a morphism $\pi : X' \to X$ of formal schemes over $\operatorname{Spf} R$ we define the *pullback functor* $(M, F_M) \mapsto \big(M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X[\![z]\!]} \mathcal{O}_{X'}[\![z]\!], F_M \otimes \mathrm{id}\big)$ from local shtuka over $X$ to local shtuka over $X'$ (and similarly for local isoshtuka).

Local shtuka give rise to *Galois representations* as follows. Let $X$ be a quasi-paracompact admissible formal scheme over $\operatorname{Spf} R$ and let $X_L$ be the rigid analytic space over $L$ associated to $X$; see Appendix A.2. Let $\bar{x}$ be a geometric base point of $X_L$ and let $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_L, \bar{x})$ be the étale fundamental group (A.4.2). For example take $X = \operatorname{Spf} R\,,\; X_L = \operatorname{Sp} L\,,\; \mathcal{O}_X = R\,,\; \mathcal{O}_{X_L} = L\,,$ $(\bar{x} \to X_L) = (\overline{L} \hookleftarrow L)\,,\; \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_L, \bar{x}) = \mathrm{Gal}(L^{\mathrm{sep}}/L)$. To any local shtuka $M$ over $X$ consider the $\sigma$-module $\big(M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X[\![z]\!]} \mathcal{O}_{X_L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle\,,\, F_M \otimes \mathrm{id}\big)$ over $\mathcal{O}_{X_L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle$. By Proposition 1.3.5

$$T_z M \;:=\; \Big(\big(M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X[\![z]\!]} \mathcal{O}_{X_L}[\![z]\!]/(z^m)\big)^F\Big)_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0}$$

is a local system of $\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]$-lattices on $X_L$. We call $T_z M$ the *Tate module* of $M$. Its stalk $(T_z M)_{\bar{x}}$ at $\bar{x}$ defines a representation of the étale fundamental group $\rho_M : \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_L, \bar{x}) \to \mathrm{GL}_n\big(\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]\big)$; see Proposition A.4.5. For a topological group $G$ denote by $\underline{\mathrm{Rep}}_{\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]} G$ the category of continuous representations in finite free $\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]$-modules.

**Proposition 2.1.4.** *Let $X_L$ be connected. Then the functor $M \mapsto \rho_M$ from the category of local shtuka over $X$ to $\underline{\mathrm{Rep}}_{\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]}\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_L, \bar{x})$ is a faithful $\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]$-linear exact tensor functor.*

*Proof.* Note that our functor is the composite of the $\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]$-linear tensor functors

$$M \;\longmapsto\; M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X[\![z]\!]} \mathcal{O}_{X_L}[\![z]\!] \;\longmapsto\; T_z M \;\longmapsto\; \rho_M\,.$$

The first is clearly faithful and exact and the second is faithful and exact by Proposition 1.3.7. The third is faithful by Proposition A.4.5 and exact by [38, Lemma 3.3.1] and [EGA, $0_{\mathrm{III}}$, Proposition 13.2.2]. □

We have not investigated the question when $M \mapsto \rho_M$ is fully faithful. Obviously it is not full if $X = \operatorname{Spf} R$ for $L$ algebraically closed, since then the non-isomorphic local shtuka

$$\mathbb{1}(n) \;:=\; \big(M = \mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]\,,\; F_M : \sigma^*M \to M\,,\, 1 \;\mapsto\; (z-\zeta)^n\big)$$

for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ have isomorphic $\rho_{\mathbb{1}(n)}$. See also Remark 2.3.5.

## 2.2 Hodge-Pink Structures

We define the analogues of Fontaine's filtered isocrystals [26]. The role of isocrystals is played in equal characteristic by the $z$-isocrystals defined in the previous section (Definition 2.1.3). The Hodge filtration is replaced by a Hodge-Pink structure. The theory of mixed Hodge-structures over $\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)$ was developed by Pink [48] in analogy with the classical theory of Hodge-structures over local fields of characteristic zero. In the case of "good reduction" which we focus on here, we make the following definition which is a variant of Pink's definition. Let $\mathcal{O}_K \supset R$ be a valuation ring of Krull dimension one which is complete and separated with respect to the $\zeta$-adic topology. Let $K$ be its fraction field, $\mathfrak{m}_K$ its maximal ideal, and $k$ its residue field. Denote by $K[\![z-\zeta]\!]$ the ring of formal power series over $K$ in the "variable" $z-\zeta$ and by $K(\!(z-\zeta)\!)$ its fraction field. We will always assume that there is a *fixed section* $k \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_K$ of the residue map $\mathcal{O}_K \to k$. It yields a homomorphism $k(\!(z)\!) \to K[\![z-\zeta]\!]$ by mapping $z$ to $z = \zeta + (z-\zeta)$.

**Definition 2.2.1.** A *$z$-isocrystal with Hodge-Pink structure* over $K$ is a triple $\underline{D} = (D, F_D, \mathfrak{q}_D)$ consisting of a $z$-isocrystal $(D, F_D)$ over $k$ and a $K[\![z-\zeta]\!]$-lattice $\mathfrak{q}_D$ of full rank inside $\sigma^*D \otimes_{k(\!(z)\!)} K(\!(z-\zeta)\!)$.

A *morphism* between $z$-isocrystals with Hodge-Pink structure $(D, F_D, \mathfrak{q}_D)$ and $(D', F_{D'}, \mathfrak{q}_{D'})$ is a morphism $f : D \to D'$ of $z$-isocrystals which satisfies $f(\mathfrak{q}_D) \subset \mathfrak{q}_{D'}$. Such a morphism is called *strict* if

$$f(\mathfrak{q}_D) \;=\; \mathfrak{q}_{D'} \cap f(\sigma^*D) \otimes_{k(\!(z)\!)} K(\!(z-\zeta)\!)\,.$$

In addition to $\mathfrak{q}_D$ there always is the tautological lattice $\mathfrak{p}_D = \sigma^*D \otimes_{k(\!(z)\!)} K[\![z-\zeta]\!]$. We define the *Hodge-Pink weights* of $(D, F_D, \mathfrak{q}_D)$ as the elementary divisors of $\mathfrak{q}_D$ relative to $\mathfrak{p}_D$. More precisely if $e$ is a large enough integer such that $(z-\zeta)^e\mathfrak{p}_D \subset \mathfrak{q}_D$ and

$$\mathfrak{q}_D/(z-\zeta)^e\mathfrak{p}_D \;\cong\; \bigoplus_{i=1}^n K[\![z-\zeta]\!]/(z-\zeta)^{w_i+e}$$

then the Hodge-Pink weights are the integers $w_1, \ldots, w_n$, which we usually assume ordered $w_1 \le \ldots \le w_m$. Alternatively if $e$ is large enough such that $\mathfrak{q}_D \subset (z-\zeta)^{-e}\mathfrak{p}_D$ then the Hodge-Pink weights are characterized by

$$(z-\zeta)^{-e}\mathfrak{p}_D/\mathfrak{q}_D \;\cong\; \bigoplus_{i=1}^n K[\![z-\zeta]\!]/(z-\zeta)^{e-w_i}\,.$$

The category of $z$-isocrystals with Hodge-Pink structure possesses a *tensor product* by setting

$$\underline{D} \otimes \underline{D}' \;=\; \big(D \otimes_{k((z))} D', F_D \otimes F_{D'}, \mathfrak{q}_D \otimes_{K[\![z-\zeta]\!]} \mathfrak{q}_{D'}\big)\,,$$

*duals* by setting

$$\underline{D}^\vee \;=\; \big(D^\vee, F_{D^\vee}, \mathrm{Hom}_{K[\![z-\zeta]\!]}(\mathfrak{q}_D, K[\![z-\zeta]\!])\big)\,,$$

*internal Hom's*, and the *unit object* $\big(k((z)), F = \sigma, \mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}\big)$. The endomorphism ring of the unit object is $\mathbb{F}_q((z))$. A *subobject* of $(D, F_D, \mathfrak{q}_D)$ is given by $(D', F_{D'}, \mathfrak{q}_{D'})$ where $D'$ is an $F_D$-stable $k((z))$-subspace of $D$, $F_{D'} = F_D|_{D'}$, and $\mathfrak{q}_{D'} \subset \mathfrak{q}_D \cap \sigma^*D' \otimes_{k((z))} K((z-\zeta))$. If this last inclusion is an equality we say that $(D', F_{D'}, \mathfrak{q}_{D'})$ is a *strict subobject* of $(D, F_D, \mathfrak{q}_D)$. Clearly every subobject is contained in a uniquely determined strict subobject with the same underlying $z$-isocrystal. Dually a *factor object* of $(D, F_D, \mathfrak{q}_D)$ is given by $(D'', F_{D''}, \mathfrak{q}_{D''})$ where $(D'', F_{D''})$ is an $F$-equivariant quotient of $(D, F_D)$ and $\mathfrak{q}_{D''}$ contains the corresponding quotient of $\mathfrak{q}_D$. It is called a *strict factor object* if moreover $\mathfrak{q}_{D''}$ equals the quotient of $\mathfrak{q}_D$.

The category of $z$-isocrystals with Hodge-Pink structure is an $\mathbb{F}_q((z))$-linear additive rigid tensor category. It possesses kernels and cokernels, but is not abelian since the kernel of a morphism always is a strict subobject. Nevertheless, it is an exact category in the sense of Quillen [50, §2] when we make the following

**Definition 2.2.2.** A short sequence of $z$-isocrystals with Hodge-Pink structure

$$0 \longrightarrow \underline{D}' \xrightarrow{\;f\;} \underline{D} \xrightarrow{\;g\;} \underline{D}'' \longrightarrow 0$$

is called *(strict) exact* if the underlying sequence of $z$-isocrystals is exact and $f$ and $g$ are strict morphisms, that is $g(\mathfrak{q}_D) = \mathfrak{q}_{D''}$ and $f$ identifies $\mathfrak{q}_{D'}$ with $\mathfrak{q}_D \cap \sigma^*D' \otimes_{k((z))} K((z-\zeta))$.

**Remark 2.2.3.** In equal characteristic $z$-isocrystals with Hodge-Pink structure are the analogues of filtered isocrystals. Indeed the lattice $\mathfrak{q}_D$ determines a decreasing filtration $Fil^\bullet$ on the $K$-vector space $D_K := \sigma^*D \otimes_{k((z))} K[\![z-\zeta]\!]/(z-\zeta)$ by putting

$$Fil^i D_K \;:=\; \big(\mathfrak{p}_D \cap (z-\zeta)^i \mathfrak{q}_D\big) / \big((z-\zeta)\mathfrak{p}_D \cap (z-\zeta)^i \mathfrak{q}_D\big)\,.$$

It is called the *Hodge-Pink filtration* of $\underline{D}$.

However there is a fundamental difference to $p$-adic Hodge theory in that the lattice $\mathfrak{q}_D$ contains more information than just the Hodge-Pink filtration. Let us explain the reason for this. The $\mathbb{F}_q((z))$-algebra $K((z-\zeta))$ plays the role of Fontaine's filtered $\mathbb{Q}_p$-algebra $B_{dR}$ which is a discretely valued field with valuation ring $B_{dR}^+$, uniformizing parameter $t$, and residue field $\mathbb{C}_p$. Let us recall the situation in $p$-adic Hodge theory. If $K$ is a finite unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and $(D, F_D, Fil^\bullet D_K)$ is a filtered isocrystal then we can construct a $B_{dR}^+$-lattice $\mathfrak{q}_D$ in $\mathfrak{p}_D \otimes_{B_{dR}^+} B_{dR}$ where $\mathfrak{p}_D := D_K \otimes_K B_{dR}^+$, by setting

$$\mathfrak{q}_D \;:=\; Fil^0(D_K \otimes_K B_{dR})\,.$$

This lattice conversely determines the Hodge filtration by

$$Fil^i D_K \;=\; \Big(\big(\mathfrak{p}_D \cap t^i \mathfrak{q}_D\big) / \big(t\,\mathfrak{p}_D \cap t^i \mathfrak{q}_D\big)\Big)^{\mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{sep}}/K)}\,.$$

In this 1-1-correspondence between filtrations and lattices not all possible $B_{dR}^+$-lattices can arise. For example if

$$D_K = K^2\,, \quad Fil^0 D_K \;=\; D_K \;\supset\; Fil^1 D_K \;=\; Fil^2 D_K \;=\; K \cdot v \;\supset\; Fil^3 D_K \;=\; 0$$

for a vector $v \in D_K \smallsetminus \{0\}$ then

$$\mathfrak{q}_D \;=\; \mathfrak{p}_D \;+\; t^{-2}B_{dR}^{+}\cdot v$$

and the lattice $\mathfrak{q}_D = \mathfrak{p}_D + t^{-2}B_{dR}^{+}\cdot(v+tv')$ can not occur if $v'$ is not a scalar multiple of $v$. On the other hand, in the theory of Hodge-Pink structures there is no such restriction. In mixed characteristic the restriction stems from the facts that only $\mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{sep}}/K)$-stable lattices can occur in this way and that $B_{dR}^{+}$ is a successive extension of the Galois modules $\mathbb{C}_p(0)$ by $\mathbb{C}_p(1), \mathbb{C}_p(2), \ldots$.

Now in equal characteristic, if $\mathbb{C}$ denotes the completion of an algebraic closure of $K$, the $\mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{sep}}/K)$-modules $\mathbb{C}(i)$ are all isomorphic as was observed by Anderson [2] and due to this pathology there is no restriction on $\mathfrak{q}_D$ in equal characteristic. Moreover in equal characteristic the fixed field of $\mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{sep}}/K)$ inside $\mathbb{C}$ is much larger than $K$. Namely by the Ax-Sen-Tate Theorem [4] it contains the completion of the perfection of $K$. Therefore we must require that $\mathfrak{q}_D$ is a lattice in $\sigma^*D\otimes_{k((z))}K((z-\zeta))$ instead of a $\mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{sep}}/K)$-stable lattice in $\sigma^*D\otimes_{k((z))}\mathbb{C}((z-\zeta))$ like one does in $p$-adic Hodge theory.

Let $\underline{D} = (D, F_D, \mathfrak{q}_D)$ be a $z$-isocrystal of rank $n$ with Hodge-Pink structure over $K$. After choosing a basis of $D$, we let $\Phi_D \in \mathrm{GL}_n\big(k((z))\big)$ be the matrix by which $F_D$ acts on this basis. We define the *Newton slope* $t_N(\underline{D}) := \mathrm{ord}_z(\det \Phi_D)$ as the valuation with respect to $z$ of $\det\Phi_D$. It does not depend on the chosen basis. On the other hand we define the *Hodge slope* $t_H(\underline{D}) = w_1 + \ldots + w_n$ as the sum of the Hodge-Pink weights of $\underline{D}$.

**Definition 2.2.4.** We say that a $z$-isocrystal with Hodge-Pink structure is *weakly admissible* if $t_H(\underline{D}) = t_N(\underline{D})$ and one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

(a) $t_H(\underline{D}') \le t_N(\underline{D}')$ for any (strict) subobject $\underline{D}'$ of $\underline{D}$,

(b) $t_H(\underline{D}'') \ge t_N(\underline{D}'')$ for any (strict) factor object $\underline{D}''$ of $\underline{D}$.

*Proof.* The equivalence of (a) and (b) is standard, see for example [48, Proposition 4.4]. □

The arguments of [48, §§4,5] can easily be adapted to our situation to yield the following result. Note that what we called weakly admissible here is called semistable in [48].

**Theorem 2.2.5.** *The weakly admissible $z$-isocrystals with Hodge-Pink structure form a full subcategory of the category of $z$-isocrystals with Hodge-Pink structure, which has the following properties.*

*(a) It is closed under the formation of tensor, symmetric, and exterior products, duals, extensions, kernels, and cokernels. Moreover it is abelian.*

*(b) A direct sum of two $z$-isocrystals with Hodge-Pink structure is weakly admissible if and only if each summand is weakly admissible.*

## 2.3 The Mysterious Functor

We come to the analogue of Grothendieck's [30] mysterious functor which was defined by Fontaine [27]. This analogue was first constructed by A. Genestier and V. Lafforgue [28] for discretely valued fields $K$. Let us recall its definition. For reasons why this functor should indeed be considered the analogue of Fontaine's functor see Remark 2.3.5.

We keep the notation of the previous section. Let $(M, F_M)$ be a local shtuka over $\operatorname{Spf} \mathcal{O}_K$. It gives rise to a $z$-isocrystal $(D, F_D) = (M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]} k(\!(z)\!), F_M \otimes \mathrm{id})$ over $k$. Consider the $\mathcal{O}_K$-algebras

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}_K[\![z, \tfrac{\zeta^r}{z}\rangle \quad &:= \quad \Big\{ \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} b_i z^i : \; b_i \in \mathcal{O}_K \,, \, |b_i \zeta^{ri}| \to 0 \; (i \to -\infty) \Big\} \qquad \text{and} \\
\mathcal{O}_K[\![z, z^{-1}\} \quad &:= \quad \Big\{ \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} b_i z^i : \; b_i \in \mathcal{O}_K \,, \, |b_i \zeta^{ri}| \to 0 \; (i \to -\infty) \text{ for all } r > 0 \Big\} \,.
\end{aligned}$$

The later is contained in $K\{z, z^{-1}\}$. We set $t := \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0} (1 - \frac{\zeta^{q^i}}{z}) \in \mathbb{F}_q[\![\zeta]\!][\![z, z^{-1}\}$. The following lemma is taken from [28, Lemma 2.1]. For convenience of the reader we reproduce the proof from [28].

**Lemma 2.3.1.** *If $K$ is discretely valued there is a unique functorial isomorphism*

$$\delta_M : \; M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]} \mathcal{O}_K[\![z, z^{-1}\}[t^{-1}] \; \xrightarrow{\;\sim\;} \; D \otimes_{k(\!(z)\!)} \mathcal{O}_K[\![z, z^{-1}\}[t^{-1}]$$

*which satisfies $\delta_M \circ F_M = F_D \circ \sigma^* \delta_M$ and which reduces to the identity modulo $\mathfrak{m}_K$.*

*Proof.* Fix an $\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]$-basis of $M$ and consider the induced $k(\!(z)\!)$-basis of $D$. Denote the matrices by which $F_M$ and $F_D$ act on these bases by $A \in \mathrm{GL}_n\big(\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!][\frac{1}{z-\zeta}]\big)$ and $B = A \bmod \mathfrak{m}_K \in \mathrm{GL}_n\big(k(\!(z)\!)\big)$. To prove the existence of $\delta_M$ consider first the case where $A^{-1} \in M_n\big(\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]\big)$. Via the section $k \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_K$ we view $B$ as an element of $\mathrm{GL}_n\big(\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!][z^{-1}]\big)$ and we put

$$C_m \;:=\; B \cdot B^{\sigma} \cdot \ldots \cdot B^{\sigma^m} \cdot (A^{\sigma^m})^{-1} \cdot \ldots \cdot (A^{\sigma})^{-1} \cdot A^{-1} \quad \in \; M_n\big(\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!][z^{-1}]\big) \,.$$

Let $\pi$ be a uniformizer of $\mathcal{O}_K$ and let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ be a constant with $A \in M_n\big((z-\zeta)^{-d}\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]\big)$. Then $B \in M_n\big(z^{-d}\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]\big)$ and $A^{-1} - B^{-1} \in M_n\big(\pi\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]\big)$. It follows that $C_m A = B C_{m-1}^{\sigma}$ and

$$C_m - C_{m-1} \;=\; B \cdot \ldots \cdot B^{\sigma^m} \cdot (A^{-1} - B^{-1})^{\sigma^m} \cdot (A^{\sigma^{m-1}})^{-1} \cdot \ldots \cdot A^{-1} \quad \in \; M_n\big(\tfrac{\pi^{q^m}}{z^{(m+1)d}}\, \mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]\big) \,.$$

Thus the sequence $C_m$ converges in $M_n\big(\mathcal{O}_K[\![z, z^{-1}\}\big)$ to a matrix $C$ which satisfies $CA = BC^{\sigma}$ and $C \equiv \mathrm{Id}_n \bmod \mathfrak{m}_K$.

Since $(z-\zeta)^d A \in M_n\big(\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]\big)$ and hence $(z^d B)^{-1} \in M_n\big(z^{-d}\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]\big)$ the same reasoning shows that

$$\widetilde{C}_m \;:=\; (z-\zeta)^d A \cdot \ldots \cdot \big((z-\zeta)^d A\big)^{\sigma^m} \cdot (z^{-d}B^{-1})^{\sigma^m} \cdot \ldots \cdot (z^{-d}B^{-1})$$

converges in $M_n\big(\mathcal{O}_K[\![z, z^{-1}\}\big)$ to a matrix $\widetilde{C}$ with $\widetilde{C}\, z^d B = (z-\zeta)^d A \widetilde{C}^{\sigma}$ and $\widetilde{C} \equiv \mathrm{Id}_n \bmod \mathfrak{m}_K$. In particular

$$C_m \widetilde{C}_m \;=\; \prod_{i=0}^{m} (1 - \tfrac{\zeta^{q^i}}{z})^d \cdot \mathrm{Id}_n \;=\; \widetilde{C}_m C_m$$

converges to $C\widetilde{C} = \widetilde{C}C = t^d\, \mathrm{Id}_m$. This shows that $C \in \mathrm{GL}_n\big(\mathcal{O}_K[\![z, z^{-1}\}[t^{-1}]\big)$ and $C$ gives the desired isomorphism $\delta_M$.

It remains to show that $\delta_M$ is uniquely determined and functorial. We establish both assertions simultaneously by proving the following claim: If $f : (M_1, F_{M_1}) \to (M_2, F_{M_2})$ is a morphism of local shtuka over $\mathcal{O}_K$ and

$$\delta_i : \; M_i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]} \mathcal{O}_K[\![z, z^{-1}\}[t^{-1}] \; \xrightarrow{\;\sim\;} \; D_i \otimes_{k(\!(z)\!)} \mathcal{O}_K[\![z, z^{-1}\}[t^{-1}]$$

are isomorphisms with $\delta_i \circ F_{M_i} = F_{D_i} \circ \sigma^* \delta_i$ and $\delta_i \equiv \mathrm{id} \bmod \mathfrak{m}_K$ then $\delta_2 \circ f = (f \bmod \mathfrak{m}_K) \circ \delta_1$.

Fix bases of $M_i$ and reduce them to bases of $D_i = M_i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]} k(\!(z)\!)$. Let $A_i, B_i$ and $C_i$ be the matrices by which $F_{M_i}, F_{D_i}$ and $\delta_i$ act on these bases. Let $H$ be the matrix corresponding to $f$ and set $\overline{H} := H \bmod \mathfrak{m}_K$. We must show that $C_2 H = \overline{H} C_1$. By construction $\widetilde{H} := C_2 H - \overline{H} C_1$ satisfies $\widetilde{H} \in M_{n_2 \times n_1}\big(\pi \mathcal{O}_K[\![z, \frac{\zeta^{1/q}}{z}\rangle\big)$ and $\widetilde{H} A_1 = B_2 \widetilde{H}^\sigma$. So in fact $\widetilde{H} \in M_{n_2 \times n_1}\big(\pi^q \mathcal{O}_K[\![z, \frac{\zeta^{1/q}}{z}\rangle\big)$ and iterating this argument shows that $\widetilde{H} = 0$ as desired. $\square$

As a consequence of this lemma $\sigma^*\delta_M$ induces an isomorphism

$$\sigma^*\delta_M :\ \sigma^* M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]} K[\![z-\zeta]\!] \ \xrightarrow{\ \sim\ }\ \sigma^* D \otimes_{k(\!(z)\!)} K[\![z-\zeta]\!] \ = \ \mathfrak{p}_D \,.$$

The isomorphism $F_M$ extends to an isomorphism

$$F_M :\ \sigma^* M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]} K(\!(z-\zeta)\!) \ \xrightarrow{\ \sim\ }\ M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]} K(\!(z-\zeta)\!) \,.$$

We put $\mathfrak{q}_D := \sigma^*\delta_M \circ F_M^{-1}\big(M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]} K[\![z-\zeta]\!]\big)$. This defines a functor $\mathbb{H}$ which associates to the local shtuka $(M, F_M)$ over $\mathcal{O}_K$ a $z$-isocrystal with Hodge-Pink structure $(D, F_D, \mathfrak{q}_D)$ over $K$. By construction it transforms isogenies into isomorphisms and hence factors through the category of local shtuka over $\mathcal{O}_K$ up to isogeny.

The author had initially hoped that the functor $\mathbb{H}$ might also exist if $K$ is not discretely valued but this is not the case. The situation is as follows. Let $K$ be arbitrary and consider the category of *rigidified local shtuka* over $\mathcal{O}_K$, that is triples $(M, F_M, \delta_M)$ where $(M, F_M)$ is a local shtuka over $\mathcal{O}_K$ and

$$\delta_M :\ M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]} \mathcal{O}_K[\![z, z^{-1}\}[t^{-1}] \ \xrightarrow{\ \sim\ }\ D \otimes_{k(\!(z)\!)} \mathcal{O}_K[\![z, z^{-1}\}[t^{-1}]$$

is an isomorphism with $\delta_M \circ F_M = F_D \circ \sigma^*\delta_M$ and $\delta_M \equiv \mathrm{id} \bmod \mathfrak{m}_K$, and where as above $(D, F_D) = (M, F_M) \bmod \mathfrak{m}_K$. A morphism in this category is a morphism $f : (M, F_M) \to (M', F_{M'})$ of local shtuka which satisfies $\delta_{M'} \circ f = (f \bmod \mathfrak{m}_K) \circ \delta_M$. On the category of rigidified local shtuka one defines the functor $\mathbb{H} : (M, F_M, \delta_M) \mapsto (D, F_D, \mathfrak{q}_D)$ exactly as above. This yields a diagram of functors

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \big\{ \text{local shtuka over } \mathcal{O}_K \text{ up to isogeny} \big\} \\
\big\{ \text{rigidified local shtuka over } \mathcal{O}_K \text{ up to isogeny} \big\} \arrow[ur, "\alpha"'] \arrow[dr, "\mathbb{H}"] & \\
 & \big\{ z\text{-isocrystals with Hodge-Pink structure over } K \big\}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\alpha$ is the forgetful functor. Lemma 2.3.1 shows that $\alpha$ is an equivalence of categories if $K$ is discretely valued. We will further show that

- $\alpha$ is always faithful (this is obvious),
- for $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$ fixed, $\alpha$ restricted to the categories where the $\sigma$-module $M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]} K\{z, \frac{\zeta^{1/q}}{z}\rangle$ is isoclinic of slope $\lambda$ is an equivalence of categories (Proposition 2.3.8),
- if $K$ is discretely valued $\alpha$ is an equivalence of categories (Genestier-Lafforgue, Lemma 2.3.1),
- if $K$ is algebraically closed $\alpha$ is essentially surjective but not full (Proposition 2.3.9),

- consider the following condition on the field $K$:

  $$\begin{array}{ll}\text{let } \widetilde{K} \text{ be the closure of the compositum } k^{\mathrm{alg}}K \text{ inside } \overline{K} \text{ and assume that} & \\ \widetilde{K} \text{ does not contain an element } a \text{ with } 0<|a|<1 \text{ such that all the } q\text{-th} & (2.1) \\ \text{roots of } a \text{ also lie in } \widetilde{K} & \end{array}$$

  if $K$ satisfies (2.1) then $\alpha$ is full but need not be essentially surjective (Lemma 2.3.7 and Example 2.3.10),

- the functors from the categories of the global objects from Example 2.1.2 to the category of local shtuka all factor canonically through the category of rigidified local shtuka (Remark 2.3.11).

The above condition (2.1) will also appear in Theorem 2.5.3 as the minimal requirement on $K$ under which every weakly admissible $z$-isocrystal with Hodge-Pink structure arises from a rigidified local shtuka. So let us remark that (2.1) is satisfied whenever the value group of $K$ is not $q$-divisible. This is due to the fact that the value groups of $K$ and $\widetilde{K}$ coincide.

However, before we prove these properties of the functor $\alpha$ let us study the functor $\mathbb{H}$.

**Proposition 2.3.2.** *The functor $\mathbb{H}$ from the category of rigidified local shtuka over $\operatorname{Spf}\mathcal{O}_K$ up to isogeny to the category of $z$-isocrystals with Hodge-Pink structure over $K$ is an $\mathbb{F}_q((z))$-linear exact tensor functor.*

We will see below that it is fully faithful (Proposition 2.4.10) and factors through the full subcategory of weakly admissible $z$-isocrystals with Hodge-Pink structure (Corollary 2.4.8).

*Proof.* One easily checks that the functor is $\mathbb{F}_q((z))$-linear and compatible with tensor products and internal Hom's, thus a tensor functor. It remains to show that it preserves short exact sequences. So let $0 \to M' \to M \to M'' \to 0$ be an exact sequence of rigidified local shtuka over $\operatorname{Spf}\mathcal{O}_K$ and let $0 \to \underline{D}' \xrightarrow{f} \underline{D} \xrightarrow{g} \underline{D}'' \to 0$ be the resulting sequence of $z$-isocrystals with Hodge-Pink structure over $K$. Clearly the underlying sequence of $z$-isocrystals over $k$ is exact. It remains to show that $f$ and $g$ are strict. This follows from the facts that $M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}[\![z]\!]} K[\![z-\zeta]\!] \to M'' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}[\![z]\!]} K[\![z-\zeta]\!]$ is surjective and that

$$M' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}[\![z]\!]} K[\![z-\zeta]\!] \;=\; M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}[\![z]\!]} K[\![z-\zeta]\!] \,\cap\, M' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}[\![z]\!]} K((z-\zeta))$$

inside $M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}[\![z]\!]} K((z-\zeta))$. ☐

**Definition 2.3.3.** A $z$-isocrystal with Hodge-Pink structure over $K$ is called *admissible* if it lies in the essential image of the tensor functor $\mathbb{H}$.

As an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.3.2 we obtain:

**Theorem 2.3.4.** *The category of admissible $z$-isocrystals with Hodge-Pink structure over $K$ is closed under the formation of tensor products, duals and direct sums.*

As an example we define the *Tate objects* $\mathbb{1}(n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ in the category of rigidified local shtuka over $\operatorname{Spf}\mathbb{F}_q[\![\zeta]\!]$ as the rigidified local shtuka

$$\mathbb{1}(n) \;:=\; \big(M = \mathbb{F}_q[\![\zeta]\!][\![z]\!]\,,\;\; F_M : 1 \mapsto (z-\zeta)^n\,,\;\; \delta_M : 1 \mapsto t^{-n}\big)\,.$$

They give rise to the *Tate objects* in the category of $z$-isocrystals with Hodge-Pink structure over $\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))$

$$\mathbb{1}(n) \;:=\; \Big(D = \mathbb{F}_q((z))\,,\; F_D = z^n \cdot \sigma\,,\; \mathfrak{q}_D = (z-\zeta)^{-n}\mathfrak{p}_D\Big)\,.$$

Clearly $\mathbb{1}(n) = \mathbb{1}(1)^{\otimes n} = \mathbb{1}(-n)^{\vee}$.

**Remark 2.3.5.** We will explain our reasons for viewing rigidified local shtuka as the appropriate analogues in equal characteristic for the crystalline Galois representations from $p$-adic Hodge theory. Let $K \supset \mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))$ be a finite extension and let $\overline{K}$ be the completion of an algebraic closure of $K$. Let $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$ be the ring of integers of $\overline{K}$. The first approach for an analogue of Fontaine's functor would be to search for a tensor functor $\mathcal{F}$ from a full subcategory of $\underline{\mathrm{Rep}}_{\mathbb{F}_q((z))}\,\mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{sep}}/K)$ to the category of $z$-isocrystals with Hodge-Pink structure over $K$. However there are two objections to this plan.

First of all the fixed field in $\overline{K}$ for the continuous $\mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{sep}}/K)$-action induced by the density of $K^{\mathrm{sep}}$ in $\overline{K}$ is larger than $K$. Indeed by the Ax-Sen-Tate Theorem [4] it equals the closure of the perfection of $K$. Thus if one imitates Fontaine's construction of $B_{cris}$ and considers as a candidate for $\mathcal{F}$ the functor

$$\Big(\rho : \mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{sep}}/K) \to \mathrm{GL}(V)\Big) \quad \longmapsto \quad \big(V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q((z))} B_{cris}\big)^{\mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{sep}}/K)}$$

in equal characteristic, $B_{cris}$ will be an $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$-algebra and the functor does not yield finite dimensional $k((z))$-vector spaces.

Secondly rigidified local shtuka should be considered as analogues of the crystals associated to $p$-divisible groups. Hence if $M$ is a rigidified local shtuka over $\mathcal{O}_K$ it is desirable that the $z$-isocrystal with Hodge-Pink structure obtained by this hypothetical functor $\mathcal{F}$ from the Galois representation $\rho_M$ of Proposition 2.1.4 is isomorphic to $\mathbb{H}(M)$. So consider the commutative diagram of categories and functors

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\big\{ \text{rigidified local shtuka over } \mathcal{O}_K \text{ up to isogeny} \big\} \arrow[rr, "M \mapsto \rho_M"] \arrow[dr, "\mathbb{H}"'] & & \mathcal{C} \arrow[dl, dashed, "\mathcal{F}"] \\
& \big\{ \text{weakly admissible } z\text{-isocrystals with Hodge-Pink structure over } K \big\} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

in which the suitable full subcategory $\mathcal{C}$ of $\underline{\mathrm{Rep}}_{\mathbb{F}_q((z))}\,\mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{sep}}/K)$ and the functor $\mathcal{F}$ are yet to be defined.

If $K$ satisfies condition (2.1) from page 38, we will see in Theorem 2.5.3 that $\mathbb{H}$ is an equivalence of categories. So the existence of the functor $\mathcal{F}$ together with its expected property to be fully faithful is equivalent to $M \mapsto \rho_M$ being an equivalence of categories. Thus we may work as well with rigidified local shtuka as with Galois representations.

On the other hand if $K$ is algebraically closed, the notion of Galois representation is vacuous, whereas rigidified local shtuka over $\mathcal{O}_K$ still are interesting objects. Actually the Galois representations associated to the rigidified local shtuka $\mathbb{1}(n)$ over $\mathcal{O}_K$ from above are all isomorphic, whereas there are only the zero morphisms between the $z$-isocrystals with Hodge-Pink structure associated to $\mathbb{1}(m)$ and $\mathbb{1}(n)$ for $m \neq n$. Because $\mathbb{H}$ is fully faithful the functor $\mathcal{F}$ cannot exist in this case.

Since the category of rigidified local shtuka is large enough such that $\mathbb{H}$ is an equivalence of categories if $K$ satisfies condition (2.1), we propose to view rigidified local shtuka as the appropriate analogues in Hodge-Pink theory of the crystalline Galois representations from $p$-adic Hodge theory. This point of view is further supported by the following three facts. Firstly one may even replace $\mathcal{O}_K$ by an arbitrary admissible formal $\mathrm{Spf}\, R$-scheme $X$ and define the functor $\mathbb{H}$ for rigidified local shtuka over $X$ with constant $z$-isocrystal (see the remark after Proposition 2.4.10). Secondly in this setting an analogue of the conjecture of Rapoport-Zink mentioned in the introduction can be formulated and proved in Section 3.4. And thirdly by the results of Kisin [42] one could also in mixed characteristic replace crystalline Galois representations by the analogues of rigidified local shtuka as we have explained in the introduction and take this point of view.

It remains to establish the announced properties of the forgetful functor $\alpha$. We start with the following

**Lemma 2.3.6.** *Let $K$ be arbitrary. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and let $A, \widetilde{A}, C \in M_n\big(K\{z, \frac{\zeta^r}{z}\rangle\big)$ for some $r$ satisfy $C^\sigma = z^{-N}\widetilde{A}CA$.*

*(a) If $A, \widetilde{A} \in M_n\big(\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]\big)$ then $C \in M_n\big(\mathcal{O}_K[\![z, \frac{\zeta^r}{z}\rangle\big)$.*

*(b) If $A, \widetilde{A} \in \mathrm{GL}_n\big(\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!][\frac{1}{z(z-\zeta)}]\big)$ then $C \in M_n\big(\mathcal{O}_K[\![z, z^{-1}\}[t^{-1}]\big)$.*

*Proof.* (a) The conditions on $C$ imply that for all $m \geq 1$

$$C^{\sigma^m} \;=\; z^{-mN}\widetilde{A}^{\sigma^{m-1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot \widetilde{A} \cdot C \cdot A \cdot \ldots \cdot A^{\sigma^{m-1}} . \tag{2.2}$$

We write $C = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} C_i z^i$ with $C_i \in M_n(K)$. Let $d := \|C\|_r = \max\{\,|C_i\zeta^{ri}| : i \in \mathbb{Z}\,\}$. Then $|C_i| \leq d\,|\zeta^{-ri}|$. Expanding (2.2) in powers of $z$ we get an expression for $C_i^{\sigma^m}$ which involves only terms from $\mathcal{O}_K$ and the $C_j$ for $j \leq i + mN$. Thus

$$|C_i^{\sigma^m}| \;\leq\; \max\{\,|C_j| : \; j \leq i + mN\,\} \;\leq\; d\,|\zeta^{-ri-rmN}| .$$

In particular $|C_i|^{q^m}|\zeta|^{rmN} \leq d\,|\zeta|^{-ri}$. When $m$ tends to infinity this implies that $|C_i| \leq 1$ proving part (a).
(b) There exist integers $d, e \geq 0$ with

$$z^d(z-\zeta)^d A,\; z^d(z-\zeta)^d\widetilde{A},\; z^e(z-\zeta)^e A^{-1},\; z^e(z-\zeta)^e\widetilde{A}^{-1} \;\in\; M_n\big(\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]\big) .$$

Then

$$(t^{-2d}C)^\sigma \;=\; z^{-N-4d} \cdot z^d(z-\zeta)^d\widetilde{A} \cdot (t^{-2d}C) \cdot z^d(z-\zeta)^d A$$

and by (a) we have $t^{-2d}C,\, t^{2e}C \;\in\; M_n\big(\mathcal{O}_K[\![z, \frac{\zeta^r}{z}\rangle\big)$. Now the equation

$$t^{2e}C \;=\; z^{N-4e} \cdot z^e(z-\zeta)^e\widetilde{A}^{-1} \cdot (t^{2e}C)^\sigma \cdot z^e(z-\zeta)^e A^{-1}$$

shows that $t^{2e}C$ converges on all of $0 < |z| < 1$. Therefore $t^{2e}C \in M_n\big(\mathcal{O}_K[\![z, z^{-1}\}\big)$ and $C \in M_n\big(\mathcal{O}_K[\![z, z^{-1}\}[t^{-1}]\big)$ as claimed. □

**Lemma 2.3.7.** *(a) If $K$ satisfies condition (2.1) from page 38 then $\alpha$ is fully faithful.*

*(b) Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then the restriction of $\alpha$ to the categories where $M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]} K\{z, \frac{\zeta^{1/q}}{z}\rangle$ is isoclinic of slope $\lambda$ is fully faithful.*

*Proof.* Let $(M, F_M, \delta_M)$ and $(M', F_{M'}, \delta_{M'})$ be rigidified local shtuka over $\mathcal{O}_K$. Consider a morphism $f : (M, F_M) \to (M', F_{M'})$ of local shtuka and let $\bar{f} := f \bmod \mathfrak{m}_K : (D, F_D) \to (D', F_{D'})$ be the induced morphism of $z$-isocrystals. We must show that $f$ respects the rigidifications, that is $\delta_{M'} \circ f = \bar{f} \circ \delta_M$.

By Theorem 1.4.2 there is an isomorphism of $\sigma$-modules over $\overline{K}\{z, \frac{\zeta^{1/q}}{z}\rangle$

$$\varphi : \;\; M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]} \overline{K}\{z, \tfrac{\zeta^{1/q}}{z}\rangle \;\xrightarrow{\;\sim\;}\; \bigoplus_i \mathcal{F}_{d_i,n_i} .$$

By Lemma 2.3.6, $\varphi$ is in fact an isomorphism of $\sigma$-modules

$$\varphi : \;\; M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]} \mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}[\![z, z^{-1}\}[t^{-1}] \;\xrightarrow{\;\sim\;}\; \bigoplus_i \mathcal{F}_{d_i,n_i}$$

over $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}[\![z,z^{-1}\}[t^{-1}]$. We let

$$\bar{\varphi} \;:=\; \varphi \bmod \mathfrak{m}_{\overline{K}}:\; D\otimes_{k^{\mathrm{alg}}((z))}\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{K}}[\![z,z^{-1}\}[t^{-1}] \;\xrightarrow{\;\sim\;}\; \bigoplus_i \mathcal{F}_{d_i,n_i}$$

be the induced isomorphism where $\widetilde{K}$ is the closure of the compositum $k^{\mathrm{alg}}K$ inside $\overline{K}$. We replace $\varphi$ by $\bar{\varphi}\circ\delta_M$. Since $\delta_M\equiv \mathrm{id} \bmod \mathfrak{m}_K$ this does not alter $\bar{\varphi}$. In this way $\varphi$ becomes an isomorphism

$$\varphi:\; M\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]}\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{K}}[\![z,z^{-1}\}[t^{-1}] \;\xrightarrow{\;\sim\;}\; \bigoplus_i \mathcal{F}_{d_i,n_i}$$

of $\sigma$-modules over $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{K}}[\![z,z^{-1}\}[t^{-1}]$. Note that we have lowered the field over which $\varphi$ is defined from $\overline{K}$ to $\widetilde{K}$. We make the same construction for $M'$ obtaining the isomorphism $\varphi'$ and we set $g:=\varphi'\circ f\circ\varphi^{-1}:\bigoplus_i\mathcal{F}_{d_i,n_i}\to\bigoplus_j\mathcal{F}_{d'_j,n'_j}$ and $\bar{g}:=\bar{\varphi}'\circ\bar{f}\circ\bar{\varphi}^{-1}$. Then $\delta_{M'}\circ f=\bar{f}\circ\delta_M$ is equivalent to $g=\bar{g}$ and on easily checks that the later holds under the above conditions by Propositions 1.4.4 and 1.4.5. □

**Proposition 2.3.8.** *Let $d,s\in\mathbb{Z}$ be relatively prime with $s>0$. Then the functor $\alpha$ restricted to the categories where $M\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]}K\{z,\frac{\zeta^{1/q}}{z}\rangle$ is isoclinic of slope $\frac{d}{s}$ is an equivalence of categories.*

*Proof.* Full faithfulness was established in Lemma 2.3.7. We prove essential surjectivity. Let $(M,F_M)$ be a local shtuka over $\mathcal{O}_K$ with $M\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]}K\{z,\frac{\zeta^{1/q}}{z}\rangle$ isoclinic of slope $\frac{d}{s}$. Choose a basis of $M$ and let $A\in\mathrm{GL}_n\big(\mathcal{O}[\![z]\!][\frac{1}{z-\zeta}]\big)$ be the matrix by which $F_M$ acts on this basis. Set $B:=A\bmod\mathfrak{m}_K$, $A':=AA^\sigma\cdot\ldots\cdot A^{\sigma^{s-1}}$ and $B':=A'\bmod\mathfrak{m}_K$. A close examination of the proof of Proposition 1.7.2 shows that we can find a finite extension $K'$ of $K$ and a matrix $VU\in\mathrm{GL}_n\big(\mathcal{O}_{K'}[\![z,\frac{\zeta^{1/q}}{z}\rangle\big)$ such that $\widetilde{A}':=(VU)^{-1}A'(VU)^{\sigma^s}$ satisfies $z^{-d}\widetilde{A}'\in\mathrm{GL}_n\big(\mathcal{O}_{K'}[\![z]\!]\big)$.

Namely we use Proposition 1.7.2 for $r=q^{-s-1}$ and the $\sigma$-module $M\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]}K\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^{q^{-s-1}}},\frac{\zeta^{1/q}}{z}\rangle$ over $K\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^{q^{-s-1}}},\frac{\zeta^{1/q}}{z}\rangle$. In the notation of the proof of Proposition 1.7.2 we have $D=z^d\,\mathrm{Id}_n$ and by Lemma 2.3.6 the matrix $W$ with $W^{-1}A'W^{\sigma^s}=z^d\,\mathrm{id}_n$ satisfies $W\in\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}[\![z,\frac{\zeta^{1/q}}{z}\rangle)$. Hence we may choose $V\in M_n\big(\mathcal{O}_{K'}[z,z^{-1}]\big)$ close to $W$ for a finite extension $K'$ of $K$. Then $V\in\mathrm{GL}_n\big(\mathcal{O}_{K'}[\![z,\frac{\zeta^{1/q}}{z}\rangle\big)$ and the same is true for $V^{-1}A'V^{\sigma^s}$. Now Lemma 1.7.1 produces a matrix $U\in\mathrm{GL}_n\big(\mathcal{O}_{K'}\langle\frac{\zeta^{1/q}}{z}\rangle\big)$ with $z^{-d}\widetilde{A}'-\mathrm{Id}_n\in M_n\big(z\mathcal{O}_{K'}[\![z]\!]\big)$ where $\widetilde{A}':=(VU)^{-1}A'(VU)^{\sigma^s}$. In particular $z^{-d}\widetilde{A}'-\mathrm{Id}_n\in\mathrm{GL}_n\big(\mathcal{O}_{K'}[\![z]\!]\big)$.

After enlarging $K'$ we may assume that the section $k\hookrightarrow\mathcal{O}_K$ extends to $K'$. Let the matrices $\widetilde{B}':=\widetilde{A}'\bmod\mathfrak{m}_{K'}$ and $\overline{VU}:=VU\bmod\mathfrak{m}_{K'}$ be viewed as elements of $\mathrm{GL}_n\big(\mathcal{O}_{K'}[\![z,z^{-1}\}\big)$ via this section. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{C}_m \;&:=\; \widetilde{B}'\cdot\ldots\cdot\widetilde{B}'^{\sigma^{sm}}\cdot(\widetilde{A}'^{\sigma^{sm}})^{-1}\cdot\ldots\cdot(\widetilde{A}')^{-1}\\ &=\; (z^{-d}\widetilde{B}')\cdot\ldots\cdot(z^{-d}\widetilde{B}')^{\sigma^{sm}}\cdot(z^{-d}\widetilde{A}'^{\sigma^{sm}})^{-1}\cdot\ldots\cdot(z^{-d}\widetilde{A}')^{-1}\quad\in\;\mathrm{GL}_n\big(\mathcal{O}_{K'}[\![z]\!]\big)\,.\end{aligned}$$

Now the arguments given in the proof of Lemma 2.3.1 show that the sequence $\widetilde{C}_m$ converges to a matrix $\widetilde{C}\in\mathrm{GL}_n\big(\mathcal{O}_{K'}[\![z]\!]\big)$ which satisfies $\widetilde{C}\equiv\mathrm{Id}_n\bmod\mathfrak{m}_{K'}$ and $\widetilde{C}\widetilde{A}'=\widetilde{B}'\widetilde{C}^{\sigma^s}$. Set $C:=\overline{VU}\,\widetilde{C}\,(VU)^{-1}\in\mathrm{GL}_n\big(\mathcal{O}_{K'}[\![z,\frac{\zeta^{1/q}}{z}\rangle\big)$. Then $C\equiv\mathrm{Id}_n\bmod\mathfrak{m}_{K'}$ and $CA'=B'C^{\sigma^s}$. Moreover $(BC^\sigma A^{-1})A'=B'(BC^\sigma A^{-1})^{\sigma^s}$ and since by Lemma 2.3.7 the isomorphism $\delta_M$ is uniquely determined we conclude that $CA=BC^\sigma$.

It remains to show that indeed $C\in\mathrm{GL}_n\big(\mathcal{O}_K[\![z,z^{-1}\}[t^{-1}]\big)$. Repeated application of the equation $C=BC^\sigma A^{-1}$ shows that $C\in\mathrm{GL}_n\big(\mathcal{O}_{K''}[\![z,\frac{\zeta^{1/q}}{z}\rangle\big)$ where $K''$ is the separable closure of $K$ inside $K'$. We may replace the extension $K''/K$ by its Galois closure. If $\varphi\in\mathrm{Gal}(K''/K)$

we find $\varphi(C)A = B\varphi(C)^\sigma$. So again by Lemma 2.3.7 we must have $\varphi(C) = C$, whence $C \in \mathrm{GL}_n\big(\mathcal{O}_K[\![z, \tfrac{\zeta^{1/q}}{z}\rangle\big)$. Now the equation $C = BC^\sigma A^{-1}$ shows that $C \in \mathrm{GL}_n\big(\mathcal{O}_K[\![z, z^{-1}\}[t^{-1}]\big)$ as desired. Thus $C$ defines a rigidification $\delta_M$ and this proves essential surjectivity. □

**Proposition 2.3.9.** *If $K$ is algebraically closed $\alpha$ is essentially surjective but not full.*

*Proof.* To prove essential surjectivity let $(M, F_M)$ be a local shtuka over $\mathcal{O}_K$. By Theorem 1.4.2 there exists an isomorphism $\varphi : M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]} K\{z, \tfrac{\zeta^{1/q}}{z}\rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_i \mathcal{F}_{d_i,n_i}$. By Lemma 2.3.6, $\varphi$ is in fact an isomorphism

$$\varphi : \;\; M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]} \mathcal{O}_K[\![z, z^{-1}\}[t^{-1}] \;\; \xrightarrow{\sim} \;\; \bigoplus_i \mathcal{F}_{d_i,n_i}$$

of $\sigma$-modules over $\mathcal{O}_K[\![z, z^{-1}\}[t^{-1}]$. Let

$$\bar\varphi := \varphi \bmod \mathfrak{m}_K : \;\; D \otimes_{k(\!(z)\!)} \mathcal{O}_K[\![z, z^{-1}\}[t^{-1}] \;\; \xrightarrow{\sim} \;\; \bigoplus_i \mathcal{F}_{d_i,n_i}\,.$$

Then $\delta_M := \bar\varphi^{-1}\varphi$ is a rigidification of $(M, F_M)$.

The easiest example showing that $\alpha$ is not full is the following. Let $h = \sum_{i=0}^\infty h_i z^i$ with $h_i \in K$ satisfy $h^\sigma = (z-\zeta)h$, that is $h_i^q + \zeta h_i = h_{i-1}$. This implies $|h_i| = |\zeta|^{q^{-i}/(q-1)} < 1$. Consider the rigidified local shtuka $M = \big(\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!], (z-\zeta)\cdot\sigma, t^{-1}\big)$ and $M' = \big(\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!], \sigma, 1\big)$ over $\mathcal{O}_K$ and the morphism of local shtuka $h : M \to M', 1 \mapsto h$. It satisfies $\bar h := h \bmod \mathfrak{m}_K = 0$ and $h \neq \bar h\, t^{-1}$. So $h$ does not lie in the image of $\alpha$. □

**Example 2.3.10.** We show that in general $\alpha$ need not be essentially surjective. Let $K$ be the $\zeta$-adic completion of the field $\mathbb{F}_q^{\mathrm{alg}}(\!(\zeta)\!)(\zeta^{(q+1)^{-i}} : i \in \mathbb{N})$. Then the value group of $K$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{q+1}]$ when we map $\zeta$ to 1. Set $a = \sum_{i=0}^\infty \zeta^{(q+1)^{-i}} z^i$ and let $(M, F_M) = \big(\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]^{\oplus 2}, A\cdot\sigma\big)$ where $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & z-\zeta \end{pmatrix}$. We obtain $(D, F_D) = \big(\mathbb{F}_q^{\mathrm{alg}}(\!(z)\!)^{\oplus 2}, B\cdot\sigma\big)$ with $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix}$. Assume that there exists a rigidification $\delta_M$ on the local shtuka $(M, F_M)$, that is a matrix $C = \begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ w & x \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2\big(\mathcal{O}_K[\![z, z^{-1}\}[t^{-1}]\big)$ with $C \equiv \mathrm{Id}_2 \bmod \zeta$ and $CA = BC^\sigma$. The last equation amounts to

$$\begin{pmatrix} u & ua + (z-\zeta)v \\ w & wa + (z-\zeta)x \end{pmatrix} \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} u^\sigma & v^\sigma \\ z\,w^\sigma & z\,x^\sigma \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular $w \in \mathcal{O}(-1)^F(K) = (0)$ and $u, tx \in \mathcal{O}(0)^F(K) = \mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)$. Since $u, x \equiv 1 \bmod \zeta$ we must have $u = 1, x = t^{-1}$ and thus $a + (z-\zeta)v = v^\sigma$. Expand $v = \sum_{i=-\infty}^\infty v_i z^i$ with $v_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$. Then

$$\zeta^{(q+1)^{-i}} + v_{i-1} \;=\; v_i^q + \zeta v_i \qquad \text{for all } i \geq 0\,.$$

If for some $i > 0$ the absolute value $|v_{i-1}| > |\zeta|^{(q+1)^{-i}} > |\zeta|^{(q-1)^{-1}}$ then $|v_i|^q = |v_{i-1}| > |\zeta v_i|$. Since $|\zeta|^{(q+1)^{-i-j}}$ increases faster than $|v_{i-1}|^{q^{-1-j}}$ we eventually find some $i > 0$ with $|v_{i-1}| \leq |\zeta|^{(q+1)^{-i}}$. This implies $|v_i| \leq |\zeta|^{(q+1)^{-i}/q} < |\zeta|^{(q+1)^{-i-1}}$ whence $|v_{i+1}| = |\zeta|^{(q+1)^{-i-1}/q}$. In particular

$$\log_{|\zeta|} |v_{i+1}| \;=\; \tfrac{1}{q(q+1)^{i+1}} \;\notin\; \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{q+1}]\,.$$

This is a contradiction and therefore the isomorphism $\delta_M$ cannot exist in this example.

**Remark 2.3.11.** In contrast to the previous example, local shtuka which arise from global objects as in Example 2.1.2 carry a canonical functorial rigidification regardless of the properties of $K$. We illustrate this in a special case and leave the general case to the interested reader. Let $A = \mathbb{F}_q[z]$ and fix $c : A \to \mathcal{O}_K$ with $c(z) = \zeta \in \mathfrak{m}_K$. Consider a $t$-motive over $K$ with good reduction, that is a finite locally free $\mathcal{O}_K[z]$-module $M$ together with an injective morphism $F_M : \sigma^*M \to M$ such that coker $F_M$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_K$-module and annihilated by a power of $z-\zeta$. Fix an $\mathcal{O}_K[z]$-basis of $M$ and let $A \in \mathrm{GL}_n\big(\mathcal{O}_K[z][\frac{1}{z-\zeta}]\big)$ be the matrix by which $F_M$ acts on this basis. Put $B := A \bmod \mathfrak{m}_K$. Now the local shtuka associated to $(M, F_M)$ is $\widehat{M} = \big(M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K[z]} \mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!], F_M\big)$. Since $A - B \in M_n\big(\pi\mathcal{O}_K[z][\frac{1}{z-\zeta}]\big)$ for some element $\pi \in \mathfrak{m}_K$ the argument given in the proof of Lemma 2.3.1 shows the existence of a canonical functorial rigidification on $\widehat{M}$.

## 2.4 Criteria for Admissibility

We want to relate Hodge-Pink structures to the $\sigma$-modules we studied in Chapter 1. This will lead to a description of Hodge-Pink structures analogous to the description in mixed characteristic of filtered isocrystals by $p$-adic differential equations; see [6]. Here in equal characteristic it is even possible to formulate this in a relative situation, what we now want to do in preparation for the analogue of the Rapoport-Zink conjecture. To be precise we make the following definition. Let $k$ be a field containing $\mathbb{F}_q$ and let the complete local ring $R$ be an extension of $k[\![\zeta]\!]$. Let $X$ be a quasi-paracompact admissible formal scheme over $\mathrm{Spf}\, R$ and let $X_L$ be the rigid analytic space over $L$ associated to $X$; see Appendix A.2. The main example to keep in mind is $X = \mathrm{Spf}\, B^\circ$ for an admissible $R$-algebra $B^\circ$ (Appendix A.1) and $X_L = \mathrm{Sp}\, B$ where $B := B^\circ \otimes_R L$. Then $\mathcal{O}_X = B^\circ$ and $\mathcal{O}_{X_L} = B$.

**Definition 2.4.1.** Let $(D, F_D)$ be a $z$-isocrystal of rank $n$ over $k$ and consider the sheaf $\mathfrak{p} := \sigma^*D \otimes_{k(\!(z)\!)} \mathcal{O}_{X_L}[\![z-\zeta]\!]$ on $X_L$. Fix Hodge-Pink weights $w_1 \le \ldots \le w_n$. A *family of Hodge-Pink structures over* $X_L$ on the (constant) $z$-isocrystal $(D, F_D)$ is a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{X_L}[\![z-\zeta]\!]$-lattices $\mathfrak{q}$ in $\mathfrak{p}[\frac{1}{z-\zeta}] := \mathfrak{p} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_L}[\![z-\zeta]\!]} \mathcal{O}_{X_L}[\![z-\zeta]\!][\frac{1}{z-\zeta}]$ which is a direct summand as $\mathcal{O}_{X_L}$-module, such that for every point $x \in X_L$ and for every integer $e \ge w_n$

$$(z-\zeta)^{-e}\mathfrak{p}_x/\mathfrak{q}_x \;\cong\; \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \kappa(x)[\![z-\zeta]\!]/(z-\zeta)^{e-w_i}\,.$$

With the same definitions of tensor products, etc., as in the absolute case we obtain an $\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)$-linear exact rigid tensor category.

In the situation of Definition 2.4.1 we let $\underline{D} = (D, F_D, \mathfrak{q})$. We define the $\sigma$-module

$$\mathcal{P} \;:=\; \sigma^*D \otimes_{k(\!(z)\!)} \mathcal{O}_{X_L}\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}\,, \quad F_{\mathcal{P}} \;:=\; \sigma^*F_D \otimes \mathrm{id}$$

over $\mathcal{O}_{X_L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$. Then $\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_L}[\![z-\zeta]\!] = \mathfrak{p}$ and the Hodge-Pink structure $\mathfrak{q} \subset \mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_L}[\![z-\zeta]\!][\frac{1}{z-\zeta}]$ defines a $\sigma$-module $\mathcal{Q}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{X_L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ which is a modification of $\mathcal{P}$ at $z = \zeta^{q^i}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ as follows. Consider the isomorphism $\eta_i = \big(F_{\mathcal{P}} \circ \ldots \circ (\sigma^{i-1})^*F_{\mathcal{P}}\big) \otimes \mathrm{id}$

$$\eta_i :\; (\sigma^i)^*\big(\mathfrak{p}[\tfrac{1}{z-\zeta}]\big) \;=\; (\sigma^i)^*\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_L}[\![z-\zeta^{q^i}]\!][\tfrac{1}{z-\zeta^{q^i}}] \;\xrightarrow{\;\sim\;}\; \mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_L}[\![z-\zeta^{q^i}]\!][\tfrac{1}{z-\zeta^{q^i}}]$$

and set $t = \prod\limits_{i\in\mathbb{N}_0}\big(1-\frac{\zeta^{q^i}}{z}\big) \in \mathcal{O}_{X_L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$. We define $\mathcal{Q}$ as the $\mathcal{O}_{X_L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$-submodule of $\mathcal{P}[t^{-1}]$ which coincides with $\mathcal{P}$ outside $z = \zeta^{q^i}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and at $z = \zeta^{q^i}$ satisfies $\mathcal{Q} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_L}[\![z-\zeta^{q^i}]\!] = \eta_i(\sigma^{i*}\mathfrak{q})$. By construction $F_{\mathcal{P}}$ induces on $\mathcal{Q}$ the structure of a $\sigma$-module over $\mathcal{O}_{X_L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$.

**Definition 2.4.2.** We call $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ the *pair of $\sigma$-modules* over $\mathcal{O}_{X_L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ associated with $\underline{D}$. We denote the tensor functor that maps $\underline{D}$ to the pair $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ by $\mathbb{S}$.

The category of pairs of $\sigma$-modules over $\mathcal{O}_{X_L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ has as objects all pairs $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ of $\sigma$-modules such that $\mathcal{P}[t^{-1}] = \mathcal{Q}[t^{-1}]$ and $F_{\mathcal{P}} = F_{\mathcal{Q}}$. The morphisms between $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ and $(\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{Q}')$ are the morphisms of $\sigma$-modules $\mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{P}'$ which at the same time are morphisms $\mathcal{Q} \to \mathcal{Q}'$.

**Theorem 2.4.3.** *The functor $\mathbb{S}$ is a faithful $\mathbb{F}_q((z))$-linear exact tensor functor.*

*Proof.* The $\mathbb{F}_q((z))$-linearity and the compatibility with tensor products and duals follows directly from the construction. To prove exactness let $0 \to \underline{D}' \xrightarrow{f} \underline{D} \xrightarrow{g} \underline{D}'' \to 0$ be an exact sequence of (constant) $z$-isocrystals with Hodge-Pink structure over $X_L$. Then the exactness of the underlying sequence of $z$-isocrystals implies that the sequence of $\sigma$-modules $0 \to \mathcal{P}' \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{P} \xrightarrow{g} \mathcal{P}'' \to 0$ over $\mathcal{O}_{X_L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ is exact. Moreover the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}' \xrightarrow{\ f\ } \mathcal{Q} \xrightarrow{\ g\ } \mathcal{Q}'' \longrightarrow 0 \tag{2.3}$$

of sheaves on $X_L \times_L \dot{\mathbb{D}}(1)$ is exact outside $z = \zeta^{q^i}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ since there it coincides with $0 \to \mathcal{P}' \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{P} \xrightarrow{g} \mathcal{P}'' \to 0$. At $z = \zeta$ the sequence of the completed stalks equals $0 \to \mathfrak{q}' \xrightarrow{f} \mathfrak{q} \xrightarrow{g} \mathfrak{q}'' \to 0$ and is exact by the strictness of $f$ and $g$. Hence (2.3) is exact at $z = \zeta$ and the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \sigma^*\mathcal{Q}' \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "F_{\mathcal{Q}'}"] & \sigma^*\mathcal{Q} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "F_{\mathcal{Q}}"] & \sigma^*\mathcal{Q}'' \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "F_{\mathcal{Q}''}"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{Q}' \arrow[r] & \mathcal{Q} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{Q}'' \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

shows that (2.3) is exact everywhere. Finally the faithfulness of $\mathbb{S}$ results from the faithfulness of the functor $\sigma^*D \mapsto \mathcal{P} = \sigma^*D \otimes_{k((z))} \mathcal{O}_{X_L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$. ☐

We determine the composition $\mathbb{S}\,\mathbb{H}$ in the situation of the previous section. Recall that there are two morphisms

$$\alpha:\ \mathcal{O}_K\llbracket z\rrbracket \xrightarrow{\bmod \mathfrak{m}_K} k\llbracket z\rrbracket \hookrightarrow K\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \beta:\ \mathcal{O}_K\llbracket z\rrbracket \subset K\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$$

mapping $z$ to $z$.

**Proposition 2.4.4.** *There exists a natural isomorphism of tensor functors from the category of rigidified local shtuka over $\mathcal{O}_K$ to the category of pairs of $\sigma$-modules over $K\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ between $\mathbb{S}\,\mathbb{H}$ and the functor $(M, F_M, \delta_M) \mapsto (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ where*

$$\mathcal{P} \;=\; \sigma^*M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K\llbracket z\rrbracket,\alpha} K\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\} \qquad \textit{and} \qquad \mathcal{Q} \;=\; M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K\llbracket z\rrbracket,\beta} K\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$$

*and where the morphism $\sigma^*\delta_M \circ F_M^{-1}$ identifies $\mathcal{Q}$ with a submodule of $\mathcal{P}[t^{-1}]$.*

*Proof.* Let $\mathbb{S}\,\mathbb{H}(M) = (\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{Q}')$. Clearly $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}'$. The $\sigma$-module $\mathcal{Q}$ is isomorphic to its image $\mathcal{Q}''$ inside $\mathcal{P}[t^{-1}]$ under $\sigma^*\delta_M \circ F_M^{-1}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\sigma^*M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K\llbracket z\rrbracket,\beta} K\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}[t^{-1}] \arrow[r, "\sigma^*\delta_M"] & \mathcal{P}[t^{-1}]\ . \\
M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K\llbracket z\rrbracket,\beta} K\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\} \arrow[u, "F_M^{-1}"] \arrow[ur, "\sigma^*\delta_M \circ F_M^{-1}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

It satisfies $\mathcal{Q}''\otimes K\llbracket z-\zeta\rrbracket \;=\; \mathfrak{q} \;\subset\; \mathcal{P}\otimes K(\!(z-\zeta)\!)$. Moreover, the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\sigma^{i*}M\otimes K\llbracket z-\zeta^{q^i}\rrbracket \arrow[r, "\sigma^{i*}(\sigma^*\delta_M\circ F_M^{-1})"] \arrow[d, "F_M\circ\ldots\circ(\sigma^{i-1})^*F_M"] & \sigma^{i*}\mathcal{Q}''\otimes K\llbracket z-\zeta^{q^i}\rrbracket \;=\; \sigma^{i*}\mathfrak{q} \;\subset\; \sigma^{i*}\mathcal{P}\otimes K(\!(z-\zeta^{q^i})\!) \arrow[d, "\eta_i"'] \\
M\otimes K\llbracket z-\zeta^{q^i}\rrbracket \arrow[r, "\sigma^*\delta_M\circ F_M^{-1}"] & \mathcal{Q}''\otimes K\llbracket z-\zeta^{q^i}\rrbracket \;=\; \eta_i(\sigma^{i*}\mathfrak{q}) \;\subset\; \mathcal{P}\otimes K(\!(z-\zeta^{q^i})\!)
\end{tikzcd}$$

in which all arrows are isomorphisms, shows that $\mathcal{Q}''\otimes K\llbracket z-\zeta^{q^i}\rrbracket \;=\; \eta_i(\sigma^{i*}\mathfrak{q}) \;\subset\; \mathcal{P}\otimes K(\!(z-\zeta^{q^i})\!)$. So $M\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K\llbracket z\rrbracket,\beta} K\langle\frac{z}{\zeta},z^{-1}\} \cong \mathcal{Q}'' = \mathcal{Q}'$ as desired. □

As an example let us compute the effect of $\mathbb{S}$ on the Tate object $\mathbb{1}(n)$ in the category of rigidified local shtuka with Hodge-Pink structure over $\mathbb{F}_q(\!(\zeta)\!)$. We find $\mathbb{S}\big(\mathbb{1}(n)\big) = \big(\mathcal{O}(-n), t^{-n}\mathcal{O}(-n)\big)$. Since the $\sigma$-module $t^{-n}\mathcal{O}(0)$ over $\mathbb{F}_q(\!(\zeta)\!)\langle\frac{z}{\zeta},z^{-1}\}$ equals $\big(\mathbb{F}_q(\!(\zeta)\!)\langle\frac{z}{\zeta},z^{-1}\}, F = (1-\frac{\zeta}{z})^n\cdot\sigma\big)$ we obtain

$$t^{-n}\mathcal{O}(-n) \;=\; t^{-n}\mathcal{O}(0)\otimes\mathcal{O}(-n) \;=\; \big(\mathbb{F}_q(\!(\zeta)\!)\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta},z^{-1}\}, F = (z-\zeta)^n\cdot\sigma\big)$$

and this also illustrates Proposition 2.4.4.

Next we want to derive a criterion for (weak) admissibility in terms of the pair $(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})$. As a consequence we shall see that "admissible implies weakly admissible". In the next section the criterion will allow us to prove that also the converse is true, that is "weakly admissible implies admissible", provided $K$ satisfies condition (2.1) from page 38.

For an integer $e$ we consider the $\sigma$-submodule $t^{-e}\mathcal{P}$ of $\mathcal{P}[t^{-1}]$ over $K\langle\frac{z}{\zeta},z^{-1}\}$. It satisfies $F_{t^{-e}\mathcal{P}} = (1-\frac{\zeta}{z})^e\cdot F_{\mathcal{P}}$ and is isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}\otimes t^{-e}\mathcal{O}(0)$. We want to construct an isomorphism between $t^{-e}\mathcal{O}(0)$ and $\mathcal{O}(e)$ over $\overline{K}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta},z^{-1}\}$. For this we need to find a solution $h=\sum_{i\geq 0}h_iz^i \in \overline{K}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta},z^{-1}\}^\times$ for the equation $z^{-1}h^\sigma = (1-\frac{\zeta}{z})\,h$ which we expand to $h_i^q+\zeta h_i = h_{i-1}$. Since $\overline{K}$ is algebraically closed we may indeed find solutions $h_i\in\overline{K}$ of the last equation. On easily sees that $|h_i| = |\zeta|^{q^{-i}/(q-1)}$, hence $h\in\overline{K}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta},z^{-1}\}^\times$. Multiplication with $h^e$ defines an isomorphism $t^{-e}\mathcal{O}(0)\otimes\overline{K}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta},z^{-1}\} \xrightarrow{\;\sim\;} \mathcal{O}(e)$ over $\overline{K}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta},z^{-1}\}$. Hence the $\sigma$-modules $t^{-e}\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{P}\otimes\mathcal{O}(e)$ become isomorphic over $\overline{K}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta},z^{-1}\}$. In particular $\deg t^{-e}\mathcal{P} = e\cdot\operatorname{rk}\mathcal{P}+\deg\mathcal{P}$.

**Lemma 2.4.5.** *Let $\underline{D}$ be a $z$-isocrystal with Hodge-Pink structure over $K$. Consider the pair $(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}) = \mathbb{S}(\underline{D})$ of $\sigma$-modules over $K\langle\frac{z}{\zeta},z^{-1}\}$. Then*

$$t_N(\underline{D}) \;=\; -\deg\mathcal{P}\,, \qquad \textit{and} \qquad t_H(\underline{D}) \;=\; \deg\mathcal{Q}-\deg\mathcal{P}\,.$$

*Proof.* To prove the first assertion let $n$ be the rank of $D$ and let $d=t_N(\underline{D})$. Then the $\sigma$-module $\wedge^n(D,F_D)\otimes_{k(\!(z)\!)}\overline{K}(\!(z)\!)$ over $\overline{K}(\!(z)\!)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(-d)$ by Lemma 1.5.11. Therefore already the $\sigma$-module $\wedge^n(D,F_D)\otimes_{k(\!(z)\!)}\overline{K}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$ over $\overline{K}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(-d)$ by Lemma 1.6.3. So $\wedge^n\mathcal{P}\otimes\overline{K}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta},z^{-1}\} \;=\; \wedge^n(D,F_D)\otimes_{k(\!(z)\!)}\overline{K}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta},z^{-1}\} \;\cong\; \mathcal{O}(-d)$ and this implies that $\deg\mathcal{P}=-d$ proving the claim.

To prove the second assertion let $w_1\leq\ldots\leq w_n$ be the Hodge-Pink weights of $\underline{D}$ and let $e$ be an integer such that $\mathcal{Q}\subset t^{-e}\mathcal{P}$. Then over $\overline{K}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta},z^{-1}\}$ we have $\mathcal{O}(\deg\mathcal{Q}) \;\cong\; \wedge^n\mathcal{Q} \;\subset\; \wedge^n t^{-e}\mathcal{P} \;\cong\; \mathcal{O}(ne+\deg\mathcal{P})$. Since $\mathcal{Q}$ differs from $\mathcal{P}$ only at $z=\zeta^{q^i}$ for $i\geq 0$, the $\overline{K}\llbracket z-\zeta\rrbracket$-module

$$\wedge^n(z-\zeta)^{-e}\mathfrak{p}_D\,/\,\wedge^n\mathfrak{q}_D \;\cong\; \Big(\mathcal{O}(ne+\deg\mathcal{P})\,/\,\mathcal{O}(\deg\mathcal{Q})\Big)\otimes\overline{K}\llbracket z-\zeta\rrbracket$$

has length $ne+\deg\mathcal{P}-\deg\mathcal{Q}$. On the other hand it has length $\sum_{i=1}^n(e-w_i)$. From this the second assertion follows. □

**Proposition 2.4.6.** *Let $\underline{D} = (D, F_D, \mathfrak{q}_D)$ be a $z$-isocrystal with Hodge-Pink structure over $K$ and let $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}) = \mathbb{S}(\underline{D})$ be the associated pair of $\sigma$-modules over $K\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$. Then $\underline{D}$ is weakly admissible if and only if the following conditions hold:*

*(a)* $\deg \mathcal{Q} = 0$ *and*

*(b) for any $F_D$-stable $k((z))$-subspace $D' \subset D$, the saturation $\mathcal{Q}'$ of $\big(D' \otimes_{k((z))} K\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}, F_{D'}\big) \cap \mathcal{Q}$ inside $\mathcal{Q}$ satisfies* $\deg \mathcal{Q}' \leq 0$.

*Proof.* Note that $\big((D' \otimes K\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}, F_{D'}), \mathcal{Q}'\big)$ is the pair of $\sigma$-modules associated with the strict subobject $(D', F_{D'}, \mathfrak{q}_{D'})$ of $\underline{D}$ with $\mathfrak{q}_{D'} = \mathfrak{q}_D \cap \sigma^* D' \otimes_{k((z))} K((z-\zeta))$. Thus the claim follows from Lemma 2.4.5. □

**Theorem 2.4.7.** *A $z$-isocrystal with Hodge-Pink structure $\underline{D}$ over $K$ is admissible if and only if the associated pair of $\sigma$-modules $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ over $K\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ satisfies the condition that $\mathcal{Q}$ is isoclinic of slope zero.*

As an immediate consequence we obtain

**Corollary 2.4.8.** *Every admissible $z$-isocrystal with Hodge-Pink structure is weakly admissible.*

*Proof.* Let $\underline{D}$ be an admissible $z$-isocrystal with Hodge-Pink structure over $K$ and let $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}) = \mathbb{S}(\underline{D})$. Then $\mathcal{Q}$ is isoclinic of slope zero by Theorem 2.4.7, hence semistable. Therefore any non-zero $\sigma$-submodule $\mathcal{Q}'$ of $\mathcal{Q}$ has $\deg \mathcal{Q}' \leq \deg \mathcal{Q} = 0$ and the assertion follows from Proposition 2.4.6. □

*Proof of Theorem 2.4.7.* If $\underline{D}$ is admissible let $M$ be a rigidified local shtuka over $\mathcal{O}_K$ which induces $\underline{D}$. We have $\mathcal{Q} \cong M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]} K\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ by Proposition 2.4.4. Fix a basis of $M$ and let $\Phi = \sum_{i \geq 0} \Phi_i z^i \in \mathrm{GL}_n\big(K[\![z]\!]\big)$ be the matrix by which $F_M$ acts on this basis. Let $\overline{K}$ be the completion of an algebraic closure of $K$. Since $\Phi_0 \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ there exists a matrix $S \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{K})$ such that

$$S^{-1} \Phi S^{\sigma} \;\in\; \mathrm{Id}_n + M_n\big(z\overline{K}[\![z]\!]\big)\,.$$

Then $M \otimes \overline{K}((z))$ is isoclinic of slope zero by Lemma 1.5.11. By Proposition 1.6.6, $\mathcal{Q} \otimes \overline{K}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\} \cong M \otimes \overline{K}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ is isoclinic of slope zero. By its very definition this means that $\mathcal{Q}$ is isoclinic of slope zero. Alternatively one could consider the equation $U = \Phi U^{\sigma}$ and explicitly show that it has a solution with $U \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{K}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle)$. Then $\mathcal{Q} \otimes \overline{K}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\} \cong \mathcal{O}(0)^{\oplus n}$.

The converse follows from the following proposition using Corollary 1.7.6. □

The next result gives a criterion for a family of Hodge-Pink structures on a constant $z$-isocrystal to arise from a rigidified local shtuka. Although we need this result for the proof of Theorem 2.4.7 only in case $L = K$ and $X_L = \mathrm{Sp}\, K$, we formulate it in a relative setting over quasi-paracompact quasi-separated rigid analytic spaces (see Appendix A.2). These are precisely the spaces which admit quasi-paracompact formal models; see Theorem A.2.4. For any formal $\mathrm{Spf}\, R$-scheme $X$ we denote the special fiber $X \otimes_R k$ by $X_0$. Recall that for an admissible formal $R$-algebra $B^{\circ}$ one sets

$$\begin{aligned} B^{\circ}\langle z\rangle \quad &= \;\Big\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i z^i : \; b_i \in B^{\circ},\; |b_i| \to 0 \; (i \to \infty) \Big\} \quad \text{and} \\ B^{\circ}\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle \;&= \;\Big\{ \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} b_i z^i : \; b_i \in B^{\circ},\; |b_i| \to 0 \; (i \to \pm\infty) \Big\}\,. \end{aligned}$$

These are again admissible formal $R$-algebras. We further denote the passage to the associated affinoid $L$-algebra $B := B^\circ \otimes_R L$ by dropping the superscript $^\circ$.

**Proposition 2.4.9.** *Let $(D, F_D)$ be a $z$-isocrystal over $k$. Let $X_L$ be a quasi-paracompact quasi-separated rigid analytic space over $L$. Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be a family of Hodge-Pink structures on $(D, F_D)$ over $X_L$. Consider the associated pair $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ of $\sigma$-modules over $\mathcal{O}_{X_L}\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$. Assume that $\mathcal{Q}$ contains an $\mathcal{O}_{X_L}\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle$-lattice $\mathcal{Q}'$ on which $F_\mathcal{Q}$ is an isomorphism. Then there exists a quasi-paracompact admissible formal model $X$ of $X_L$ over $\operatorname{Spf} R$, and a rigidified local shtuka $(M, F_M, \delta_M)$ over $X$ with $M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X[\![z]\!]} \mathcal{O}_{X_0}[\![z]\!][z^{-1}] \cong D \otimes_{k(\!(z)\!)} \mathcal{O}_{X_0}[\![z]\!][z^{-1}]$ and such that the $\mathcal{O}_{X_L}[\![z-\zeta]\!]$-lattices $\mathfrak{q}$ and $\sigma^*\delta_M \circ F_M^{-1}\big(M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X[\![z]\!]} \mathcal{O}_{X_L}[\![z-\zeta]\!]\big)$ coincide inside*

$$\sigma^*\delta_M\left(\sigma^*M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X[\![z]\!]} \mathcal{O}_{X_L}[\![z-\zeta]\!][\tfrac{1}{z-\zeta}]\right) \;=\; \sigma^*D \otimes_{k(\!(z)\!)} \mathcal{O}_{X_L}[\![z-\zeta]\!][\tfrac{1}{z-\zeta}]\,.$$

*Proof.* Let $\{X_L^i\}_i$ be an affinoid covering of $X_L$ which is locally finite. For each $i$ we will construct a quasi-compact formal $R$-scheme $X^i$ and a rigidified local shtuka over $X^i$ as in the assertion which satisfies a maximality property by which it is uniquely defined. Then by [10, Theorem 2.8/3] there exist a quasi-paracompact formal $R$-model $X$ of $X_L$, open formal subschemes $U^i \subset X$, and admissible formal blowing-ups $U^i \to X^i$. By their maximality property the pullbacks to $U^i$ of the rigidified local shtuka glue yielding the desired rigidified local shtuka $M$ on $X$.

Now fix an $i$ and a formal $R$-model $X^i = \operatorname{Spf} B^\circ$ of $X_L^i$. During the proof we will replace $\operatorname{Spf} B^\circ$ by various admissible blowing-ups $X'$ of $\operatorname{Spf} B^\circ$. To ease notation we will then work on an affine open subset of $X'$. The reader should note however, that all our operations and the further admissible blowing-ups we need are globally defined on $X'$.

After tensoring with a suitable Tate object we may assume that $F_D \in M_n\big(k[\![z]\!]\big) \cdot \sigma$ and $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{Q}$. We fix a $k[z]$-submodule $E$ of $\sigma^*D$ with $\sigma^*D = E \otimes_{k[z]} k(\!(z)\!)$ and consider the sheaves $\mathcal{N} := E \otimes_{k[z]} B^\circ\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle$ on $\operatorname{Spf} B^\circ\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle$ and $\overline{\mathcal{P}} := E \otimes_{k[z]} B\langle z\rangle$ on $\operatorname{Sp} B\langle z\rangle$. Then $\mathcal{P} = \overline{\mathcal{P}} \otimes_{B\langle z\rangle} B\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$. Consider the $B\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle$-lattice $\mathcal{Q}' \subset \mathcal{Q}$. By construction $\mathcal{Q}'$ and $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$ coincide on $\operatorname{Sp} B\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, \frac{\zeta}{z}\rangle \smallsetminus \mathrm{V}(z-\zeta)$ and are related at $z = \zeta$ by

$$\mathcal{Q}' \otimes B[\![z-\zeta]\!] \;=\; \mathfrak{q} \;\supset\; \mathfrak{p} \;=\; \overline{\mathcal{P}} \otimes B[\![z-\zeta]\!]\,.$$

We glue $\mathcal{Q}'$ and $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$ over $\operatorname{Sp} B\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, \frac{\zeta}{z}\rangle \smallsetminus \mathrm{V}(z-\zeta)$ to a sheaf $\mathcal{F}_L$ on $\operatorname{Sp} B\langle z\rangle$ which coincides with $\mathcal{Q}'$ at $z = \zeta$. The isomorphisms $\sigma^*F_D$ and $F_\mathcal{Q}$ define a morphism $F_{\mathcal{F}_L} : \sigma^*\mathcal{F}_L \otimes_{B\langle z\rangle} B\{z\} \to \mathcal{F}_L \otimes_{B\langle z\rangle} B\{z\}$ which is an isomorphism outside $z = \zeta$ and satisfies $\operatorname{coker} F_{\mathcal{F}_L} = \mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p}$. We can think of $\mathcal{F}_L \otimes_{B\langle z\rangle} B\{z\}$ as the rigid analytic part of the desired rigidified local shtuka $M$. Our task is now to actually construct the formal model $M$ of $\mathcal{F}_L \otimes_{B\langle z\rangle} B\{z\}$.

By Lemma A.6.2 we may replace $X$ by an admissible blowing-up $X'$ and assume that $\mathcal{F}_L$ and $\mathcal{N}$ extend to a locally free coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\operatorname{Spf} B^\circ\langle z\rangle$ with the maximality property that for any morphism $\beta : \operatorname{Spf} C^\circ \to X'$ of admissible formal $\operatorname{Spf} R$-schemes

$$\Gamma\big(\operatorname{Spf} C^\circ\langle z\rangle\,,\, \beta^*\mathcal{F}\big) \;=\; \Gamma\big(\operatorname{Sp}(C^\circ \otimes_R L\langle z\rangle)\,,\, \beta^*\mathcal{F}_L\big) \;\cap\; \Gamma\big(\operatorname{Spf} C^\circ\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle\,,\, \beta^*\mathcal{N}\big)$$

which uniquely determines $\mathcal{F}$. We put $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{F} \otimes_{B^\circ\langle z\rangle} B^\circ[\![z]\!]$. The morphism $\sigma^*F_D$ induces an isomorphism

$$F_\mathcal{N} \;:=\; \sigma^*F_D \otimes \mathrm{id} :\; \sigma^*\mathcal{N} \otimes_{B^\circ\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle} B^\circ[\![z, z^{-1}\rangle \;\longrightarrow\; \mathcal{N} \otimes_{B^\circ\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle} B^\circ[\![z, z^{-1}\rangle\,,$$

$$\text{where}\qquad B^\circ[\![z, z^{-1}\rangle \;:=\; \Big\{\, \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} b_i z^i :\; b_i \in B^\circ,\; |b_i| \to 0 \;\; (i \to -\infty) \,\Big\}\,.$$

Then $F_{\mathcal{F}_L}$ and $F_\mathcal{N}$ extend by Lemma A.6.4 to a morphism $F_\mathcal{M} : \sigma^*\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is locally free, $\mathcal{M}$ and $\sigma^*\mathcal{M}$ are locally on $\operatorname{Spf} B^\circ$ isomorphic to $B^\circ[\![z]\!]^n$. After we fix bases of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\sigma^*\mathcal{M}$

the morphism $F_{\mathcal{M}}$ corresponds to a matrix $\Phi \in M_n\big(B^\circ\llbracket z\rrbracket\big)$. Consider the commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \sigma^*\mathcal{M} \arrow[r, "F_{\mathcal{M}}"] \arrow[d, hook] & \mathcal{M} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & V \arrow[r] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \sigma^*\mathcal{M} \otimes_{B^\circ\llbracket z\rrbracket} B\{z\} \arrow[r, "F_{\mathcal{F}_L}"] & \mathcal{M} \otimes_{B^\circ\llbracket z\rrbracket} B\{z\} \arrow[r] & W \arrow[r] & 0\,.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $W = \operatorname{coker} F_{\mathcal{F}_L}$ is killed by a power of $z-\zeta$ there exists an element $f \in B\{z\}$ with $f \cdot \det\Phi = (z-\zeta)^e$ for some $e \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Now $\det\Phi$ is a unit in the ring $B^\circ\llbracket z, z^{-1}\rangle$ since $\mathcal{M} \otimes_{B^\circ\llbracket z\rrbracket} B^\circ\llbracket z, z^{-1}\rangle = \sigma^*D \otimes_{k((z))} B^\circ\llbracket z, z^{-1}\rangle$. Therefore $f \in B\{z\} \cap B^\circ\llbracket z, z^{-1}\rangle = B^\circ\llbracket z\rrbracket$. We deduce that $V$ is killed by $(z-\zeta)^e$. Consider the exact sequence of $B^\circ\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle$-modules

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \sigma^*\mathcal{M} \otimes_{B^\circ\llbracket z\rrbracket} B^\circ\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle \arrow[r, "F_{\mathcal{M}}"] & \mathcal{M} \otimes_{B^\circ\llbracket z\rrbracket} B^\circ\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle \arrow[r] & V \arrow[r] & 0\,.
\end{tikzcd}$$

By [12, II, Theorem 4.1] there exists an admissible blowing-up $X''$ of $X'$ such that on any affine open subset $\operatorname{Spf} C^\circ$ of $X''$ the coherent $C^\circ$-module $V \otimes_{B^\circ} C^\circ/(\zeta\text{-torsion})$ is locally free. In the exact sequence of $C^\circ\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle$-modules

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \operatorname{Tor}_1^{B^\circ}(V, C^\circ) \arrow[r] & \sigma^*\mathcal{M} \otimes_{B^\circ\llbracket z\rrbracket} C^\circ\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle \arrow[r] & \mathcal{M} \otimes_{B^\circ\llbracket z\rrbracket} C^\circ\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle \arrow[r] & V \otimes_{B^\circ} C^\circ \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

the $C^\circ$-module $\operatorname{Tor}_1^{B^\circ}(V, C^\circ)$ is killed by $(z-\zeta)^e$. So it must vanish since $\sigma^*\mathcal{M} \otimes_{B^\circ\llbracket z\rrbracket} C^\circ\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle$ is free. Also by [12, II, Lemma 4.5], $V \otimes_{B^\circ} C^\circ$ has no $\zeta$-torsion and is therefore a locally free coherent $C^\circ$-module. Replacing $B^\circ$ by $C^\circ$ we conclude that $(\mathcal{M}, F_{\mathcal{M}} : \sigma^*\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M})$ is a local shtuka over $X''$. With the canonical rigidification

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X''}\llbracket z\rrbracket} \mathcal{O}_{X''}\llbracket z, z^{-1}\}[t^{-1}] \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[dr, "\delta_{\mathcal{M}}"'] & \sigma^*D \otimes_{k((z))} \mathcal{O}_{X''}\llbracket z, z^{-1}\}[t^{-1}] \arrow[d, "F_D \otimes \mathrm{id}"] \\
 & D \otimes_{k((z))} \mathcal{O}_{X''}\llbracket z, z^{-1}\}[t^{-1}]
\end{tikzcd}$$

it satisfies

$$\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X''}\llbracket z\rrbracket} \mathcal{O}_{X''_0}\llbracket z\rrbracket[z^{-1}] \;=\; \sigma^*D \otimes_{k((z))} \mathcal{O}_{X''_0}\llbracket z\rrbracket[z^{-1}] \;\xrightarrow{\sim\ \ F_D \otimes \mathrm{id}}\; D \otimes_{k((z))} \mathcal{O}_{X''_0}\llbracket z\rrbracket[z^{-1}]$$

and $\sigma^*\delta_{\mathcal{M}} \circ F_{\mathcal{M}}^{-1}\big(\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X''}\llbracket z\rrbracket} B\llbracket z-\zeta\rrbracket\big) = \mathfrak{q}$ inside $\sigma^*D \otimes_{k((z))} B\llbracket z-\zeta\rrbracket[\frac{1}{z-\zeta}]$. □

By similar arguments one can also prove the following

**Proposition 2.4.10.** *The functor $\mathbb{H} : (M, F_M, \delta_M) \mapsto (D, F_D, \mathfrak{q})$ between the category of rigidified local shtuka over $\mathcal{O}_K$ up to isogeny and the category of $z$-isocrystals with Hodge-Pink structure over $K$ is fully faithful.*

*Proof.* The faithfulness follows from the faithfulness of $\mathbb{S}\,\mathbb{H} : M \mapsto (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ which is a consequence of Proposition 2.4.4. To prove fullness let $(M_i, F_i, \delta_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$ be rigidified local shtuka over $\mathcal{O}_K$. Let $\underline{D}_i := \mathbb{H}(M_i, F_i, \delta_i)$ be their associated $z$-isocrystals with Hodge-Pink structure over $K$ and let $(\mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{Q}_i) := \mathbb{S}(\underline{D}_i) \cong \mathbb{S}\,\mathbb{H}(M_i, F_i, \delta_i)$ be their associated pairs of $\sigma$-modules over $K\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$. Consider the $\sigma$-module $\mathcal{Q}'_i := M_i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K\llbracket z\rrbracket} K\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle$ over $K\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle$. It satisfies $\mathcal{Q}_i \cong \mathcal{Q}'_i \otimes K\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$. Let $f : \underline{D}_1 \to \underline{D}_2$ be a morphism and let $f : \mathcal{Q}_1 \to \mathcal{Q}_2$ be the induced morphism. Then by Proposition 1.3.5(a)

$$f \in \mathcal{H}om_\sigma(\mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2)^F(K) \;=\; \mathcal{H}om_\sigma\big(\mathcal{Q}'_1 \otimes K\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}], \mathcal{Q}'_2 \otimes K\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]\big)^F(K)\,.$$

Therefore we may fix an integer $n$ such that $z^n f$ induces a morphism of $\sigma$-modules $z^n f : \mathcal{Q}'_1 \to \mathcal{Q}'_2$.

Fix a rational number $r$ with $0 < r < 1$ and let $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_i := \sigma^* D_i \otimes_{k((z))} K\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^{r/q}}, \frac{\zeta}{z}\rangle$. The $K\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^{r/q}}\rangle$-module $M_i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]} K\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^{r/q}}\rangle$ is obtained as the gluing of $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_i$ with $\mathcal{Q}'_i$ via $F_i \circ (\sigma^*\delta_i)^{-1}$ over $\operatorname{Sp} K\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, \frac{\zeta}{z}\rangle \smallsetminus \mathrm{V}(z-\zeta)$. Moreover, since $M_i$ is a (locally) free $\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]$-module we can recover $M_i$ as the intersection

$$M_i \;=\; M_i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]} K\langle \tfrac{z}{\zeta^{r/q}}\rangle \;\cap\; M_i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]} \mathcal{O}_K[\![z, \tfrac{\zeta^r}{z}\rangle$$

inside $M_i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]} K\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^{r/q}}, \frac{\zeta^r}{z}\rangle$, where we set

$$\mathcal{O}_K[\![z, \tfrac{\zeta^r}{z}\rangle \;:=\; \Big\{ \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} b_i z^i : \; b_i \in \mathcal{O}_K\,,\; |b_i \zeta^{ri}| \to 0 \;(i \to -\infty) \Big\}\,.$$

By what was said above the morphism $z^n f : \underline{D}_1 \to \underline{D}_2$ induces the two compatible morphisms $z^n f : M_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]} K\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^{r/q}}\rangle \to M_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]} K\langle\frac{z}{\zeta^{r/q}}\rangle$ and

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]} \mathcal{O}_K[\![z, \tfrac{\zeta^r}{z}\rangle \arrow[r, "z^n f"] \arrow[d, "\delta_1"] & M_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K[\![z]\!]} \mathcal{O}_K[\![z, \tfrac{\zeta^r}{z}\rangle \arrow[d, "\delta_2"] \\
D_1 \otimes_{k((z))} \mathcal{O}_K[\![z, \tfrac{\zeta^r}{z}\rangle \arrow[r, "z^n f"] & D_2 \otimes_{k((z))} \mathcal{O}_K[\![z, \tfrac{\zeta^r}{z}\rangle
\end{tikzcd}$$

and therefore the desired morphism $z^n f : M_1 \to M_2$ of rigidified local shtuka. □

*Remark.* Let $X$ be an arbitrary admissible formal scheme over $\operatorname{Spf} R$ and let $(D, F_D)$ be a $z$-isocrystal over $k$. Consider the category of rigidified local shtuka $(M, F_M, \delta_M)$ over $X$ with constant $z$-isocrystal $(M, F_M) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X[\![z]\!]} \mathcal{O}_{X_0}[\![z]\!][z^{-1}] \;\cong\; (D, F_D) \otimes_{k((z))} \mathcal{O}_{X_0}[\![z]\!][z^{-1}]$. Here the rigidification consists of an isomorphism

$$\delta_M : \;\; M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X[\![z]\!]} \mathcal{O}_X[\![z, z^{-1}\}[t^{-1}] \;\xrightarrow{\;\sim\;}\; D \otimes_{k((z))} \mathcal{O}_X[\![z, z^{-1}\}[t^{-1}]$$

with $\delta_M \circ F_M = F_D \circ \sigma^*\delta_M$ and $\delta_M \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{O}_{X_0}[\![z]\!][z^{-1}]} = \mathrm{id}_D$. Then one can define the functor $\mathbb{H}_X : (M, F_M, \delta_M) \mapsto (D, F_D, \mathfrak{q})$ from rigidified local shtuka $(M, F_M, \delta_M)$ to families of Hodge-Pink structures on $(D, F_D)$ like in case $X = \operatorname{Spf} \mathcal{O}_K$ and the above proof shows the full faithfulness also for $\mathbb{H}_X$ over $X$.

## 2.5 Weakly Admissible Implies Admissible

As before assume that there is a fixed section $k \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_K$ of the residue map $\mathcal{O}_K \to k$. Assume that $K$ satisfies condition (2.1) from page 38. Let $\underline{D}$ be a $z$-isocrystal with Hodge-Pink structure over $K$. We want to show in this section that if $\underline{D}$ is weakly admissible then it is already admissible. This fact was first proved by Genestier and Lafforgue [28] under the assumption that $K$ is discretely valued with perfect residue field $k$. Here we give an entirely different proof which instead parallels the proofs of Berger [6] and Kisin [42] of the analogous result in mixed characteristic. As a preparation we need the following lemma which holds even without the above assumptions on $K$.

**Lemma 2.5.1.** *Let $\overline{M}$ be a $\sigma$-module over $K\{z, z^{-1}\}$ and let $N \subset \overline{M} \otimes K\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ be a saturated $\sigma$-submodule over $K\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$. Then there exists a unique saturated $\sigma$-submodule $\overline{N} \subset \overline{M}$ over $K\{z, z^{-1}\}$ with $\overline{N} \otimes K\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\} = N$.*

*Proof.* Repeated application of Lemma 1.6.8 allows us to extend $N \otimes K\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, \frac{\zeta^q}{z}\rangle$ to a saturated $\sigma$-submodule $N_i$ of $\overline{M} \otimes K\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^{q^{-i}}}, \frac{\zeta^q}{z}\rangle$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Gluing these with $N$ over $K\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, \frac{\zeta^q}{z}\rangle$ defines a saturated subsheaf $\overline{N}$ of $\overline{M}$ which is $F_{\overline{M}}$-invariant. By definition $\overline{N}$ is the desired saturated $\sigma$-submodule of $\overline{M}$. □

The key to showing that weakly admissible implies admissible is the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.5.2.** *Assume that $K$ satisfies condition (2.1) from page 38. Let $\underline{D}$ be a Hodge-Pink structure over $K$. Consider the associated pair $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}) = \mathbb{S}(\underline{D})$ of $\sigma$-modules over $K\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$. Let $\mathcal{Q}' \subset \mathcal{Q}$ be a saturated $\sigma$-submodule over $K\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ and let $\mathcal{P}'$ be the saturation inside $\mathcal{P}$ of $\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{Q}'$. Then there exists a subobject $\underline{D}'$ of $\underline{D}$ with $(\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{Q}') = \mathbb{S}(\underline{D}')$.*

*Proof.* If the subobject $\underline{D}' = (D', F_{D'}, \mathfrak{q}_{D'})$ of $\underline{D} = (D, F_D, \mathfrak{q}_D)$ exists we necessarily must have $\mathfrak{q}_{D'} = \mathfrak{q}_D \cap \sigma^* D' \otimes_{k(\!(z)\!)} K(\!(z-\zeta)\!)$ since $\mathcal{Q}' \subset \mathcal{Q}$ is saturated. Conversely it suffices to establish the existence of an $F_D$-stable subspace $D' \subset D$ with $\sigma^*(D', F_D|_{D'}) \otimes_{k(\!(z)\!)} K\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\} \;=\; \mathcal{P}'$ as $\sigma$-submodules of $\mathcal{P}$, since then $\mathfrak{q}_D \cap \sigma^* D' \otimes_{k(\!(z)\!)} K(\!(z-\zeta)\!)$ is the desired Hodge-Pink structure.

(a) First we claim that it suffices to prove the existence of $D'$ under the additional assumption that $k$ is algebraically closed. Namely, let $k^{\mathrm{alg}}$ be the algebraic closure of $k$ inside $\overline{K}$ and let $\widetilde{K}$ be the closure inside $\overline{K}$ of the compositum $k^{\mathrm{alg}} \cdot K$. Note that $\widetilde{K}$ and $K$ have the same value group. Assume we are given an $F_D$-stable $k^{\mathrm{alg}}(\!(z)\!)$-subspace $D'$ such that

$$\sigma^* D' \otimes_{k^{\mathrm{alg}}(\!(z)\!)} \widetilde{K}\langle \tfrac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\} \;=\; \mathcal{P}' \otimes \widetilde{K}\langle \tfrac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$$

as submodules of $\sigma^* D \otimes_{k(\!(z)\!)} \widetilde{K}\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$. Set $\mathfrak{q}_{D'} := \mathfrak{q}_D \otimes \widetilde{K}[\![z-\zeta]\!] \cap \sigma^* D' \otimes_{k^{\mathrm{alg}}(\!(z)\!)} \widetilde{K}(\!(z-\zeta)\!)$ and assume that $\mathbb{S}(D', F_{D'}, \mathfrak{q}_{D'}) = (\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{Q}') \otimes \widetilde{K}\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$. We must show that $D'$ descends to $k(\!(z)\!)$.

By Lemma 1.2.5 we may assume that $\dim_{k^{\mathrm{alg}}(\!(z)\!)} D' \;=\; \mathrm{rk}_{K\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}} \mathcal{P}' \;=\; 1$. Choose a basis $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ of $D$ over $k(\!(z)\!)$. Then $D'$ is generated over $k^{\mathrm{alg}}(\!(z)\!)$ by a vector $v = a_1 e_1 + \ldots + a_n e_n$ with $a_i \in k^{\mathrm{alg}}(\!(z)\!)$. Without loss of generality, $a_1 \neq 0$ and we can assume $a_1 = 1$. On the other hand $\mathcal{P}'$ is generated over $K\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ by a vector $w = b_1\, \sigma^* e_1 + \ldots + b_n\, \sigma^* e_n$ with $b_i \in K\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$. Since $\sigma^* D' \otimes \widetilde{K}\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\} = \mathcal{P}' \otimes \widetilde{K}\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ there exists a unit $a \in \widetilde{K}\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}^{\times}$ with $w = a\, \sigma^* v$. We find that $b_1 = a \in \widetilde{K}\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}^{\times} \cap K\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\} = K\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}^{\times}$ (for the last equality use [11, Lemma 9.7.1/1]). This yields $a_i^{\sigma} = a^{-1} b_i \in k^{\mathrm{alg}}(\!(z)\!) \cap K\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$. By expanding in powers of $z$ we conclude that $a_i^{\sigma} \in k(\!(z)\!)$ because $k^{\mathrm{alg}} \cap K = k$. So $\sigma^* D'$ descends to $k(\!(z)\!)$. Since $F_D$ maps $\sigma^* D'$ isomorphically onto $D'$ also $D'$ descends to $k(\!(z)\!)$ as desired.

(b) From now on we work over $\widetilde{K}$. By what was said above it suffices to assume that $\mathrm{rk}\, \mathcal{P}' = 1$ and to prove that $\mathcal{P}' \otimes \widetilde{K}\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ descends to a $\sigma$-submodule $\sigma^* D' \subset \sigma^* D \otimes k^{\mathrm{alg}}(\!(z)\!)$. Then $D' = (\sigma^{-1})^* \sigma^* D' \subset D \otimes k^{\mathrm{alg}}(\!(z)\!)$. Lemma 2.5.1 allows us to extend $\mathcal{P}' \otimes \widetilde{K}\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ to a saturated $\sigma$-submodule $\overline{\mathcal{P}}'$ of $\overline{\mathcal{P}} := \sigma^* D \otimes_{k(\!(z)\!)} \widetilde{K}\{z, z^{-1}\}$. Now consider the slope decomposition

$$(D \otimes k^{\mathrm{alg}}(\!(z)\!), F_D) \;\cong\; \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathcal{F}_{d_i,n_i}^{\oplus m_i}$$

from Theorem 1.2.10 and set $D_\lambda := \mathcal{F}_{d_i,n_i}^{\oplus m_i}$ for $\lambda = \frac{d_i}{n_i}$. It induces the slope decomposition $\overline{\mathcal{P}} \cong \bigoplus_\lambda \overline{\mathcal{P}}_\lambda$ with $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_\lambda := \sigma^* D_\lambda \otimes_{k^{\mathrm{alg}}(\!(z)\!)} \widetilde{K}\{z, z^{-1}\}$. Let $d := \deg \overline{\mathcal{P}}'$. We claim that $\overline{\mathcal{P}}' \subset \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{d/1}$.

To prove the claim consider the induced morphisms $f_\lambda : \overline{\mathcal{P}}' \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{P}} \to \overline{\mathcal{P}}_\lambda$. By Proposition 1.4.5, $f_\lambda = 0$ if $\lambda < d$. Thus it suffices to show that $f_\lambda = 0$ also for $\lambda > d$. Twisting with $\mathcal{O}(-d)$ allows us to assume that $d = 0$. Set $\frac{e}{s} := \lambda > 0$ with positive integers $e$ and $s$ and assume $f_\lambda \neq 0$. Replacing

$\sigma$ by $\sigma^s$ (and $q$ by $q^s$) we may assume that $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_\lambda = \mathcal{O}(e)^{\oplus n}$, that is $F_{\overline{\mathcal{P}}_\lambda} = z^{-e} \cdot \sigma$. Projecting onto a suitable summand we find a proper inclusion $\overline{\mathcal{P}}' \subset \mathcal{O}(e)$. There exists a rational number $r$ with $1 \le r < q$ such that the cokernel of $\overline{\mathcal{P}}' \subset \mathcal{O}(e)$ is supported on $\bigcup_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Sp} \widetilde{K}\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^{q^{-\nu}}}, \frac{\zeta^{rq^{-\nu}}}{z} \rangle$. We tensor the inclusion $\overline{\mathcal{P}}' \subset \mathcal{O}(e)$ with the principal ideal domain $\widetilde{K}\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, \frac{\zeta^r}{z} \rangle$ and we let $\sum_{\ell=0}^{e} a_\ell z^\ell \in \widetilde{K}[z]$ be the corresponding elementary divisor. Note that the length of the cokernel $\big(\mathcal{O}(e)/\overline{\mathcal{P}}'\big) \otimes \widetilde{K}\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, \frac{\zeta^r}{z} \rangle$ is $e$ by Proposition 1.4.4. We may assume $a_e = 1$. Then the $a_\ell$ are uniquely determined by Lemma 1.1.2. In particular $0 < |a_0| < 1$ since otherwise the length of the cokernel would be less than $e$. The $\sigma$-invariance of the inclusion $\overline{\mathcal{P}}' \subset \mathcal{O}(e)$ implies that we find $\sum_{\ell=0}^{e} a_\ell^{1/q} z^\ell \in \widetilde{K}[z]$ as the elementary divisor after tensoring with $\widetilde{K}\langle \frac{z}{\zeta^{1/q}}, \frac{\zeta^{r/q}}{z} \rangle$. Proceeding in this manner we obtain $a_0^{1/q^\nu} \in \widetilde{K}$ for arbitrarily large $\nu$. But this is impossible since $K$ satisfies condition (2.1) from page 38. Thus $f_\lambda = 0$ for all $\lambda \ne d$ and so $\overline{\mathcal{P}}' \subset \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{d/1}$.

(c) After twisting $D$ with $\mathcal{O}(-d)$ we obtain $\mathcal{P}' \subset \mathcal{P}_\lambda$ for $\lambda = 0$. We let $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ be a basis of $D_\lambda$ on which $F_D$ acts as $\sigma$. Then $F_{\mathcal{P}_\lambda} = \sigma$ and one sees that $\sigma^* D_\lambda = \mathcal{P}_\lambda^F(\widetilde{K}) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)} k^{\mathrm{alg}}(\!(z)\!)$. We claim that

$$\sigma^* D' \quad := \quad (\mathcal{P}')^F(\widetilde{K}) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)} k^{\mathrm{alg}}(\!(z)\!)$$

is the desired $\sigma$-submodule of $\sigma^* D \otimes k^{\mathrm{alg}}(\!(z)\!)$. Let $v = a_1\, \sigma^* e_1 + \ldots + a_n\, \sigma^* e_n$ with $a_i \in \widetilde{K}\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1} \}$ be a generator of $\mathcal{P}'$. Since $\deg \mathcal{P}' = 0$ there exists an isomorphism $\mathcal{P}' \otimes \overline{K}\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1} \} \cong \mathcal{O}(0)$ of $\sigma$-modules over $\overline{K}\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1} \}$. Thus we find a unit $b \in \overline{K}\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1} \}^\times$ with $F_{\mathcal{P}_\lambda}(b^\sigma \sigma^* v) = bv$, whence $(ba_i)^\sigma = ba_i \in \mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)$. It follows that $b \in \widetilde{K}\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1} \}^\times$. Replacing $v$ by $bv$ yields $v \in \sigma^* D_\lambda$ and so $\mathcal{P}'$ descends to a $\sigma$-submodule $\sigma^* D'$ with $\sigma^* D' \otimes_{k^{\mathrm{alg}}(\!(z)\!)} \widetilde{K}\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1} \} \cong \mathcal{P}' \otimes \widetilde{K}\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1} \}$. This proves the proposition. $\square$

**Theorem 2.5.3.** *Assume that $K$ satisfies condition (2.1) from page 38. Then the functor $\mathbb{H}$ is a tensor equivalence between the category of rigidified local shtuka over $\mathcal{O}_K$ up to isogeny and the category of $z$-isocrystals with Hodge-Pink structure over $K$ which are weakly admissible. In other words, weakly admissible implies admissible.*

*Remark.* We will show in Example 3.3.2 that weakly admissible need not imply admissible if $K$ does not satisfy condition (2.1).

*Remark.* To define a Hodge-Pink structure on a fixed $z$-isocrystal one needs only finitely many elements from $K$. Therefore every $z$-isocrystal with Hodge-Pink structure can be defined over a field $K$ which is topologically finitely generated over its residue field (which it contains by assumption). It might at first glance seem surprising that such a field could fail to satisfy condition (2.1). See however Example A.2.2(d) which shows that such a field can even be algebraically closed.

*Proof.* Let $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}) = \mathbb{S}(\underline{D})$. By Theorem 2.4.7 we must show that $\mathcal{Q}$ is isoclinic of slope zero. By Proposition 2.4.6 the weak admissibility implies that $\deg \mathcal{Q} = 0$. Assume that $\mathcal{Q}$ is not isoclinic. Then the Slope Filtration Theorem 1.7.7 yields a saturated $\sigma$-submodule $\mathcal{Q}' \subset \mathcal{Q}$ over $K\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1} \}$ which is isoclinic of negative slope, hence has positive degree. Let $\mathcal{P}'$ be the saturation inside $\mathcal{P}$ of $\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{Q}'$. From Proposition 2.5.2 we obtain a subobject $\underline{D}'$ of $\underline{D}$ with $\mathbb{S}(\underline{D}') = (\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{Q}')$. Then $t_H(\underline{D}') - t_N(\underline{D}') = \deg \mathcal{Q}' > 0$ by Lemma 2.4.5 contradicting the weak admissibility. This proves the theorem. $\square$

# Chapter 3

# Period Spaces

This chapter is devoted to the investigation of period spaces for Hodge-Pink structures. In Sections 3.1 and 3.2 we construct these period spaces, similarly to the period spaces Rapoport-Zink [51] constructed for Fontaine's filtered isocrystals, and we show that the admissible locus is Berkovich open. We illustrate this theory by describing some examples for period spaces in Section 3.3. Finally in Section 3.4 we formulate and prove a precise analogue of the conjecture of Rapoport-Zink mentioned in the introduction.

## 3.1 Period Spaces for Hodge-Pink Structures

In this section our aim is to study period spaces for Hodge-Pink structures which arise similarly to the period spaces of Rapoport and Zink [51] in mixed characteristic. Let $k$ be an extension of $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $n$ be a positive integer and let $b \in \mathrm{GL}_n\big(k(\!(z)\!)\big)$. Let $\underline{\mathrm{Rep}}_{\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)}\,\mathrm{GL}_n$ be the category of $\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)$-rational representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n$. To any object $V$ of $\underline{\mathrm{Rep}}_{\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)}\,\mathrm{GL}_n$ we associate a $z$-isocrystal over $k$

$$\big(V \otimes k(\!(z)\!),\, b \cdot (\,\mathrm{id} \otimes \sigma)\big)\,.$$

This defines a faithful $\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)$-linear exact tensor functor from $\underline{\mathrm{Rep}}_{\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)}\,\mathrm{GL}_n$ to the category of $z$-isocrystals over $k$. Let $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n\big(k(\!(z)\!)\big)$ and $b' = gb(g^\sigma)^{-1}$. In this case we say that $b$ and $b'$ are *$\sigma$-conjugate*. Then multiplication with $g$ defines an isomorphism between the tensor functor associated with $b$ and the tensor functor associated with $b'$.

**Definition 3.1.1.** A $\sigma$-conjugacy class $\bar{b}$ in $\mathrm{GL}_n(k(\!(z)\!))$ is called *decent* if there exists an element $b \in \bar{b}$ and a positive integer $s$ such that

$$(b \cdot \sigma)^s \;=\; b \cdot b^\sigma \cdot \ldots \cdot b^{\sigma^{s-1}} \cdot \sigma^s \;=\; \mathrm{diag}(z^{d_1}, \ldots, z^{d_n}) \cdot \sigma^s$$

where $d_i/s$ are the slopes of the $z$-isocrystal $D$ over $k(\!(z)\!)$. We call $b$ a *decent element* in $\bar{b}$ and the above equation a *decency equation for $b$ with the integer $s$*.

The following result is proved in the same manner as [51, Corollaries 1.9 and 1.10].

**Proposition 3.1.2.** *(a) If $\bar{b}$ is decent and $b$ and $s$ are as in Definition 3.1.1. Then $b \in \mathrm{GL}_n\big(k'(\!(z)\!)\big)$ where $k' = \mathbb{F}_{q^s} \cap k$.*

*(b) If $b_1, b_2 \in \bar{b}$ satisfy a decency equation with the same integer $s$, then $b_1$ and $b_2$ are $\sigma$-conjugate in $\mathrm{GL}_n\big(k'(\!(z)\!)\big)$.*

**Proposition 3.1.3.** *If $k$ is algebraically closed then any $\sigma$-conjugacy class in $\mathrm{GL}_n\big(k(\!(z)\!)\big)$ is decent.*

*Proof.* This follows directly from Theorem 1.2.10. □

**Lemma 3.1.4.** *If $b \in \bar{b}$ satisfies a decency equation with the integer $s$ then every $b\cdot(\mathrm{id}\otimes\sigma)$-stable subspace of $V \otimes \mathbb{F}_q^{\mathrm{alg}}(\!(z)\!)$ is obtained from a unique $b\cdot(\mathrm{id}\otimes\sigma)$-stable subspace of $V \otimes \mathbb{F}_{q^s}(\!(z)\!)$ by base change to $\mathbb{F}_q^{\mathrm{alg}}(\!(z)\!)$.*

*Proof.* Let $D' \subset V \otimes \mathbb{F}_q^{\mathrm{alg}}(\!(z)\!)$ be a $b\cdot(\mathrm{id}\otimes\sigma)$-stable subspace. By Lemma 1.2.5 it suffices to show that $D'$ descents to $\mathbb{F}_{q^s}(\!(z)\!)$ provided that $D'$ has dimension one. Choose a basis $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ of $V$ and a generator $v = a_1e_1 + \ldots + a_ne_n$ of $D'$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\mathrm{alg}}(\!(z)\!)$. Without loss of generality $a_1 \neq 0$ and we may assume $a_1 = 1$. There exists a unit $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\mathrm{alg}}(\!(z)\!)^\times$ with $b\,\sigma^* v = \alpha\, v$. By the decency condition this implies

$$\mathrm{diag}(z^{d_1}, \ldots, z^{d_n}) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a_1^{\sigma^s} \\ \vdots \\ a_1^{\sigma^s} \end{pmatrix} \;=\; \alpha\,\alpha^{\sigma}\cdot\ldots\cdot\alpha^{\sigma^{s-1}} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence $z^{d_1} = \alpha\,\alpha^{\sigma}\cdot\ldots\cdot\alpha^{\sigma^{s-1}}$ and as desired all $a_i$ belong to $\mathbb{F}_{q^s}(\!(z)\!)$ (and are zero if $d_i \neq d_1$). □

Now let $K$ be a complete extension of $k(\!(\zeta)\!)$ and let $b \in \mathrm{GL}_n\big(k(\!(z)\!)\big)$ and $\gamma \in \mathrm{GL}_n\big(K(\!(z-\zeta)\!)\big)$. To any $\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)$-rational representation $\rho : \mathrm{GL}_n \to \mathrm{GL}(V)$ we associate the $z$-isocrystal with Hodge-Pink structure

$$H(V) \;:=\; H_{b,\gamma}(V) \;:=\; \Big(V \otimes k(\!(z)\!)\,,\; \rho(b)\cdot(\mathrm{id}\otimes\sigma)\,,\; \rho(\gamma)\cdot\big(V \otimes K[\![z-\zeta]\!]\big)\Big)\,.$$

Consider the composition $\det_V \circ \rho$ it factors through $\det : \mathrm{GL}_n \to \mathbb{G}_m$ in the form $\det_V \circ\rho = (\det)^{m_V}$ for an integer $m_V$. We obtain

$$t_N\big(H_{b,\gamma}(V)\big) \;=\; m_V \,\mathrm{ord}_z(\det b)\,, \qquad t_H\big(H_{b,\gamma}(V)\big) \;=\; -m_V\,\mathrm{ord}_{z-\zeta}(\det\gamma)\,.$$

The following equivalence of assertions parallels the case of mixed characteristic. Note however that its proof is more subtle since the category $\underline{\mathrm{Rep}}_{\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)}\mathrm{GL}_n$ is not semi-simple as opposed to $\underline{\mathrm{Rep}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathrm{GL}_n$.

**Definition 3.1.5.** We call the pair $(b,\gamma)$ *admissible* if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

(a) for any $\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)$-rational representation $V$ of $\mathrm{GL}_n$ the $z$-isocrystal with Hodge-Pink structure $H_{b,\gamma}(V)$ is admissible,

(b) there exists a faithful $\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)$-rational representation $V$ of $\mathrm{GL}_n$ for which the $z$-isocrystal with Hodge-Pink structure $H_{b,\gamma}(V)$ is admissible.

We make the same definition for *weakly admissible.*

*Proof.* Clearly (a) implies (b). For the converse fix a faithful representation $V$. Then any $\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)$-rational representation $W$ of $\mathrm{GL}_n$ is a subquotient of $U := \bigoplus_{i=1}^r V^{\otimes m_i} \otimes (V^\vee)^{\otimes n_i}$ for suitable $r$, $m_i$ and $n_i$. Since $U$ is admissible by Theorem 2.3.4 (respectively weakly admissible by Theorem 2.2.5) if $V$ is, it suffices to show that (weak) admissibility is preserved under passage to sub-representations and quotient representations.

The weak admissibility of the faithful representation $V$ (which necessarily has $m_V \neq 0$) implies $\mathrm{ord}_z(\det b) = -\,\mathrm{ord}_{z-\zeta}(\det\gamma)$ and thus

$$t_N\big(H_{b,\gamma}(W)\big) \;=\; t_H\big(H_{b,\gamma}(W)$$

for all representations $W$. Now let $W$ be a representation such that $H_{b,\gamma}(W)$ is weakly admissible. Then the equivalent conditions from Definition 2.2.4 show that for every sub-representation or quotient representation $W'$ of $W$ also $H_{b,\gamma}(W')$ is weakly admissible.

If $W$ is actually admissible let $(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}) = \mathbb{S}\big(H_{b,\gamma}(W)\big)$. Then $\mathcal{Q}$ is isoclinic of slope zero by Theorem 2.4.7. If $W' \subset W$ is a sub-representation set $(\mathcal{P}',\mathcal{Q}') = \mathbb{S}\big(H_{b,\gamma}(W')\big)$. Over an algebraically closed complete extension $\mathbb{C}$ of $K$ the $\sigma$-submodule $\mathcal{Q}'$ of $\mathcal{Q}$ is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_i \mathcal{F}_{d_i,n_i}$. Since $\mathcal{Q}$ is isoclinic of slope zero, all $d_i \le 0$ by Proposition 1.4.5. Since

$$\sum_i d_i \;=\; \deg \mathcal{Q}' \;=\; t_H\big(H_{b,\gamma}(W')\big) - t_N\big(H_{b,\gamma}(W') \;=\; 0$$

by Lemma 2.4.5 all $d_i$ must be zero and $\mathcal{Q}'$ is isoclinic of slope zero. By Theorem 2.4.7, $H_{b,\gamma}(W')$ is admissible. Dually if $W''$ is a quotient representation of $W$ and $(\mathcal{P}'',\mathcal{Q}'') = \mathbb{S}\big(H_{b,\gamma}(W'')\big)$ with $\mathcal{Q}'' \otimes \mathbb{C}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\} \cong \bigoplus_i \mathcal{F}_{d_i,n_i}$, the fact that $\mathcal{Q}$ is isoclinic implies $d_i \ge 0$ and $\deg \mathcal{Q}'' = 0$ implies $d_i = 0$. So again $\mathcal{Q}''$ is isoclinic of slope zero and $H_{b,\gamma}(W'')$ is admissible. □

We consider the (faithful) standard representation $V = \mathbb{F}_q((z))^{\oplus n}$ in $\underline{\mathrm{Rep}}_{\mathbb{F}_q((z))}\,\mathrm{GL}_n$. We also fix Hodge-Pink weights $\underline{w} = (w_1 \le \ldots \le w_n)$.

**Definition 3.1.6.** The *space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{\underline{w}}$ of Hodge-Pink structures of weights $\underline{w}$* is defined as follows. For any $\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))$-algebra $B$ we set $\mathfrak{p}_B = V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q((z))} B[\![z-\zeta]\!]$ and we let $\mathcal{H}(B)$ be the set

$$\Big\{ \begin{array}{l} B[\![z-\zeta]\!]\text{-lattices } \mathfrak{q} \text{ in } \mathfrak{p}_B[\tfrac{1}{z-\zeta}] \text{ which are direct summands as } B\text{-modules} \\ \qquad \text{such that for every point } x \in \operatorname{Spec} B \text{ and every integer } e \ge w_n \\ \qquad\qquad (z-\zeta)^{-e}\mathfrak{p}_x/\mathfrak{q}_x \;\cong\; \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \kappa(x)[\![z-\zeta]\!]/(z-\zeta)^{e-w_i} \end{array} \Big\}.$$

**Remark 3.1.7.** As was noted in Remark 2.2.3 the lattice $\mathfrak{q}$ contains more information than just the Hodge-Pink filtration. We have to allow for this in the definition of $\mathcal{H}$. It is not possible here to define $\mathcal{H}$ solely through the Hodge cocharacter as is done in mixed characteristic [51, 1.31]. Correspondingly $\mathcal{H}$ will not be a partial flag variety but a partial jet bundle over a partial flag variety (see below). The jets precisely take account of the fact mentioned in 2.2.3 that more general lattices can occur in equal characteristic as opposed to $p$-adic Hodge theory.

Now let

$$\mathfrak{q}_0 \;:=\; \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (z-\zeta)^{-w_i}\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))[\![z-\zeta]\!] \;\subset\; (\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))})[\tfrac{1}{z-\zeta}]\,.$$

Then $\mathfrak{q}_0$ has Hodge-Pink weights $\underline{w}$. Fix an integer $e$ with $-e \le w_1$ and $w_n \le e$. Then

$$(z-\zeta)^e\mathfrak{p} \;\subset\; \mathfrak{q}_0 \;\subset\; (z-\zeta)^{-e}\mathfrak{p}\,.$$

If $K \supset \mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))$ is a field and $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{H}(K)$ then by the theorem on elementary divisors, $\mathfrak{q}$ is conjugate to $\mathfrak{q}_0$ under $\mathrm{GL}_n\big(K[\![z-\zeta]\!]\big)$. Therefore $\mathcal{H}_{\underline{w}}$ is represented by the homogeneous space

$$\mathrm{GL}_n\big((z-\zeta)^{-e}\mathfrak{p}\big)/\operatorname{Stab}(\mathfrak{q}_0) \;=\; \mathrm{GL}_n\Big((z-\zeta)^{-e}\mathfrak{p}/(z-\zeta)^e\mathfrak{p}\Big)/\operatorname{Stab}\Big(\mathfrak{q}_0/(z-\zeta)^e\mathfrak{p}\Big)\,.$$

Let $\widetilde{G}$ be the Weil restriction

$$\widetilde{G} \;:=\; \mathit{Restr}_{\big(\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))[\![z-\zeta]\!]/(z-\zeta)^{2e}\big)/\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))}\,\mathrm{GL}_n\,.$$

It is a smooth connected algebraic group over $\mathbb{F}_q(\!(\zeta)\!)$ and isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}_n\big((z-\zeta)^{-e}\mathfrak{p}/(z-\zeta)^e\mathfrak{p}\big)$. The stabilizer $\mathrm{Stab}\big(\mathfrak{q}_0/(z-\zeta)^e\mathfrak{p}\big)$ is the smooth closed algebraic subgroup $S \subset \widetilde{G}$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_q(\!(\zeta)\!)$, whose $B$-valued points are

$$\begin{aligned} S(B) \;\; = \;\; \Big\{ (a_{ij})_{i,j} \;\in\; \widetilde{G}(B) \;=\; \mathrm{GL}_n\big(B[\![z-\zeta]\!]/(z-\zeta)^{2e}\big) : \\ a_{ij} \;\in\; (z-\zeta)^{w_j - w_i} B[\![z-\zeta]\!]/(z-\zeta)^{2e} \quad \text{for } i<j \Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the homogeneous space $\mathcal{H} \cong \widetilde{G}/S$ is a smooth algebraic variety over $\mathbb{F}_q(\!(\zeta)\!)$ and the quotient morphism $\widetilde{G} \to \mathcal{H}$ is smooth. $\mathcal{H}$ is quasi-projective, since giving $\mathfrak{q}_x$ is equivalent to giving the subspace

$$\mathfrak{q}_x/(z-\zeta)^e\mathfrak{p}_x \;\;\subset\;\; (z-\zeta)^{-e}\mathfrak{p}_x/(z-\zeta)^e\mathfrak{p}_x$$

and this defines an embedding of $\mathcal{H}$ into some Grassmanian. The group $S$ is contained in the parahoric subgroup $\widetilde{S} \subset \widetilde{G}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{S}(B) \;\; = \;\; \Big\{ (a_{ij})_{i,j} \;\in\; \widetilde{G}(B) \;=\; \mathrm{GL}_n\big(B[\![z-\zeta]\!]/(z-\zeta)^{2e}\big) : \\ a_{ij} \;\in\; (z-\zeta) B[\![z-\zeta]\!]/(z-\zeta)^{2e} \quad \text{whenever } w_i < w_j \Big\} \end{aligned}$$

and the quotient $\widetilde{G}/\widetilde{S}$ is a partial flag variety over $\mathbb{F}_q(\!(\zeta)\!)$ onto which $\mathcal{H}$ naturally projects. The fibers of this projection are isomorphic to $\widetilde{S}/S$, that is they are affine spaces. Altogether we have proved the following

**Proposition 3.1.8.** *The space $\mathcal{H}_{\underline{w}}$ is a smooth quasi-projective variety over $\mathbb{F}_q(\!(\zeta)\!)$. More precisely, it is a partial jet bundle over a partial flag variety.* □

**Example 3.1.9.** Let $n=3$ and $w_0 = -1 < w_1 = 0 < w_2 = 1$. Then $e=1$ and $\mathcal{H}$ equals the quotient of $\widetilde{G} = \mathit{Restr}_{(\mathbb{F}_q(\!(\zeta)\!)[\![z-\zeta]\!]/(z-\zeta)^2)/\mathbb{F}_q(\!(\zeta)\!)}\,\mathrm{GL}_3$ by

$$S \;=\; \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & a\cdot(z-\zeta) & 0 \\ * & * & b\cdot(z-\zeta) \\ * & * & * \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Here

$$\widetilde{S} \;=\; \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & a\cdot(z-\zeta) & c\cdot(z-\zeta) \\ * & * & b\cdot(z-\zeta) \\ * & * & * \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

and so $\mathcal{H}$ is a line bundle over the full flag variety.

Next we want to construct analytic subspaces of $\mathcal{H}_{\underline{w}}$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_q^{\mathrm{alg}}(\!(\zeta)\!)$. We fix an element $b \in \mathrm{GL}_n\big(\mathbb{F}_q^{\mathrm{alg}}(\!(z)\!)\big)$. Let $x \in \mathcal{H}_{\underline{w}}(K)$ be a point for a complete extension $K$ of $\mathbb{F}_q^{\mathrm{alg}}(\!(\zeta)\!)$ and let $\gamma_x$ be an element of $\mathrm{GL}_n\big(K(\!(z-\zeta)\!)\big)$ with $\mathfrak{q}_x = \gamma_x \cdot \mathfrak{p}_x$. The point $x$ is called (*weakly*) *admissible* with respect to $b$ if the pair $(b, \gamma_x)$ is (weakly) admissible, that is if the $z$-isocrystal with Hodge-Pink structure

$$H_{b,\gamma_x}(V) \;\;=\;\; \Big(V \otimes \mathbb{F}_q^{\mathrm{alg}}(\!(z)\!),\, b\cdot(\mathrm{id}\otimes\sigma),\, \mathfrak{q}_x\Big)$$

is (weakly) admissible. This definition does not depend on the choice of $\gamma_x$.

We will show in Theorem 3.2.5 below that the subset of all weakly admissible points is a rigid analytic subspace of $\mathcal{H}_{\underline{w}} \otimes \mathbb{F}_q^{\mathrm{alg}}(\!(\zeta)\!)$. However, in order to define the subspace of all admissible points it is best to consider the variety $\mathcal{H}_{\underline{w}} \otimes \mathbb{F}_q^{\mathrm{alg}}(\!(\zeta)\!)$ as a Berkovich space (see Appendix A.2) instead of rigid analytic space. The reason is that one should not only consider its classical rigid analytic points (whose residue field is finite over $\mathbb{F}_q^{\mathrm{alg}}((\zeta))$) but also their $K$-valued points for arbitrary complete extensions $K$ of $\mathbb{F}_q^{\mathrm{alg}}((\zeta))$. Namely by Theorem 2.5.3 the $z$-isocrystals with Hodge-Pink structure at the former points are already admissible as soon as they are weakly admissible, whereas this is not true for the later points. The difference between the subspaces of admissible respectively weakly admissible points can thus only be revealed if one considers also the later points. The Berkovich space associated to $\mathcal{H}_{\underline{w}} \otimes \mathbb{F}_q^{\mathrm{alg}}((\zeta))$ naturally contains those.

So we let $\mathcal{H}_{\underline{w}}^{\mathrm{an}}$ be the Berkovich space over $\mathbb{F}_q^{\mathrm{alg}}((\zeta))$ associated with the variety $\mathcal{H}_{\underline{w}} \otimes \mathbb{F}_q^{\mathrm{alg}}((\zeta))$ (see Appendix A.2) and we denote by $\mathcal{H}_b^{wa} = \mathcal{H}_{\underline{w},b}^{wa}$ (respectively $\mathcal{H}_b^{a} = \mathcal{H}_{\underline{w},b}^{a}$) the subset of weakly admissible (respectively admissible) points of $\mathcal{H}_{\underline{w}}^{\mathrm{an}}$. Of course these sets may be empty if the numerical invariants $\underline{w}$ are wrong. In the next section we shall see that they are open analytic subspaces of $\mathcal{H}_{\underline{w}}^{\mathrm{an}}$.

**Definition 3.1.10.** The space $\mathcal{H}_{\underline{w},b}^{wa}$ is called the *period space* of Hodge-Pink structures of weights $\underline{w}$ on the (constant) $z$-isocrystal $\big(V \otimes k((z)),\, b \cdot (\mathrm{id} \otimes \sigma)\big)$.

**Proposition 3.1.11.** *Tensoring with the Tate object* $\mathbb{1}(e)$*, that is replacing* $b$ *by* $z^e b$ *and* $\mathfrak{q}$ *by* $(z-\zeta)^{-e} \cdot \mathfrak{q}$*, defines an isomorphism* $\mathcal{H}_{\underline{w}} \to \mathcal{H}_{\underline{w}'}$*, where* $\underline{w}' = (w_1 + e \leq \ldots \leq w_n + e)$*. This isomorphism maps* $\mathcal{H}_{\underline{w},b}^{a}$ *onto* $\mathcal{H}_{\underline{w}',z^e b}^{a}$ *and* $\mathcal{H}_{\underline{w},b}^{wa}$ *onto* $\mathcal{H}_{\underline{w}',z^e b}^{wa}$*.*

*Proof.* This follows from the admissibility of the Tate object $\mathbb{1}(e)$ and Theorems 2.2.5 and 2.3.4. □

The space $\mathcal{H}_{\underline{w}}$ is equipped with an action of the automorphism group $J$ of the $z$-isocrystal $\big(V \otimes k((z)),\, b \cdot (\mathrm{id} \otimes \sigma)\big)$. This action stabilizes the subsets $\mathcal{H}_{\underline{w},b}^{a}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\underline{w},b}^{wa}$. The group $J$ can be described analogously to the situation in mixed characteristic.

**Proposition 3.1.12.** *[51, Proposition 1.12] Let* $b \in \mathrm{GL}_n\big(\mathbb{F}_q^{\mathrm{alg}}((z))\big)$ *then the functor on the category of* $\mathbb{F}_q((z))$*-algebras* $A$

$$J(A) \;:=\; \big\{\, g \in \mathrm{GL}_n\big(A \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q((z))} \mathbb{F}_q^{\mathrm{alg}}((z))\big) :\; g\, b \;=\; b\, g^{\sigma} \,\big\}$$

*is representable by a smooth affine group scheme over* $\mathbb{F}_q((z))$*.*

**Proposition 3.1.13.** *[51, Corollary 1.14] Assume that* $b \in \mathrm{GL}_n\big(\mathbb{F}_{q^s}((z))\big)$ *satisfies a decency equation of the form*

$$(b \cdot \sigma)^s \;=\; \mathrm{diag}(z^{d_1}, \ldots, z^{d_n}) \cdot \sigma^s$$

*and let* $s\nu : \mathbb{G}_m \to \mathrm{GL}_n$ *be the cocharacter over* $\mathbb{F}_q((z))$ *with* $s\nu(z) = \mathrm{diag}(z^{d_1}, \ldots, z^{d_n})$*. Then* $J$ *is an inner form of the centralizer of* $s\nu$*. It is a Levi subgroup of* $\mathrm{GL}_n \otimes \mathbb{F}_{q^s}((z))$*.*

## 3.2 Openness of the Admissible Locus

The key to showing that the admissible locus is Berkovich open is the following lemma. Recall the definition of the analytic spectrum $\mathcal{M}(B)$ of an affinoid $\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))$-algebra $B$ from Definition A.2.1.

**Lemma 3.2.1.** *Let* $U = \mathcal{M}\big(\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))\langle \frac{u}{\zeta} \rangle\big)$ *be the closed disc with radius* $|\zeta|$ *over* $\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))$*. Let* $j, d, e$ *be positive integers with* $j \leq d$*. For a point* $x \in U$ *consider the element*

$$\sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{-d\nu + j}\, u^{q^{\nu}} \bmod (z-\zeta)^e \;=\; \sum_{\rho=0}^{e-1} y_\rho (z-\zeta)^\rho$$

*in* $\widehat{\kappa(x)^{\mathrm{alg}}}[\![z-\zeta]\!]/(z-\zeta)^e$. *Let* $\mathbb{A}^e_{\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))} = \mathcal{M}\big(\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))[Y_0,\dots,Y_{e-1}]\big)$ *be the Berkovich space associated with affine e-space over* $\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))$ *and let* $\alpha(x)$ *be the point of* $\mathbb{A}^e_{\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))}$ *given by*

$$\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))[Y_0,\dots,Y_{e-1}] \;\longrightarrow\; \widehat{\kappa(x)^{\mathrm{alg}}}\,, \quad Y_\rho \;\mapsto\; y_\rho\,.$$

*Then the map* $\alpha : U \to \mathbb{A}^e_{\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))}$, $x \mapsto \alpha(x)$ *is a continuous map of topological Hausdorff spaces.*

*Proof.* Note the following explicit formulas for the $y_\rho$:

$$y_\rho \;=\; \text{“}\,\frac{1}{\rho!}\,\frac{d^\rho}{dz^\rho}\,\text{”}\,\Big(\sum_{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}} z^{-d\nu+j}\,u^{q^\nu}\Big)\Big|_{z=\zeta} \;=\; \sum_{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}}\binom{-d\nu+j}{\rho}\zeta^{-d\nu+j-\rho}u^{q^\nu}\,.$$

Since $|u|_x \le |\zeta|$ for all $x \in U$ we see that the $y_\rho(x)$ are bounded. Hence the image of $\alpha$ lands in a polydisc $\mathbb{D}(\eta)^e = \mathcal{M}\big(\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))\langle \frac{y_0}{\eta},\dots,\frac{y_{e-1}}{\eta}\rangle\big)$ with radii $(|\eta|,\dots,|\eta|)$ for some $\eta \in \mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))$. By definition of the topology on $\mathbb{A}^e_{\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))}$ and $U$ (Definition A.2.1), $\alpha$ is continuous if and only if for any $f \in \mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))[Y_0,\dots,Y_{e-1}]$ and any open interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}_{\ge 0}$ the preimage under $\alpha$ of the open set

$$\{\, x \in \mathbb{A}^e_{\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))} :\; |f|_x \in I \,\}$$

is open in $U$. Write $f = \sum_{\underline{\mu}} a_{\underline{\mu}} \underline{Y}^{\underline{\mu}}$ where $a_{\underline{\mu}} \in \mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))$ for every multi-index $\underline{\mu} \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$. If we set $Y_\rho = Y'_\rho + Y''_\rho$ we obtain from the Taylor expansion of $f$ in powers of $\underline{Y}''$ a bound $c$ such that the condition $|Y''_\rho|_x \le c$ for all $\rho$ implies

$$|f(\underline{Y})|_x \in I \quad\text{and}\quad |\underline{Y}|_x \le |\eta| \qquad\Longleftrightarrow\qquad |f(\underline{Y}')|_x \in I \quad\text{and}\quad |\underline{Y}'|_x \le |\eta|\,.$$

Now we fix a negative integer $m$ and set

$$y'_\rho \;:=\; \sum_{\nu\ge m}\binom{-d\nu+j}{\rho}\zeta^{-d\nu+j-\rho}u^{q^\nu} \qquad\text{and}\qquad y''_\rho \;:=\; \sum_{\nu< m}\binom{-d\nu+j}{\rho}\zeta^{-d\nu+j-\rho}u^{q^\nu}\,.$$

Since $|u^{q^\nu}|_x < 1$ for any $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$ and any $x \in U$ we can find a small enough $m$ such that $|y''_\rho|_x \le c$ for all $\rho$ and for all $x \in U$. Thus we are reduced to showing that

$$W \;=\; \{\, x \in U :\; \big|f\big(y'_0(u),\dots,y'_{e-1}(u)\big)\big|_x \in I \,\}$$

is open in $U$. For this purpose consider the map $\beta : \mathcal{M}\big(\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))\langle\frac{v}{\zeta^{q^m}}\rangle\big) \to U$ given by $\beta^* u = v^{q^{-m}}$. It is a homeomorphism since it is a continuous map between compact spaces, which is bijective because any morphism $\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))\langle\frac{u}{\zeta}\rangle \to \kappa(x)$ uniquely lifts to a morphism $\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))\langle\frac{v}{\zeta^{q^m}}\rangle \to \widehat{\kappa(x)^{\mathrm{alg}}}$. We have $y'_\rho \in \mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))\langle\frac{v}{\zeta^{q^m}}\rangle$ for all $\rho$, and since the map

$$\mathcal{M}\big(\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))\langle\tfrac{v}{\zeta^{q^m}}\rangle\big) \to \mathcal{M}\big(\mathbb{A}^e_{\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))}\big)\,, \quad v \mapsto \big(y'_0(v),\dots,y'_{e-1}(v)\big)$$

is continuous, the set $W$ is open as desired. $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.2.** *Let* $\bar b$ *be a* $\sigma$*-conjugacy class in* $\mathrm{GL}_n\big(\mathbb{F}_q^{\mathrm{alg}}((z))\big)$ *and let* $b \in \mathrm{GL}_n\big(\mathbb{F}_{q^s}((z))\big)$ *be a decent element of* $\bar b$ *satisfying a decency equation with the integer* $s > 0$ *(Definition 3.1.1). Let* $\mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}}$ *be the Berkovich space over* $\mathbb{F}_{q^s}((\zeta))$ *associated with the variety* $\mathcal{H}_{\underline{w}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))} \mathbb{F}_{q^s}((\zeta))$. *Then the set*

$$\mathcal{H}_b^a \;:=\; \mathcal{H}_{\underline{w},b}^a \;:=\; \{\, x \in \mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}} :\; (D, F_D, \mathfrak{q}_x) \text{ is admissible} \,\}$$

*is Berkovich open in* $\mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}}$. *If* $b' \in \bar b$ *satisfies a decency equation with the same integer* $s$ *then any* $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n\big(\mathbb{F}_{q^s}((z))\big)$ *with* $b' = gb(g^{-1})^\sigma$ *induces an isomorphism* $\mathfrak{q}_x \mapsto g(\mathfrak{q}_x) =: \mathfrak{q}_{g(x)}$ *between* $\mathcal{H}_b^a$ *and* $\mathcal{H}_{b'}^a$.

*Proof.* (a) The last statement is clear. We prove the first. By Proposition 3.1.11 we may tensor with a suitable Tate object and assume that all Hodge-Pink weights are non-positive. Fix an integer $e$ bigger than the absolute values of all Hodge-Pink weights. So $(z-\zeta)^e\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{q} \subset \mathfrak{p}$. Consider the pair $(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})$ of $\sigma$-modules over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}}}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta},z^{-1}\}$ defined by the universal Hodge-Pink structure. By Theorem 2.4.7 a point $x\in\mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}}$ belongs to the complement of $\mathcal{H}_b^a$ if and only if $\mathcal{Q}_x$ is not isoclinic of slope zero. By Theorem 1.4.2 and Proposition 1.4.5 the later is the case if and only if for some algebraically closed complete extension $\mathbb{C}$ of $\kappa(x)$ there exists a non-trivial homomorphism of $\sigma$-modules over $\mathbb{C}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta},z^{-1}\}$ from $\mathcal{F}_{1,n}$ to $\mathcal{Q}_x\otimes\mathbb{C}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta},z^{-1}\}$. Let $s'$ be the least common multiple of $s$ and $n$ and replace $s$ by $s'$. From now on we view all $\sigma$-modules as $\sigma^s$-modules by replacing $F$ by $F^s$. As a $\sigma^s$-module $\mathcal{F}_{1,n}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(\frac{s}{n})^{\oplus n}$. So if $x$ is not admissible then for some algebraically closed complete extension $\mathbb{C}$ of $\kappa(x)$ the $\sigma^s$-module $\mathcal{Q}_x\otimes\mathbb{C}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta},z^{-1}\}$ contains a $\sigma^s$-module $\mathcal{O}(1)$. Conversely the later implies that $\mathcal{Q}_x\otimes\mathbb{C}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta},z^{-1}\}\not\cong\mathcal{O}(0)$ as $\sigma$-modules and $x$ is not admissible. Now there is an isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\sigma^s}\bigl(\mathcal{O}(1)\,,\,\mathcal{Q}_x\otimes\mathbb{C}\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta},z^{-1}\}\bigr)\ \xrightarrow{\ \sim\ }\ \mathcal{Q}_x(-1)\,,\quad \varphi\ \mapsto\ \varphi(1)\,.$$

We identify the $K\langle\frac{z}{\zeta},z^{-1}\}$-modules underlying $\mathcal{Q}_x$ and $\mathcal{Q}_x(-1)$. Then $\mathcal{Q}_x(1)=(\mathcal{Q}_x,F^s=z\cdot F^s_{\mathcal{Q}_x})$. We obtain

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\sigma^s}\bigl(\mathcal{O}(1)\,,\,\mathcal{Q}_x\otimes\mathbb{C}\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta},z^{-1}\}\bigr)\ \cong\ \Bigl\{f\in\bigl(\mathcal{P}(-1)\otimes\mathbb{C}\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta},z^{-1}\}\bigr)^{F^s}(\mathbb{C}):\\ f\in(\sigma^r)^*\mathfrak{q}_x\otimes\mathbb{C}[\![z-\zeta^{q^r}]\!]\text{ for all }r=0,\ldots,s-1\Bigr\}\,.$$

Recall the algebraic groups $\widetilde{G}$ and $S\subset\widetilde{G}$ from the previous section and the isomorphism $\mathcal{H}\cong\widetilde{G}/S$. Let $\widetilde{G}_s^{\mathrm{an}}$ be the Berkovich space over $\mathbb{F}_{q^s}(\!(\zeta)\!)$ associated with the group scheme $\widetilde{G}\otimes\mathbb{F}_{q^s}(\!(z)\!)$. Thus $x\in\mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}}$ is not admissible if and only if there is a point $g\in\widetilde{G}_s^{\mathrm{an}}$ mapping to $x$, an algebraically closed complete extension $\mathbb{C}$ of $\kappa(x)$, and an $f\in\bigl(\mathcal{P}(-1)\otimes\mathbb{C}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta},z^{-1}\}\bigr)^{F^s}(\mathbb{C})$, $f\neq0$ with

$$(g^{-1})^{\sigma^r}f\ \in\ (\sigma^r)^*\mathfrak{q}_0\otimes\mathbb{C}[\![z-\zeta^{q^r}]\!]\qquad\text{for all }r=0,\ldots,s-1\,.\tag{3.1}$$

(Note that $\mathfrak{q}_x=g\cdot\mathfrak{q}_0$.) A priory $(g^{-1})^{\sigma^r}f\in(\sigma^r)^*\mathfrak{p}\otimes\mathbb{C}[\![z-\zeta^{q^r}]\!]$, so the later condition is Zariski-closed.

(b) For $\eta\in\mathbb{F}_{q^s}(\!(\zeta)\!)$ consider the polydisc $\mathbb{D}(\eta)^{sne}=\mathcal{M}\bigl(\mathbb{F}_{q^s}\langle\frac{y_0}{\eta},\ldots,\frac{y_{sne-1}}{\eta}\rangle\bigr)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^s}(\!(\zeta)\!)$ with radii $(|\eta|,\ldots,|\eta|)$. We represent the $K$-valued points of $\mathbb{D}(\eta)^{sne}$ in the form $(h_r)_{r=0}^{s-1}$ with $h_r=\sum_{j=0}^{e-1}y_{jr}(z-\zeta^{q^r})^j$ where $y_{jr}\in\mathbb{D}(\eta)^n(K)=\{\,y\in K^n:|y|\le|\eta|\,\}$. We shall exhibit in (c) below a constant $\eta\in\mathbb{F}_{q^s}(\!(\zeta)\!)$ and a compact subset $C$ of the polydisc $\mathbb{D}(\eta)^{sne}$ such that if $\mathbb{C}$ is an algebraically closed complete extension of $\mathbb{F}_q(\!(\zeta)\!)$ and $f\in\bigl(\mathcal{P}(-1)\otimes\mathbb{C}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta},z^{-1}\}\bigr)^{F^s}(\mathbb{C})$, $f\neq0$ then for some integer $N$,

$$\Bigl(z^Nf\bmod(z-\zeta^{q^r})^e\Bigr)_{r=0}^{s-1}$$

is a $\mathbb{C}$-valued point of $C$, and $C$ consists precisely of those points. Note that $z\in\mathbb{C}[\![z-\zeta]\!]^\times$ is a unit. Now we view $\mathfrak{p}/(z-\zeta)^e\mathfrak{p}$ as affine $ne$-space over $\mathbb{F}_{q^s}(\!(\zeta)\!)$ by interpreting, for any $\mathbb{F}_{q^s}(\!(\zeta)\!)$-algebra $B$, an element $\sum_{i=0}^{e-1}f_i(z-\zeta)^i\in\mathfrak{p}/(z-\zeta)^e\mathfrak{p}\otimes B$ with $f_i\in B$ as the point $(f_i)_{i=0}^{e-1}$ of affine $ne$-space. We consider the continuous map

$$\begin{aligned}\beta:\ \widetilde{G}_s^{\mathrm{an}}\times_{\mathbb{F}_{q^s}(\!(\zeta)\!)}\mathbb{D}(\eta)^{sne}\ &\longrightarrow\ \prod_{r=0}^{s-1}(\sigma^r)^*\bigl(\mathfrak{p}\,/\,(z-\zeta)^e\mathfrak{p}\bigr)\\ \Bigl(g\,,\,(h_r)_{r=0}^{s-1}\Bigr)\ &\mapsto\ \Bigl((g^{-1})^{\sigma^r}\cdot h_r\bmod(z-\zeta^{q^r})^e\Bigr)_{r=0}^{s-1}\end{aligned}$$

and the closed subset $W$ of $\widetilde{G}_s^{\mathrm{an}} \times_{\mathbb{F}_{q^s}((\zeta))} \mathbb{D}(\eta)^{sne}$ defined by (the pullback of) condition (3.1) and the condition that $(h_r)_{r=0}^{s-1}$ belongs to $C$. Furthermore, we consider the projection map

$$pr_1 : \; \widetilde{G}_s^{\mathrm{an}} \times \mathbb{D}(\eta)^{sne} \;\; \rightarrow \;\; \widetilde{G}_s^{\mathrm{an}}$$

onto the first factor and the canonical map $\gamma : \widetilde{G}_s^{\mathrm{an}} \to \mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}}$ coming from the isomorphism $\mathcal{H} \cong \widetilde{G}/S$. By what we have said above, $x \in \mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}}$ is not admissible if and only if $x \in \gamma \circ pr_1(W)$.

Since $\mathbb{D}(\eta)$ is quasi-compact the projection $pr_1$ is a proper map of topological spaces by [7, Proposition 3.3.2], thus in particular it is closed. Hence $pr_1(W) \subset \widetilde{G}_s^{\mathrm{an}}$ is closed. Note that $\mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}}$ carries the quotient topology under $\gamma$ since $\gamma$ is a smooth morphism of schemes, hence open by [8, Proposition 3.5.8 and Corollary 3.7.4]. Since by construction $pr_1(W) \;=\; \gamma^{-1}\big(\gamma \circ pr_1(W)\big)$ we conclude that $\mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}} \smallsetminus \mathcal{H}_b^a \;=\; \gamma \circ pr_1(W)$ is closed in $\mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}}$ as desired.

(c) It remains to construct the compact set $C$. We write the $\sigma^s$-module $\mathcal{P}(-1)$ as $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}(d_i)$. Assume that in the beginning the Tate object was chosen such that $d_i \geq 1$ for all $i$. Then for any algebraically closed complete extension $\mathbb{C}$ of $\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))$

$$\begin{aligned}
\big(\mathcal{P}(-1) \otimes \mathbb{C}\langle \tfrac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}\big)^{F^s}(\mathbb{C}) \;\;&=\;\; \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}(d_i)^{F^s}(\mathbb{C}) \\
&=\;\; \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \Big\{ \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{-d_i \nu} \sum_{j=0}^{d_i - 1} z^j u_{i,j}^{q^{s\nu}} \;:\;\; u_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C},\; |u_{i,j}| < 1 \Big\}
\end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 1.4.4. Consider the compact set

$$U \;\; := \;\; \mathcal{M}\Big(\mathbb{F}_{q^s}((\zeta))\langle \tfrac{u_{i,j}}{\zeta} \,:\; i = 1, \ldots, n,\; j = 0, \ldots, d_i - 1\rangle\Big)$$

and for $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $0 \leq \ell \leq d_k - 1$ the compact subsets

$$\begin{aligned}
U_{k,\ell} \;\; &:= \;\; \mathcal{M}\Big(\mathbb{F}_{q^s}((\zeta))\langle \tfrac{u_{i,j}}{\zeta} \,:\; i = 1, \ldots, n,\; j = 0, \ldots, d_i - 1;\;\; \tfrac{\zeta^{q^s}}{u_{k,\ell}}\rangle\Big) \\
&= \;\; \big\{\, |u_{i,j}| \leq |\zeta| \text{ for all } i, j \quad \text{and} \quad |\zeta|^{q^s} \leq |u_{k,\ell}| \,\big\}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

of $U$. For $x \in U$ we have $u_{i,j} \in \kappa(x)$ and we define $y_{i,r,\rho} \in \widehat{\kappa(x)^{\mathrm{alg}}}$ by

$$\sum_{\rho=0}^{e-1} y_{i,r,\rho} (z - \zeta^{q^r})^\rho \;\;=\;\; \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{-d_i \nu} \sum_{j=0}^{d_i - 1} z^j u_{i,j}^{q^{s\nu}} \;\; \operatorname{mod} (z - \zeta^{q^r})^e$$

in $\widehat{\kappa(x)^{\mathrm{alg}}}[\![z - \zeta^{q^r}]\!] \,/\, (z - \zeta^{q^r})^e$ for all $r = 0, \ldots, s-1$. We let

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^s}}^{sne} \;\; := \;\; \mathcal{M}\big(\mathbb{F}_{q^s}((\zeta))[Y_{i,r,\rho}; \text{ for all } i, r, \rho]\big)$$

be the Berkovich space associated with affine $sne$-space over $\mathbb{F}_{q^s}$ and we obtain a map $\alpha : U \to \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^s}}^{sne}$ mapping the $\kappa(x)$-valued point $x$ given by $u_{i,j} \in \kappa(x)$ to the $\widehat{\kappa(x)^{\mathrm{alg}}}$-valued point given by $Y_{i,r,\rho} \mapsto y_{i,r,\rho} \in \widehat{\kappa(x)^{\mathrm{alg}}}$. By the same argument as in Lemma 3.2.1 one proves that $\alpha$ is continuous (compared to Lemma 3.2.1 here only the number of variables has blown up). Thus $C := \alpha\big(\bigcup_{k,\ell} U_{k,\ell}\big) \;\subset\; \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^s}}^{sne}$ is compact and contained in a polydisc $\mathbb{D}(\eta)^{sne} = \mathcal{M}\big(\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))\langle \frac{y_{i,r,\rho}}{\eta}\rangle\big)$ for large enough $|\eta|$. Note that multiplying

$$\sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{-d_i \nu} \sum_{j=0}^{d_i - 1} z^j u_{i,j}^{q^{s\nu}}$$

with $z$ changes $u_{i,j}$ to $u_{i,j-1}$ for $1 \leq j \leq d_i - 1$ and $u_{i,0}$ to $u_{i,d_i-1}^{q^s}$. Thus $C$ has the desired property formulated in (b). Putting everything together the theorem is proved. □

**Corollary 3.2.3.** *There exists an affinoid covering* $\mathcal{M}(B_i)$ *of* $\mathcal{H}_b^a$ *(in the sense of Definition A.2.3) and for each* $i$ *a* $B_i\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle$*-lattice* $N_i$ *in* $\mathcal{Q}$ *on which* $F:\sigma^*N_i\to N_i$ *is an isomorphism. Moreover, the* $N_i\otimes B_i\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$ *glue to a canonical* $\sigma$*-module over* $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_b^a}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$.

*Proof.* By definition the $\sigma$-module $\mathcal{Q}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_b^a}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta},z^{-1}\}$ is isoclinic of slope zero. Choose an affinoid covering $\mathcal{M}(B_i)$ of $\mathcal{H}_b^a$. Then the assertion follows from Theorem 1.7.5. Note that the $N_i\otimes B_i\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$ are uniquely determined by Theorem 1.7.5 and thus glue canonically. □

The strategy for the proof of Theorem 3.2.2 also allows us to show that the weakly admissible locus is Berkovich open.

**Theorem 3.2.4.** *Let* $\bar b$ *be a* $\sigma$*-conjugacy class in* $\mathrm{GL}_n\big(\mathbb{F}_q^{\mathrm{alg}}((z))\big)$ *and let* $b\in\mathrm{GL}_n\big(\mathbb{F}_{q^s}((z))\big)$ *be a decent element of* $\bar b$ *satisfying a decency equation with the integer* $s>0$ *(Definition 3.1.1). Let* $\mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}}$ *be the Berkovich space over* $\mathbb{F}_{q^s}((\zeta))$ *associated with the variety* $\mathcal{H}_{\underline{w}}\otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))}\mathbb{F}_{q^s}((\zeta))$*. Then the set*

$$\mathcal{H}_b^{wa} \;:=\; \mathcal{H}_{\underline{w},b}^{wa} \;:=\; \{\,x\in\mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}}:\;(D,F_D,\mathfrak{q}_x)\text{ is weakly admissible}\,\}$$

*is Berkovich open in* $\mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}}$*. If* $b'\in\bar b$ *satisfies a decency equation with the same integer* $s$ *then any* $g\in\mathrm{GL}_n\big(\mathbb{F}_{q^s}((z))\big)$ *with* $b'=gb(g^{-1})^\sigma$ *induces an isomorphism* $\mathfrak{q}_x\mapsto g(\mathfrak{q}_x)=:\mathfrak{q}_{g(x)}$ *between* $\mathcal{H}_b^{wa}$ *and* $\mathcal{H}_{b'}^{wa}$*.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.1.11 we may tensor with a suitable Tate object and assume that all Hodge-Pink weights are non-positive and thus $\mathfrak{q}\subset\mathfrak{p}$. If $w_1+\ldots+w_n\neq t_N(D,F_D)$ then $\mathcal{H}_b^{wa}$ is empty. So we now assume equality. Then at every point of $\mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}}$ we have $\deg\mathcal{Q}_x=0$. By Proposition 2.4.6 and Lemma 3.1.4 a point $x\in\mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}}$ is not weakly admissible if and only if there exists an $F_D$-stable $\mathbb{F}_{q^s}((z))$-subspace $D'\subset D$ such that $\mathbb{S}(D',F_{D'},\mathfrak{q}'_x)=(\mathcal{P}'_x,\mathcal{Q}'_x)$ satisfies $\deg\mathcal{Q}'_x>0$ where $\mathfrak{q}'_x=\mathfrak{q}_x\cap\sigma^*D'\otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q^s}((z))}\kappa(x)((z-\zeta))$. Let $n':=\dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^s}((z))}D'$ and consider the family of Hodge-Pink structures $\wedge^{n'}\mathfrak{q}$ on the exterior power $\wedge^{n'}D$ over $\mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}}$. Then the subspace $\wedge^{n'}D'$ is one-dimensional. Let $\lambda'\in\mathbb{Z}$ be its slope, that is $\wedge^{n'}D'\otimes\mathbb{F}_q^{\mathrm{alg}}((z))\cong\mathcal{O}(-\lambda')$. Consider the slope decomposition of the $z$-isocrystal $\wedge^{n'}D=\bigoplus_\lambda D_\lambda$ from Proposition 1.5.8 and the induced decomposition of $\wedge^{n'}\mathcal{P}=\bigoplus_\lambda\mathcal{P}_\lambda$ with $\mathcal{P}_\lambda:=\sigma^*D_\lambda\otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q^s}((z))}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}}}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta},z^{-1}\}$. We have $\wedge^{n'}D'\subset D_{\lambda'}$ because after the faithful extension to $\mathbb{F}_q^{\mathrm{alg}}((z))$ all morphisms $\wedge^{n'}D'\hookrightarrow\wedge^{n'}D\to D_\lambda$ are zero for $\lambda\neq\lambda'$ by Proposition 1.2.12. At the non-weakly admissible point $x$ there exists an algebraically closed complete extension $\mathbb{C}$ of $\kappa(x)$ and an isomorphism $\wedge^{n'}\mathcal{Q}'\otimes\mathbb{C}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta},z^{-1}\}\cong\mathcal{O}(c)$ of $\sigma$-modules over $\mathbb{C}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta},z^{-1}\}$ by Corollary 1.4.3 where $c:=\deg\mathcal{Q}'>0$. The saturation of $\wedge^{n'}\mathcal{Q}'$ inside $\wedge^{n'}\mathcal{P}$ is $\wedge^{n'}\mathcal{P}'=\sigma^*\wedge^{n'}D'\otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q^s}((z))}\kappa(x)\langle\frac{z}{\zeta},z^{-1}\}$. Since $\wedge^{n'}\mathcal{P}$ and $\wedge^{n'}\mathcal{Q}$ differ only at $z=\zeta^{q^\nu}$ for $\nu\in\mathbb{N}_0$ the same is true for $\wedge^{n'}\mathcal{P}'$ and $\wedge^{n'}\mathcal{Q}'$. Considering their degrees we see that $\wedge^{n'}\mathfrak{q}'_x=(z-\zeta)^{-\lambda'-c}\cdot\wedge^{n'}\mathfrak{p}'_x$. By the decency assumption $(F_{D_{\lambda'}})^s=z^{s\lambda'}\cdot\sigma^s$, hence $\sigma^*\wedge^{n'}D'$ can be recovered as

$$\sigma^*\wedge^{n'}D' \;=\; \big(\wedge^{n'}\mathcal{P}'\otimes\mathcal{O}(\lambda')\big)^{F^s}(\mathbb{C}) \;\subset\; \big(\mathcal{P}_{\lambda'}\otimes\mathcal{O}(\lambda')\big)^{F^s}(\mathbb{C}) \;=\; \sigma^*\wedge^{n'}D\,.$$

Let $f\in\big(\mathcal{P}_{\lambda'}\otimes\mathcal{O}(\lambda')\big)^{F^s}(\mathbb{C})$ be a generator of $\sigma^*\wedge^{n'}D'$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^s}((z))$, respectively of $\wedge^{n'}\mathcal{P}'$ over $\kappa(x)\langle\frac{z}{\zeta},z^{-1}\}$. In particular $(z-\zeta)^{-\lambda'-1}f\in\wedge^{n'}\mathfrak{q}'_x$. To summarize, if a point $x\in\mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}}$ is not weakly admissible then the following holds:

> there exists an integer $n'$ with $1\le n'\le n$, an isoclinic summand $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda'}$ of $\wedge^{n'}\mathcal{P}$ of slope $\lambda'\in\mathbb{Z}$, an algebraically closed complete extension $\mathbb{C}$ of $\kappa(x)$, and a non-zero element $f\in\big(\mathcal{P}_{\lambda'}\otimes\mathcal{O}(\lambda')\big)^{F^s}(\mathbb{C})$, such that $(z-\zeta)^{-\lambda'-1}f\in\wedge^{n'}\mathfrak{q}_x$, and $f$ and $F(\sigma^*f)$ are linearly dependent over $\mathbb{C}((z-\zeta))$. (3.2)

Note that the elements $f$ and $F(\sigma^*f)$ of the $\mathbb{F}_{q^s}((z))$-vector space $\sigma^*\wedge^{n'}D$ are linearly dependent if and only if they are over $\mathbb{C}((z-\zeta))$. So conversely (3.2) implies that $f$ defines a subobject of rank one of $(\wedge^{n'}D, \wedge^{n'}F_D, \wedge^{n'}\mathfrak{q}_x)$ which contradicts weak admissibility and hence $x \notin \mathcal{H}_b^{wa}$ by Theorem 2.2.5. Thus it suffices to fix an integer $n'$ with $1 \le n' \le n$ and a slope $\lambda' \in \mathbb{Z}$, and to show that the set $V_{n',\lambda'}$ of the points $x \in \mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}}$ with this property is closed.

Before Lemma 2.4.5 we have constructed a $\sigma$-module $t^{-1}\mathcal{O}(0)$ over $\mathbb{F}_q(\!(\zeta)\!)\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ where $t := \prod_{i\in\mathbb{N}_0}\bigl(1 - \frac{\zeta^{q^i}}{z}\bigr) \in \mathbb{F}_q(\!(\zeta)\!)\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$, and an isomorphism of $\sigma$-modules $t^{-1}\mathcal{O}(0) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}(1)$, $1 \mapsto h$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q(\!(\zeta)\!)}\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ for a suitable unit $h \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q(\!(\zeta)\!)}\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}^{\times}$. Consider the $\sigma$-module $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}} := \mathcal{P}_{\lambda'} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\lambda') \otimes t^{-1}\mathcal{O}(0)$ over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}}}\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ and the inclusion $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda'} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\lambda') \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}$ coming from the inclusion $\mathcal{O}(0) \subset t^{-1}\mathcal{O}(0)$. It identifies $\wedge^{n'}\mathfrak{p}$ with $(z-\zeta)\widetilde{\mathcal{P}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}}}\llbracket z-\zeta\rrbracket$. Multiplication with $h$ is an isomorphism of $\sigma^s$-modules $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}(s)^{\oplus m}$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q(\!(\zeta)\!)}\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ where $m = \operatorname{rk}\mathcal{P}_{\lambda'}$. Then $\bigl(\mathcal{P}_{\lambda'} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\lambda')\bigr)^{F^s}(\mathbb{C})$ equals the set

$$\Bigl\{\, f \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{F^s}(\mathbb{C}) :\ \ f \in (z-\zeta^{q^r})\widetilde{\mathcal{P}} \otimes \mathbb{C}\llbracket z-\zeta^{q^r}\rrbracket \text{ for all } 0 \le r \le s-1 \Bigr\}$$

$$\cong\ \Bigl\{\, hf \in \bigl(\mathcal{O}(s)^{\oplus m}\bigr)^{F^s}(\mathbb{C}) :\ \ f \in (\sigma^r)^* \wedge^{n'}\mathfrak{p} \otimes \mathbb{C}\llbracket z-\zeta^{q^r}\rrbracket \text{ for all } 0 \le r \le s-1 \Bigr\}.$$

Recall the algebraic groups $\widetilde{G}$ and $S \subset \widetilde{G}$ defined in the previous section and the isomorphism $\mathcal{H} \cong \widetilde{G}/S$. Let $\widetilde{G}_s^{\mathrm{an}}$ be the Berkovich space over $\mathbb{F}_{q^s}(\!(\zeta)\!)$ associated with the group scheme $\widetilde{G} \otimes \mathbb{F}_{q^s}(\!(z)\!)$. Then $x \in \mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}}$ belongs to $V_{n',\lambda'}$ if and only if there exists an algebraically closed complete extension $\mathbb{C}$ of $\kappa(x)$, a $\mathbb{C}$-valued point $g \in \widetilde{G}_s^{\mathrm{an}}$ mapping to $x$, and a non-zero element $\tilde{f} = hf \in \bigl(\mathcal{O}(s)^{\oplus m}\bigr)^{F^s}(\mathbb{C})$ with

$$\begin{aligned} &f \text{ and } F(\sigma^* f) \text{ linearly dependent over } \mathbb{C}(\!(z-\zeta)\!)\,, \\ f \ &\in\ (\sigma^r)^* \wedge^{n'}\mathfrak{p} \otimes \mathbb{C}\llbracket z-\zeta^{q^r}\rrbracket \qquad \text{and} \\ (z-\zeta^{q^r})^{-\lambda'-1}\cdot (g^{-1})^{\sigma^r} f \ &\in\ (\sigma^r)^* \wedge^{n'}\mathfrak{q}_0 \otimes \mathbb{C}\llbracket z-\zeta^{q^r}\rrbracket \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

for all $0 \le r \le s-1$. A priory $f\,,\ (g^{-1})^{\sigma^r} f\ \in\ (z-\zeta^{q^r})^{-1}(\sigma^r)^*\wedge^{n'}\mathfrak{p} \otimes \mathbb{C}\llbracket z-\zeta^{q^r}\rrbracket$, so condition (3.3) is closed. This property is similar to the property formulated at the end or part (a) of the proof of Theorem 3.2.2 and from here on we proceed completely analogous to parts (b) and (c) of that proof. We leave the details to the reader. □

We have seen in the preceding theorems that the sets $\mathcal{H}_b^a$ and $\mathcal{H}_b^{wa}$ are open subspaces of the Berkovich space $\mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}}$. Now there is a functor

$$X \ \longmapsto\ X^{\mathrm{rig}} \ :=\ \{\, x \in X :\ \kappa(x) \text{ is a finite extension of } L \,\}$$

from Berkovich spaces over $L$ to rigid analytic spaces over $L$. It restricts to an equivalence of categories on the category of paracompact Berkovich spaces (see Appendix A.2 for a discussion of the terminology and this equivalence). Therefore the following result is of interest. It will allow us to view $\mathcal{H}_b^{wa}$ and $\mathcal{H}_b^a$ as Berkovich spaces or rigid analytic spaces whichever is more convenient.

**Theorem 3.2.5.** *In the situation of Theorems 3.2.2 and 3.2.4 the Berkovich spaces $\mathcal{H}_b^a$ and $\mathcal{H}_b^{wa}$ are arcwise connected and paracompact. Moreover the open immersion $\mathcal{H}_b^{wa} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}}$ identifies $(\mathcal{H}_b^{wa})^{\mathrm{rig}}$ with an admissible open subset of $(\mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}})^{\mathrm{rig}}$.*

*Proof.* (a) By construction $\mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}}$ admits a countable covering by (strictly) $\mathbb{F}_{q^s}(\!(\zeta)\!)$-affinoid subsets (see Appendix A.2). Then by Lemma A.2.5 the open subsets $\mathcal{H}_b^a$ and $\mathcal{H}_b^{wa}$ are paracompact.

It remains to show that the subset $(\mathcal{H}_b^{wa})^{\mathrm{rig}} \subset (\mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}})^{\mathrm{rig}}$ is admissible open. By Lemma A.2.5 we can choose for every analytic point $x \in \mathcal{H}_b^{wa}$ an affinoid neighborhood $U_x \subset \mathcal{H}_b^{wa}$. Then

$(\mathcal{H}_b^{wa})^{\mathrm{rig}} = \bigcup_{x\in\mathcal{H}_b^{wa}}(U_x)^{\mathrm{rig}}$. By [11, Proposition 9.1.4/2] it suffices to show that for any morphism $\varphi : Y \to \mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}}$ from an affinoid $Y$ with $\varphi(Y^{\mathrm{rig}}) \subset (\mathcal{H}_b^{wa})^{\mathrm{rig}}$ the covering $\{\varphi^{-1}U_x^{\mathrm{rig}}\}_{x\in\mathcal{H}_b^{wa}}$ of $Y^{\mathrm{rig}}$ is admissible. For that it suffices by [8, Lemma 1.6.2] to show that for every analytic point $y \in Y$ the point $\varphi(y)$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}_b^{wa}$. Namely then $\varphi^{-1}U_{\varphi(y)}$ is a neighborhood of $y$ and the hypotheses of loc. cit. are fulfilled.

(b) So now assume that there exists a morphism $\varphi : Y \to \mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}}$ with $\varphi(Y^{\mathrm{rig}}) \subset (\mathcal{H}_b^{wa})^{\mathrm{rig}}$ and an analytic point $y \in Y$ such that $x = \varphi(y)$ is not weakly admissible. Then we have shown in condition (3.2) in the proof of Theorem 3.2.4 that there exists an integer $n'$ with $1 \le n' \le n$, an isoclinic summand $D_{\lambda'}$ of $\wedge^{n'}D$ of some slope $\lambda' \in \mathbb{Z}$, and a non-zero element $f \in \sigma^*D_{\lambda'}$ generating an $F_D$-stable subspace of dimension one, such that $(z-\zeta)^{-\lambda'-1}f \in \wedge^{n'}\mathfrak{q}_x$. Now the set of points

$$\{\, y' \in Y : \ (z-\zeta)^{-\lambda'-1}f \in \wedge^{n'}\mathfrak{q}_{\varphi(y')}\,\}$$

is Zariski-closed in $Y$ because $\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{q}$ is locally free coherent over $\mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}}$. In particular we can find a point $y'$ in this set whose residue field $\kappa(y')$ is a finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_{q^s}((\zeta))$. But then $\varphi(y') \in \varphi(Y^{\mathrm{rig}}) \smallsetminus (\mathcal{H}_b^{wa})^{\mathrm{rig}}$ which is a contradiction.

(c) To prove the connectedness of $\mathcal{H}_b^{wa}$ and $\mathcal{H}_b^{a}$ note that every Berkovich space is locally arcwise connected by [7, Theorem 3.2.1]. By Proposition 3.1.8 the variety $\mathcal{H}_{\underline{w}}$ has a finite covering by affine spaces. Hence the space $\mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}}$ has a countable affinoid covering by polydiscs $U \cong \mathcal{M}\big(\mathbb{F}_{q^s}((\zeta))\langle\frac{x_1}{\eta},\ldots,\frac{x_n}{\eta}\rangle\big)$ for varying $\eta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^s}((\zeta))$. Since the points $x \in \mathcal{H}_b^{wa}$ with $\kappa(x)$ finite over $\mathbb{F}_{q^s}((\zeta))$ lie dense in $\mathcal{H}_b^{wa}$ by [7, Proposition 2.1.15] it suffices to exhibit for every such point $x \in \mathcal{H}_b^{wa}\cap U$ a continuous map $\alpha$ from the compact interval $[0,1]$ into $\mathcal{H}_b^{wa}\cap U$ such that $\alpha(0) = x$ and $\alpha(1)$ is the point corresponding to the supremum norm on $U$; see Example A.2.2(b)

So let $x \in \mathcal{H}_b^{wa}\cap U$ with $\kappa(x)$ finite over $\mathbb{F}_{q^s}((\zeta))$ be the point with coordinates $x_i = b_i \in \kappa(x)$, $|b_i| \le |\eta|$. We let $\alpha$ map $t \in [0,1]$ to the point

$$P\big(\,(b_1,\ldots,b_n)\,,\,(t|\eta|,\ldots,t|\eta|)\,\big)\,;$$

see Example A.2.2(c). Then $\alpha$ is easily seen to be continuous. It remains to show that $\alpha(t)$ lies in $\mathcal{H}_b^{wa}$. For $t > 0$ the prime ideal $\ker|\,.\,|_{\alpha(t)}$ corresponding to $\alpha(t)$ is the zero ideal. Since we have shown in (b) above that the set $U \smallsetminus \mathcal{H}_b^{wa}$ is a union of Zariski closed subsets of $U$ and since it does not contain the point $\alpha(0)$, we obtain $\alpha(t) \in \mathcal{H}_b^{wa}$.

At last consider the set $\mathcal{H}_b^{a}$. If $x \in \mathcal{H}_b^{a}\cap U$ is a point with $\kappa(x)$ finite over $\mathbb{F}_{q^s}((\zeta))$ then $\alpha\big([0,1]\big) \subset \mathcal{H}_b^{a}\cap U$ by Theorem 2.5.3. Namely, the value group of $\kappa\big(\alpha(t)\big)$ is generated by $\big|\mathbb{F}_{q^s}((\zeta))(b_1,\ldots,b_n)^\times\big|$ and $t|\eta|$ and hence is not $q$-divisible. So $\kappa\big(\alpha(t)\big)$ satisfies condition (2.1) from page 38. This establishes the connectedness of $\mathcal{H}_b^{wa}$ and $\mathcal{H}_b^{a}$. $\square$

We should also note what happens if $b$ is not decent.

**Theorem 3.2.6.** *(a) Let $b \in \mathrm{GL}_n\big(\mathbb{F}_q^{\mathrm{alg}}((z))\big)$. Then the sets $\mathcal{H}_b^{wa}$ and $\mathcal{H}_b^{a}$ of weakly admissible, respectively admissible, points inside the Berkovich space $\big(\mathcal{H}_{\underline{w}}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{F}_q^{\mathrm{alg}}((\zeta))\big)^{\mathrm{an}}$ are open, arcwise connected, and paracompact and $(\mathcal{H}_b^{wa})^{\mathrm{rig}}$ is an admissible open rigid analytic subspace of $\big(\mathcal{H}_{\underline{w}}\otimes\mathbb{F}_q^{\mathrm{alg}}((\zeta))\big)^{\mathrm{rig}}$.*

*(b) If $b' = g\,b\,(g^{-1})^{\sigma}$ for some $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n\big(\mathbb{F}_q^{\mathrm{alg}}((z))\big)$ then the automorphism $\mathfrak{q}_x \mapsto g(\mathfrak{q}_x) =: \mathfrak{q}_{g(x)}$ of $\mathcal{H}_{\underline{w}}\otimes\mathbb{F}_q^{\mathrm{alg}}((\zeta))$ maps $\mathcal{H}_b^{wa}$ (respectively $\mathcal{H}_b^{a}$) isomorphically onto $\mathcal{H}_{b'}^{wa}$ (respectively $\mathcal{H}_{b'}^{a}$).*

*(c) If $b$ satisfies a decency equation with the integer $s$ then the spaces from (a) are obtained by base change from the corresponding spaces over $\mathbb{F}_{q^s}((\zeta))$ constructed in Theorem 3.2.5.*

## 3.3 Examples of Period Spaces

We want to illustrate the theory developed in the previous sections.

**Example 3.3.1 (The Hopkins-Gross Period Space).**
Let $n$ be a positive integer and let $D = \mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)^{\oplus n}$. Fix a basis $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ of $D$ and let

$$b \;=\; A_{-1,n} \;\in\; \mathrm{GL}_n\bigl(\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)\bigr)$$

be the matrix described in equation (1.1) on page 12. Then $b$ satisfies a decency equation with the integer $s = n$. Fix the Hodge-Pink weights $\underline{w} = (w_1 = \ldots = w_{n-1} = 0 < w_n = 1)$. Let $\mathfrak{q}_0$ be the span

$$\mathfrak{q}_0 \;=\; \bigl\langle\, e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}\,,\, (z-\zeta)^{-1} e_n \,\bigr\rangle_{\mathbb{F}_q(\!(\zeta)\!)[\![z-\zeta]\!]}\,.$$

Its stabilizer

$$\operatorname{Stab}\mathfrak{q}_0 \;=\; \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c|c} \mathrm{GL}_{n-1} & (z-\zeta) \\ \hline * & * \end{array} \right) \right\} \;\subset\; \mathrm{GL}_n\bigl(\mathbb{F}_q(\!(\zeta)\!)[\![z-\zeta]\!]\bigr)\,.$$

is a maximal parahoric subgroup with quotient $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}_{\mathbb{F}_q(\!(\zeta)\!)}$. The universal pair $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ of $\sigma$-modules over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}}}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ satisfies $\mathcal{Q} \supset \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{F}_{-1,n}$ and $\deg \mathcal{Q} = 0$. Hence at every point $x \in \mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}}$, $\mathcal{Q}_x$ is isoclinic of slope zero by Theorem 1.4.2 and Proposition 1.4.5. So $\mathcal{H}^a_{\underline{w},b} = \mathcal{H}^{wa}_{\underline{w},b} = \mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}} = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^n}(\!(\zeta)\!)}$. The automorphism group $J$ of the $z$-isocrystal equals $\Delta^\times$ where $\Delta$ is the central skew field of invariant $\frac{1}{n}$ over $\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)$. The space $\mathcal{H}^{wa}_{\underline{w},b}$ with its $J$-action is the period space studied by Hopkins and Gross [34, 35]. Note that they also studied the analogous situation in mixed characteristic and interpreted $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ as a period space of filtered isocrystals; see also [51, 5.50]. Our example provides the hitherto missing analogous interpretation of $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ in equal characteristic.

**Example 3.3.2.** Let $n = 2$ and fix a positive integer $d$. Let $D = \mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)^{\oplus 2}$ and let

$$b \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z^{-d} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \;\in\; \mathrm{GL}_2\bigl(\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)\bigr)\,.$$

Then $b$ satisfies a decency equation with the integer $s = 2$. Fix the Hodge-Pink weights $\underline{w} = (-d \le 0)$. The lattice

$$\mathfrak{q}_0 \;=\; \Bigl\langle (z-\zeta)^d \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},\, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \Bigr\rangle_{\mathbb{F}_q(\!(\zeta)\!)[\![z-\zeta]\!]}\,.$$

has stabilizer

$$\operatorname{Stab}\mathfrak{q}_0 \;=\; \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & (z-\zeta)^d \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \right\} \;\subset\; \mathrm{GL}_2\bigl(\mathbb{F}_q(\!(\zeta)\!)[\![z-\zeta]\!]\bigr)\,.$$

The stabilizer corresponds to the Borel subgroup of lower triangular matrices inside the group $\widetilde{G} := \mathit{Restr}_{\left(\mathbb{F}_q(\!(\zeta)\!)[\![z-\zeta]\!]/(z-\zeta)^d\right)/\mathbb{F}_q(\!(\zeta)\!)}\,\mathrm{GL}_2$ and so $\mathcal{H} = \mathit{Restr}\,\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_q(\!(\zeta)\!)}$ is the full $(d-1)$-jet bundle over the projective line. It has dimension $d$.

Let us first assume that $d$ is odd. Then $\mathcal{H}^{wa} = \mathcal{H}^{wa}_{\underline{w},b} = \mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}} = \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{an}} \otimes \mathbb{F}_{q^2}(\!(\zeta)\!)$ since there are no $F_D$-invariant subspaces of $D$. The automorphism group $J$ of $(D, F_D)$ equals $\Delta^\times$ where $\Delta$ is the central skew field of invariant $-\frac{d}{2}$ over $\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)$. The universal pair of $\sigma$-modules over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}}}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ satisfies $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{F}_{d,2}$. Let $x \in \mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}}$ be a point and let $\mathbb{C} = \overline{\kappa(x)}$ be the completion of the algebraic closure of $\kappa(x)$. The point $x$ is admissible if and only if $\mathcal{Q}_x$ is isoclinic of slope zero. By Theorem 1.4.2 and Proposition 1.4.5 this is equivalent to

$$\mathcal{Q}(-1)^F(\mathbb{C}) \;=\; \{\, f \in \mathcal{P}(-1)^F(\mathbb{C}) : f \in \mathfrak{q}_x \otimes \mathbb{C}[\![z-\zeta]\!] \,\} \;=\; 0\,.$$

Now we distinguish the cases

$d=1$ : We have $\mathcal{P}(-1)^F(\mathbb{C}) = (\mathcal{F}_{-1,2})^F(\mathbb{C}) = 0$ by reasons of degree, hence $\mathcal{H}^a_{\underline{w},b} = \mathcal{H}^{wa}_{\underline{w},b} = \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{an}}_s$. This situation is dual to the period space of Hopkins-Gross from the previous example.

$d=3$ : We have $\mathcal{P}(-1)^F(\mathbb{C}) \;=\; (\mathcal{F}_{1,2})^F(\mathbb{C}) \;=$

$$z^{\mathbb{Z}} \cdot \big\{\, f = \sum_{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}} z^{-\nu} \begin{pmatrix} u^{q^{2\nu}} \\ u^{q^{2\nu+1}} \end{pmatrix} : \; u \in \mathbb{C}\,,\, |\zeta^{q^2}| \le |u| \le |\zeta| \,\big\}\,.$$

Inside the 3-dimensional space $\mathcal{H}^{wa}$ the set $\mathcal{H}^{wa} \smallsetminus \mathcal{H}^a$ is parametrized by the $\mathbb{C}$-valued points of the curve (one dimensional compact Berkovich space) $\mathcal{M}\big(\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))\langle\frac{u}{\zeta}, \frac{\zeta^{q^2}}{u}\rangle\big)$. However, the map from this curve to $\mathcal{H}^{wa}$ is not analytic but only continuous. By Theorem 2.5.3 it does not hit any classical rigid analytic point of $\mathcal{H}^{wa}$ (these are the ones whose residue field is a finite extension of the base field $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}((\zeta))$). It does not even hit a point whose residue field satisfies condition (2.1) on page 38. At first glance it might seem surprising that there are points in $\mathcal{H}^{wa}_{\underline{w},b}$ whose residue field, which is topologically finitely generated, does not satisfy (2.1). See however Example A.2.2(d) which shows that this occurs naturally.

$d=5$ : We have $\mathcal{P}(-1)^F(\mathbb{C}) \;=\; (\mathcal{F}_{3,2})^F(\mathbb{C}) \;=$

$$z^{\mathbb{Z}} \cdot \big\{\, f = \sum_{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}} z^{-3\nu} \begin{pmatrix} u_0^{q^{2\nu}} + z u_1^{q^{2\nu}} + z^2 u_2^{q^{2\nu}} \\ u_0^{q^{2\nu+1}} + z u_1^{q^{2\nu+1}} + z^2 u_2^{q^{2\nu+1}} \end{pmatrix} : \; u_0, u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{C}, \\ |u_0|, |u_1|, |u_2| \le |\zeta| \quad\text{and}\quad |\zeta^{q^2}| \le |u_i| \text{ for some } i \,\big\}\,.$$

To summarize, for odd $d \ge 3$ the points of $\mathcal{H}^{wa} \smallsetminus \mathcal{H}^a$ inside the $d$-dimensional space $\mathcal{H}^{wa}$ are parametrized by a $(d-2)$-dimensional compact space.

Now let us turn to the case where $d = 2c$ is even. Fix an element $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \smallsetminus \mathbb{F}_q$ and let

$$g \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} z^{-c} & \lambda z^{-c} \\ 1 & \lambda^q \end{pmatrix} \qquad\text{and}\qquad b' \;=\; g^{-1} b g^{\sigma} \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} z^{-c} & 0 \\ 0 & z^{-c} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $b'$ satisfies a decency equation with the integer $s=1$. So we replace $b$ by $b'$. The automorphism group $J$ of $(D, F_D)$ equals $\mathrm{GL}_2\big(\mathbb{F}_q((z))\big)$. It acts on $\mathcal{H}_s$ through $\mathrm{PGL}_2\big(\mathbb{F}_q((z))\big)$. The universal pair of $\sigma$-modules over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}}}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ satisfies $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{O}(c)^{\oplus 2}$ and $D = \sigma^* D = \mathcal{P}(-c)^F(\mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}})$. Let $x \in \mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}}$ be a point and set $\mathbb{C} := \overline{\kappa(x)}$. By the criteria formulated in the proofs of Theorems 3.2.2 and 3.2.4 the point $x$ is

not weakly admissible if and only if there exists an

$$h \in \big(\mathcal{P}(-c) \otimes \mathbb{C}\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}\big)^F(\mathbb{C})\,,\; h \ne 0 \quad\text{with}\quad (z-\zeta)^{c-1} h \in \mathfrak{q}_x \otimes \mathbb{C}[\![z-\zeta]\!]\,,$$

not admissible if and only if there exists an

$$f \in \big(\mathcal{P}(-1) \otimes \mathbb{C}\langle\tfrac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}\big)^F(\mathbb{C})\,,\; f \ne 0 \quad\text{with}\quad f \in \mathfrak{q}_x \otimes \mathbb{C}[\![z-\zeta]\!]\,.$$

Again we distinguish the cases

$d=2$ : Then $c=1$ and $\mathcal{H}^a = \mathcal{H}^{wa}$. The points which are not weakly admissible are precisely the points

$$\mathfrak{q} \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} g(\zeta) + g'(\zeta)(z-\zeta) \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \kappa(x)[\![z-\zeta]\!] \;+\; (z-\zeta)^2 \mathfrak{p} \qquad\text{for}\quad g \in \mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]$$

$$\text{and}\qquad \mathfrak{q} \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \kappa(x)[\![z-\zeta]\!] \;+\; (z-\zeta)^2 \mathfrak{p}\,.$$

Consider the projection of $\mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}}$, which is the tangent bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))}$, to $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))}$. In each fiber over a point in $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))}\big(\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))\big)$ there is exactly one point which is not weakly admissible.

$d = 4$ : Then $\mathcal{P}(-1)^F(\mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{O}(1)^F(\mathbb{C})^{\oplus 2} = \big(\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!] \cdot \{\, f_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{C}\,, |\zeta^q| < |\alpha| \le |\zeta|\,\}\big)^{\oplus 2}$ by Proposition 1.4.4. Let $f = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} g\, f_\alpha \\ f_\beta \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}\,, |\zeta^q| < |\alpha|, |\beta| \le |\zeta|$ and $g \in \mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]$. If $\alpha = \beta$ then $f = f_\alpha \cdot h$ for $h = \binom{g}{1} \in \mathcal{P}(-2)^F(\mathbb{C}) = D$. So the points

$$\mathfrak{q} \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} g(z) + a_3(z-\zeta)^3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \kappa(x)[\![z-\zeta]\!] \;+\; (z-\zeta)^4 \mathfrak{p} \quad \text{for} \quad g \in \mathbb{F}_q((z))\,,\, a_3 \in \kappa(x)$$

$$\text{and} \quad \mathfrak{q} \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ a_3(z-\zeta)^3 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \kappa(x)[\![z-\zeta]\!] \;+\; (z-\zeta)^4 \mathfrak{p} \quad \text{for} \quad a_3 \in \kappa(x)$$

are not weakly admissible and the points

$$\mathfrak{q} \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} g\, f_\alpha \\ f_\beta \end{pmatrix} \cdot \kappa(x)[\![z-\zeta]\!] \;+\; (z-\zeta)^4 \mathfrak{p}$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}\,, |\zeta^q| < |\alpha|, |\beta| \le |\zeta|\,, \alpha \neq \beta\,, g \in \mathbb{F}_q((z))^\times$ are weakly admissible but not admissible.

Along these lines on can as well determine the sets $\mathcal{H}_b^a$ and $\mathcal{H}_b^{wa}$ for $d > 4$.

**Example 3.3.3.** We let again $n = 2$ and $D = \mathbb{F}_q((z))^{\oplus 2}$. Let $d$ be a positive integer and set

$$b \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} z^{-d} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \;\in\; \mathrm{GL}_2\big(\mathbb{F}_q((z))\big)\,.$$

It is decent with the integer $s = 1$. Fix the Hodge-Pink weights $\underline{w} = (-d < 0)$. Then $\mathcal{H}$ is the full $(d-1)$-jet bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))}$ with dimension $d$. The automorphism group $J$ of the $z$-isocrystal equals the maximal split torus of diagonal matrices in $\mathrm{GL}_2\big(\mathbb{F}_q((z))\big)$. It acts on $\mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}}$ through $\mathrm{PGL}_2\big(\mathbb{F}_q((z))\big)$. The universal pair of $\sigma$-modules over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}}}\langle \frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$ satisfies $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{O}(d) \oplus \mathcal{O}(0)$. Here $(D, F_D)$ is not isoclinic. It has precisely two $F_D$-invariant subspaces namely $D' = \mathbb{F}_q((z))\binom{1}{0}$ and $D' = \mathbb{F}_q((z))\binom{0}{1}$. On the second subspace $F_{D'} = \sigma$, so there $\mathbb{S}(D', F_{D'}, \mathfrak{q}_{D'}) = (\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{Q}')$ always satisfies $\mathcal{P}' = \mathcal{O}(0)$ and $\deg \mathcal{Q}' \le 0$. This is in accordance with weak admissibility.

For the first subspace we obtain $\mathcal{P}' = \mathcal{O}(d)$ and $\deg \mathcal{Q}' > 0$ if and only if $(z-\zeta)^{d-1}\binom{1}{0} \in \mathfrak{q}$. Therefore the points which are not weakly admissible are

$$\mathfrak{q} \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ a_1(z-\zeta) + \ldots + a_{d-1}(z-\zeta)^{d-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \kappa(x)[\![z-\zeta]\!] \;+\; (z-\zeta)^d \mathfrak{p} \quad \text{for } a_i \in \kappa(x)\,.$$

We may therefore identify $\mathcal{H}_b^{wa}$ with affine $d$-space $\mathbb{A}^d_{\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))}$ over $\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))$ by mapping the point $(a_0, \ldots, a_{d-1}) \in \mathbb{A}^d_{\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))}(B)$ with values in an affinoid $\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))$-algebra $B$ to

$$\mathfrak{q} \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} a_0 + \ldots + a_{d-1}(z-\zeta)^{d-1} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot B[\![z-\zeta]\!] \;+\; (z-\zeta)^d \mathfrak{p} \;\in\; \mathcal{H}_b^{wa}(B)\,.$$

Under this identification $J$ acts on $\mathbb{A}^d_{\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))}$ as follows. The element $\begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in J$ maps the point $(a_0, \ldots, a_{d-1}) \in \mathbb{A}^d_{\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))}$ to

$$\Big( g(\zeta) a_0 \;\;,\;\; g(\zeta) a_1 + g'(\zeta) a_0 \;\;,\;\; \ldots \;\;,\;\; g(\zeta) a_{d-1} + g'(\zeta) a_{d-2} + \ldots + \frac{g^{(d-1)}}{(d-1)!}(\zeta)\, a_0 \Big)\,.$$

To describe the admissible locus note that there is an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{P}(-1)^F(\mathbb{C}) \;=\; \big(\mathcal{O}(d-1)\oplus\mathcal{O}(-1)\big)^F(\mathbb{C}) \;\xleftarrow{\;\sim\;}\; \mathcal{O}(d-1)^F(\mathbb{C})\,, \quad f\cdot\tbinom{1}{0} \leftarrow\!\shortmid f\,.$$

In particular, if $x \in \mathcal{H}_s^{\mathrm{an}}$ is not admissible then $\binom{(z-\zeta)^{d-1}}{0} \in \mathfrak{q}_x$ and so $x$ is not even weakly admissible. Therefore $\mathcal{H}_b^a = \mathcal{H}_b^{wa} = \mathbb{A}^d_{\mathbb{F}_q(\!(\zeta)\!)}$. In the next section we will construct interesting étale coverings of $\mathcal{H}_b^a$.

## 3.4 The Conjecture of Rapoport and Zink

In this section we want to formulate and prove the analogue of the conjecture of Rapoport-Zink [51, p. 29] mentioned in the introduction. It states the existence of interesting local systems of $\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)$-vector spaces on $\mathcal{H}^a_{\underline{w},b}$. For the notion of local system see Definition A.4.4. We can summarize the results from Section 3.2 as follows.

**Theorem 3.4.1.** *The sheaf $\mathcal{Q}^F$ of $F$-invariants induces a canonical local system $\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{Q}$ of $\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)$-vector spaces on $(\mathcal{H}_b^a)^{\mathrm{rig}}$ with $\mathcal{Q}^F = \varprojlim \mathcal{V}_\mathcal{Q}$.*

*Proof.* Consider the covering of $\mathcal{H}_b^a$ by the $U_i := \mathcal{M}(B_i)$ from Corollary 3.2.3 and on $U_i$ consider the sheaf $N_i^F$ of $F$-invariants. By Proposition 1.3.5, $T_zN_i := \Big(\big(N_i\otimes B_i[\![z]\!]/(z^m)\big)^F\Big)_{m\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is a local system of $\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]$-lattices. From the gluing of the $N_i\otimes B_i\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$ we obtain isomorphisms over $U_i \times_{\mathcal{H}_b^a} U_j$

$$\varphi_{ij}:\; T_zN_i\otimes\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!) \;\xrightarrow{\;\sim\;}\; T_zN_j\otimes\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)$$

which satisfy the cocycle condition. Then

$$\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{Q} \;:=\; \big(\{U_i\to\mathcal{H}_b^a\}\,,\, T_zN_i\,,\,\varphi_{ij}\big)$$

is a local system of $\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)$-vector spaces on $(\mathcal{H}_b^a)^{\mathrm{rig}}$ with

$$\varprojlim \mathcal{V}_\mathcal{Q}|_{U_i} \;=\; (\varprojlim T_zN_i)\otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]}\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!) \;=\; \mathcal{Q}^F|_{U_i}\,.$$

Note that the $U_i\to\mathcal{H}_b^a$ are affinoid subdomains which form an affinoid covering in the sense of Definition A.2.3 as was predicted by Proposition A.4.7. The local system $\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{Q}$ is uniquely determined since it actually only depends on the $\sigma$-modules $N_i\otimes B_i\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle[z^{-1}]$ which are canonical by Corollary 3.2.3. ☐

From the theorem we derive the analogue of the conjecture of Rapoport-Zink. Namely, recall that the pair $(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})$ and the sheaf $\mathcal{Q}^F$ were constructed in Section 3.1 out of the faithful representation $V=\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)^{\oplus n}$ in $\underline{\mathrm{Rep}}_{\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)}\,\mathrm{GL}_n$. Moreover the constructions of the pair $(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})$ of $\sigma$-modules, the sheaf $\mathcal{Q}^F$, and the local system $\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{Q}$ are given by tensor functors in Theorems 2.4.3 and 3.4.1 and Proposition 1.3.7. Since any $\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)$-rational representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n$ occurs as a subquotient of $\bigoplus_{i=1}^r V^{\otimes n_i}\otimes(V^\vee)^{\otimes m_i}$ for suitable $r, n_i$ and $m_i$ this yields a tensor functor

$$I:\;\underline{\mathrm{Rep}}_{\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)}\,\mathrm{GL}_n \;\longrightarrow\; \mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)\text{-}\underline{\mathrm{Loc}}_{\mathcal{H}_b^a}\,.$$

For every geometric point $\bar{x}$ of $(\mathcal{H}_b^a)^{\mathrm{rig}}$ with underlying analytic point $x$ of $\mathcal{H}_b^a$ we obtain a fiber functor $I_x$ which associates to a representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n$ the fiber in $x$ of the corresponding local system. Explicitly this means the following. To a representation $\rho:\mathrm{GL}_n\to\mathrm{Aut}(W)$ we associate the Hodge-Pink structure

$$H_{b,\gamma_x}(W) \;=\; \Big(W\otimes\mathbb{F}_q^{\mathrm{alg}}(\!(z)\!),\;\rho(b)\cdot\sigma,\;\rho(\gamma_x)\mathfrak{p}_W\Big)$$

where $\mathfrak{p}_W := W\otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q((z))}\kappa(x)[\![z-\zeta]\!]$ and $\gamma_x$ is any element of $\mathrm{GL}_n\big(\kappa(x)((z-\zeta))\big)$ with $\gamma_x\cdot\mathfrak{p}_V = \mathfrak{q}_x$. We further consider the associated pair $(\mathcal{P}_W, \mathcal{Q}_{W,x}) = \mathbb{S}\big(H_{b,\gamma_x}(W)\big)$ of $\sigma$-modules over $\kappa(x)\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$. Then $\mathcal{Q}_{W,x}$ is isoclinic of slope zero by Definition 3.1.5 and Theorem 2.4.7. By Corollary 1.7.6 there exists a $\sigma$-module $N_W$ over $\kappa(x)\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle$ with $N_W\otimes\kappa(x)\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}\cong\mathcal{Q}_{W,x}$. Its Tate module $T_zN_W\big(\kappa(x)^{\mathrm{sep}}\big)$ is a free $\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]$-module by Proposition 1.3.5. Now the fiber functor $I_x$ associates to $W$ the $\mathbb{F}_q((z))$-vector space $V_zN_W := T_zN_W\big(\kappa(x)^{\mathrm{sep}}\big)\otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]}\mathbb{F}_q((z))$. Note that $V_zN_W$ does not depend on the particular choice of the lattice $N_W$ by Corollary 1.7.6. Since the analogues in mixed characteristic of the pairs $(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})$ are the $(\varphi,\Gamma)$-modules over the Robba ring (also called $p$-adic differential equations) this gives the precise analogue of the conjecture of Rapoport-Zink in view of [6, Theorem C].

**Theorem 3.4.2 (Analogue of the Rapoport-Zink Conjecture).**
*There exists a faithful $\mathbb{F}_q((z))$-linear exact tensor functor*

$$I:\ \underline{\mathrm{Rep}}_{\mathbb{F}_q((z))}\,\mathrm{GL}_n\ \longrightarrow\ \mathbb{F}_q((z))\text{-}\underline{\mathrm{Loc}}_{\mathcal{H}_b^a}$$

*such that for every point $x\in\mathcal{H}_b^a$ the induced fiber functor $I_x$ associates to the representation $W$ of $\mathrm{GL}_n$ the $\mathbb{F}_q((z))$-vector space $V_zN_W$.*

Next we want to show that not only every point of $\mathcal{H}_b^a$ is admissible but that the whole universal family $(D, F_D, \mathfrak{q})$ over $\mathcal{H}_b^a$ arises from a rigidified local shtuka with good reduction. For an admissible formal scheme $X$ over $\mathrm{Spf}\,\mathbb{F}_{q^s}((\zeta))$ we denote by $X^{\mathrm{rig}}$ the associated rigid analytic space over $\mathbb{F}_{q^s}((\zeta))$ and by $X_0$ its special fiber $X \bmod \zeta$. Recall the notion of étale covering space of $X^{\mathrm{rig}}$ from Definition A.4.1.

**Theorem 3.4.3.** *There exists a quasi-paracompact admissible formal scheme $X$ over $\mathrm{Spf}\,\mathbb{F}_{q^s}[\![\zeta]\!]$, such that its associated rigid analytic space $X^{\mathrm{rig}}$ is an étale covering space $\pi: X^{\mathrm{rig}}\to(\mathcal{H}_b^a)^{\mathrm{rig}}$, and a rigidified local shtuka $(M, F_M, \delta_M)$ over $X$ with constant $z$-isocrystal*

$$M\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X[\![z]\!]}\mathcal{O}_{X_0}[\![z]\!][z^{-1}]\ \cong\ (D, F_D)\otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q^s}((z))}\mathcal{O}_{X_0}[\![z]\!][z^{-1}]$$

*over $X_0$ and $\pi^*\mathfrak{q}\ =\ \sigma^*\delta_M\circ F_M^{-1}\big(M\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X[\![z]\!]}\mathcal{O}_{X^{\mathrm{rig}}}[\![z-\zeta]\!]\big)$ inside*

$$\sigma^*\delta_M\big(\sigma^*M\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X[\![z]\!]}\mathcal{O}_{X^{\mathrm{rig}}}[\![z-\zeta]\!][\tfrac{1}{z-\zeta}]\big)\ =\ \sigma^*D\otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q^s}((z))}\mathcal{O}_{X^{\mathrm{rig}}}[\![z-\zeta]\!][\tfrac{1}{z-\zeta}]\ =\ \pi^*\mathfrak{p}[\tfrac{1}{z-\zeta}]\,.$$

*Moreover, one may choose $X$ such that $X^{\mathrm{rig}}$ is the space of $\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]$-lattices inside the local system $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ from Theorem 3.4.1.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.2.5, $(\mathcal{H}_b^a)^{\mathrm{rig}}$ is connected. We choose a geometric base point $\bar{x}\in(\mathcal{H}_b^a)^{\mathrm{rig}}$ and consider the continuous representation $\rho:\pi_1^{\text{ét}}\big((\mathcal{H}_b^a)^{\mathrm{rig}},\bar{x}\big)\to\mathrm{GL}_n\big(\mathbb{F}_q((z))\big)$ induced by Proposition A.4.5 from the local system $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ on $(\mathcal{H}_b^a)^{\mathrm{rig}}$. It gives rise to a continuous representation of $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}\big((\mathcal{H}_b^a)^{\mathrm{rig}},\bar{x}\big)$ on the discrete set $\mathrm{GL}_n\big(\mathbb{F}_q((z))\big)/\,\mathrm{GL}_n\big(\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]\big)$ of lattices inside $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{Q}}$. By Theorem A.4.3 the later corresponds to (that is, it is trivialized by) a disjoint union $X_L$ of étale covering spaces $\pi: X_L\to(\mathcal{H}_b^a)^{\mathrm{rig}}$ of $(\mathcal{H}_b^a)^{\mathrm{rig}}$. Hence there is a sheaf $N$ of $\sigma$-modules over $\mathcal{O}_{X_L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle$ contained in $\pi^*\mathcal{Q}$ on which $F_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is an isomorphism, such that $\pi^*\mathcal{Q} = N\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}\rangle}\mathcal{O}_{X_L}\langle\frac{z}{\zeta}, z^{-1}\}$. Now the assertion follows from Proposition 2.4.9. □

**Remark 3.4.4.** (a) This theorem is optimal since the Tate module

$$T_zM\ =\ \Big(\big(M\otimes\mathcal{O}_{X^{\mathrm{rig}}}[\![z]\!]/(z^m)\big)^F\Big)_{m\in\mathbb{N}_0}$$

of $M$ is a local system of $\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]$-lattices on $X^{\mathrm{rig}}$ that satisfies $T_zM\otimes\mathbb{F}_q((z))\ \cong\ \pi^*\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{Q}}$. Since in general the local system of $\mathbb{F}_q((z))$-vector spaces $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ contains $\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]$-lattices only locally we can expect the existence of $M$ only on an étale covering space of $(\mathcal{H}_b^a)^{\mathrm{rig}}$ and not on $(\mathcal{H}_b^a)^{\mathrm{rig}}$ itself.

(b) The space $X^{\mathrm{rig}}$ is the rigid analytic fiber of the deformation space of the local isoshtuka $(D, F_D)$ studied in [31, 32]. We like to refer to this deformation space as a *Rapoport-Zink space* since its analogues in mixed characteristic were constructed by Rapoport-Zink [51] and are often called this way. The above theorem provides a $\zeta$-adic formal model of the rigid analytic fiber $X^{\mathrm{rig}}$ of the Rapoport-Zink space in mixed characteristic.

# Appendix A

# Background from rigid analytic and Berkovich geometry

A.1 Rigid Analytic Spaces and Formal Schemes ............ 69
A.2 Berkovich Spaces ............ 70
A.3 Étale Sheaves on Rigid Analytic Spaces ............ 74
A.4 The Étale Fundamental Group ............ 75
A.5 An Approximation Lemma ............ 77
A.6 An Extension Lemma ............ 78

In this whole appendix let again $R \supset \mathbb{F}_q[\![\zeta]\!]$ be a valuation ring of Krull dimension one which is complete and separated with respect to the $\zeta$-adic topology. We do not assume that $R$ is noetherian. Let $L$ be the fraction field and $\mathfrak{m}_R$ be the maximal ideal of $R$.

## A.1 Rigid Analytic Spaces and Formal Schemes

We do not intend to give an introduction to rigid analytic geometry here. We only want to explain the terminology used in this article and to direct the reader to further literature.

The $L$-algebra

$$L\langle\underline{y}\rangle \ := \ L\langle y_1,\ldots,y_n\rangle \ := \ \Big\{\, f = \sum_{\underline{i}\in\mathbb{N}_0^n} a_{\underline{i}}\, y_1^{i_1}\cdots y_n^{i_n} : \ |a_{\underline{i}}| \to 0 \ \text{for} \ i_1+\ldots+i_n \to \infty \,\Big\}$$

is called the *Tate algebra* in $n$ variables over $L$. It is noetherian and carries the *Gauß norm* $|f| := \sup\{|a_{\underline{i}}| : \underline{i}\in\mathbb{N}_0^n\}$ with respect to which it is complete. The Gauß norm is an *$L$-algebra norm*, that is it extends the valuation on $L$. An *affinoid $L$-algebra* is an $L$-algebra $B$ which can be described as a quotient of a Tate algebra for some $n$. For every presentation $B = L\langle\underline{y}\rangle/\mathfrak{a}$ the residue norm of the Gauß norm is a complete $L$-algebra norm on $B$. All these norms induce the same topology on $B$. More generally any $L$-algebra norm on $B$ defining this topology is called an *$L$-Banach norm* on $B$.

Now let $B$ be an affinoid $L$-algebra. For any maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}\subset B$ the residue field $B/\mathfrak{m}$ is a finite extension of $L$ by [11, Corollary 6.1.2/3]. In rigid analytic geometry one equips the set $\operatorname{Sp} B$ of all maximal ideals of $B$ with a Grothendieck topology and a structure sheaf. One calls $\operatorname{Sp} B$ an *affinoid rigid analytic space*. Every $L$-algebra homomorphism $B \to C$ induces a map $\operatorname{Sp} C \to \operatorname{Sp} B$ and these are precisely the *morphisms* between affinoid rigid analytic spaces. In the Grothendieck topology on $\operatorname{Sp} B$ any finite covering of $\operatorname{Sp} B$ by affinoid subdomains is admissible, where an *affinoid subdomain* of $\operatorname{Sp} B$ is an affinoid space $\operatorname{Sp} B'$ together with an

$L$-algebra homomorphism $B \hookrightarrow B'$ which identifies the set $\operatorname{Sp} B'$ with a subset $U$ of $\operatorname{Sp} B$ and which is universal for morphisms $\operatorname{Sp} A \to \operatorname{Sp} B$ of affinoid rigid analytic spaces whose image lies in $U$. Now a *rigid analytic space* over $L$ is a set $X$ carrying a Grothendieck topology and a structure sheaf, such that $X$ possesses an admissible covering by affinoid rigid analytic spaces. Rigid analytic spaces were invented by Tate [56]. Their theory is carefully presented in [11], see also [10].

Rigid analytic spaces can be viewed as generic fibers of certain formal schemes over $\operatorname{Spf} R$. See [EGA, I §10] for the notion of formal scheme. We let

$$R\langle \underline{y}\rangle \;:=\; R\langle y_1,\ldots,y_n\rangle \;:=\; \Big\{ \sum_{\underline{i}\in\mathbb{N}_0^n} a_{\underline{i}}\,\underline{y}^{\underline{i}} \in L\langle\underline{y}\rangle : \;\; a_{\underline{i}} \in R \text{ for all } \underline{i}\in\mathbb{N}_0^n \Big\}\,.$$

An *admissible formal $R$-algebra* is a flat $R$-algebra which is a quotient of some $R\langle\underline{y}\rangle$. An *admissible formal scheme* over $\operatorname{Spf} R$ is a formal scheme over $\operatorname{Spf} R$ which locally is $R$-isomorphic to the formal spectrum of an admissible formal $R$-algebra. For any admissible formal $R$-algebra $B^\circ$ the $L$-algebra $B := B^\circ \otimes_R L$ is affinoid. The assignment $\operatorname{Spf} B^\circ \mapsto \operatorname{Sp} B$ can be globalized to a functor

$$\operatorname{rig}: \;\{\text{admissible formal schemes over } \operatorname{Spf} R\} \;\longrightarrow\; \{\text{rigid analytic spaces over } L\}\,.$$

Under this functor all admissible formal blowing-ups are mapped to isomorphisms, where an *admissible formal blowing-up* of an admissible formal scheme $X$ over $\operatorname{Spf} R$ is by definition the formal completion of a blowing-up in a coherent sheaf of ideals $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ such that locally on $X$, $\mathcal{J}$ contains some power of $\zeta$. After imposing some finiteness condition and localizing the category of admissible formal schemes over $\operatorname{Spf} R$ by the system of admissible formal blowing-ups the functor rig becomes an equivalence of categories (see Theorem A.2.4 for the precise statement). These ideas were introduced by Raynaud [52]. See [12] or [10] for a thorough introduction.

## A.2 Berkovich Spaces

There is yet another variant of rigid analytic geometry which remedies the problem that rigid analytic spaces are not topological spaces in the classical sense. The theory was developed by Berkovich [7, 8]. Let $B$ be an affinoid $L$-algebra with $L$-Banach norm $|\,.\,|$. Berkovich calls these algebras *strictly $L$-affinoid*.

**Definition A.2.1.** An *analytic point* $x$ of $B$ is a semi-norm $|\,.\,|_x : B \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ which satisfies:

(a) $|f+g|_x \leq \max\{\, |f|_x, |g|_x \,\}$ for all $f, g \in B$,

(b) $|fg|_x = |f|_x\,|g|_x$ for all $f, g \in B$,

(c) $|\lambda|_x = |\lambda|$ for all $\lambda \in L$,

(d) $|\,.\,|_x : B \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is continuous with respect to the norm $|\,.\,|$ on $B$.

The set of all analytic points of $B$ is denoted $\mathcal{M}(B)$. On $\mathcal{M}(B)$ one considers the coarsest topology such that for every $f \in B$ the map $\mathcal{M}(B) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ given by $x \mapsto |f|_x$ is continuous. Equipped with this topology, $\mathcal{M}(B)$ is a compact Hausdorff space; see [7, Theorem 1.2.1]. Such a space is called a *strictly $L$-affinoid space.*

Every morphism $\varphi : B \to C$ of affinoid $L$-algebras is automatically continuous and hence induces a continuous morphism $\mathcal{M}(\varphi) : \mathcal{M}(C) \to \mathcal{M}(B)$ by mapping the semi-norm $C \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ to the composition $B \to C \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. By definition the $\mathcal{M}(\varphi)$ are the *morphisms* in the category of strictly $L$-affinoid spaces. In particular, for an affinoid subdomain $\operatorname{Sp} B' \subset \operatorname{Sp} B$ this morphism identifies $\mathcal{M}(B')$ with a closed subset of $\mathcal{M}(B)$.

For every analytic point $x \in \mathcal{M}(B)$ we let $\ker|\,.\,|_x := \{\, b \in B : |b|_x = 0 \,\}$. It is a prime ideal in $B$. We define the *(complete) residue field of* $x$ as the completion with respect to $|\,.\,|_x$ of the fraction field of $B/\ker|\,.\,|_x$. It will be denoted $\kappa(x)$. There is a natural continuous homomorphism $B \to \kappa(x)$ of $L$-algebras. Conversely let $K$ be a *complete extension* of $L$, by which we mean a field extension of $L$ equipped with an absolute value $|\,.\,| : K \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ which restricts on $L$ to the norm of $L$ such that $K$ is complete with respect to $|\,.\,|$. Any continuous $L$-algebra homomorphism $B \to K$ defines on $B$ a semi-norm which is an analytic point.

Although $\kappa(x)$ is topologically finitely generated it may be quite large as one can see from example (d) below, which was communicated to us by V. Berkovich.

**Example A.2.2.** We want to give some examples for analytic points in $\mathcal{M}(B)$.

(a) Every classical point $x$ of the rigid analytic space $\operatorname{Sp} B$ defines an analytic point. Namely, $x$ corresponds to a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_x \subset B$ and induces the semi-norm

$$B \longrightarrow B/\mathfrak{m}_x \xrightarrow{|\,.\,|_x} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

where $|\,.\,|_x$ is the unique valuation on the finite extension $B/\mathfrak{m}_x$ of $L$ extending the valuation of $L$; see [11, Corollary 6.1.2/3]. Because of its finiteness over $L$ the residue field $B/\mathfrak{m}_x$ is already complete with respect to $|\,.\,|_x$ and we have $\kappa(x) = B/\mathfrak{m}_x$.

(b) Now let $B = L\langle y_1, \ldots, y_n\rangle$ be the Tate algebra in $n$ variables. By [11, Proposition 5.1.2/1] the Gauß norm $\Big|\sum_{\underline{i}\in\mathbb{N}_0^n} a_{\underline{i}}\, \underline{y}^{\underline{i}}\Big| := \sup\{\, |a_{\underline{i}}| : \underline{i} \in \mathbb{N}_0^n \,\}$ is a valuation on $B$ and defines an analytic point in $\mathcal{M}(B)$.

(c) Again for $B = L\langle y_1, \ldots, y_n\rangle$ we let $b = (b_1, \ldots, b_n) \in (L^{\mathrm{alg}})^n$ with $|b_i| \leq 1$. We expand each $f \in B$ around $b$

$$f \;=\; \sum_{\underline{i}\in\mathbb{N}_0^n} a_{\underline{i}}\,(y_1 - b_1)^{i_1} \cdots (y_n - b_n)^{i_n} \qquad \text{with} \quad a_{\underline{i}} \in L(b_1, \ldots, b_n)\,.$$

For every element $t = (t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ of the $n$-cube $[0,1]^n$ we obtain an analytic point $P = P(b,t)$ in $\mathcal{M}(B)$ by setting

$$|f|_P \;:=\; \sup\{\, |a_{\underline{i}}|\, t_1^{i_1} \cdots t_n^{i_n} : \ \underline{i} \in \mathbb{N}_0^n \,\}\,.$$

If $b$ is fixed it is easy to see that this defines a continuous and injective map $[0,1]^n \to \mathcal{M}(B)$, $t \mapsto P(b,t)$ sending $(0, \ldots, 0)$ to the point corresponding to $b$ as considered in (a), and $(1, \ldots, 1)$ to the point corresponding to the Gauß norm on $B$.

(d) Let $B = L\langle y\rangle$. We will exhibit an analytic point $x \in \mathcal{M}(B)$ with $\kappa(x) = \overline{L}$ the completion of an algebraic closure of $L$.

Let $L_n \subset L^{\mathrm{sep}}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be a sequence of finite extensions of $L$ with

$$L \;=\; L_0 \;\subsetneq\; \ldots \;\subsetneq\; L_n \;\subsetneq\; L_{n+1} \;\subsetneq\; \ldots \;\subsetneq\; \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0} L_n \;=\; L^{\mathrm{sep}}\,.$$

It is not hard to inductively choose a sequence $a_n \in L_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $L_n = L_{n-1}(a_n)$ and

$$|a_n - a_{n-1}| \;<\tfrac{1}{n} \qquad \text{and} \qquad |a_n - a_{n-1}| \;<\; |\gamma(a_m) - a_m|$$

for all $\gamma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L^{\mathrm{sep}}/L_{m-1}), \gamma \neq \mathrm{id}$, and for all $m = 1, \ldots, n-1$. Then the limit $a := \lim_{n\to\infty} a_n$ exists in $\overline{L}$ and satisfies $|a - a_n| \;<\; |\gamma(a_n) - a_n|$ for all $\gamma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L^{\mathrm{sep}}/L_{n-1})$. Let $K := \widehat{L(a)}$ be the closure of $L(a)$ inside $\overline{L}$.

We claim that $K = \overline{L}$.

*Proof.* Consider the action of $G_L := \mathrm{Gal}(L^{\mathrm{sep}}/L)$ on $\overline{L}$ induced by continuity from the fact that $L^{\mathrm{sep}}$ is dense in $\overline{L}$; see [11, 3.4.1/6]. We have $H := \{\gamma \in G_L : \gamma|_K = \mathrm{id}_K\} = \mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{sep}}/K)$ for the separable closure $K^{\mathrm{sep}}$ of $K$ inside $\overline{L}$ since each element of $\mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{sep}}/K)$ acts continuously with respect to $|\,.\,|$. We set $M := (L^{\mathrm{sep}})^H \subset K$. Then $L \subset M = L^{\mathrm{sep}} \cap K$ and one easily sees that $M$ is henselian with respect to $|\,.\,|$ because $K$ is complete. By the Ax-Sen-Tate Theorem [4, p. 417] we obtain $K \subset \overline{L}^H = \widehat{M^{\mathrm{perf}}}$, the closure of the perfect hull of $M$.

We show by induction that $a_n \in M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $n$ there is an element $b_n \in M^{\mathrm{perf}}$ with $|a - b_n| \le |a_{n+1} - a_n|$. In particular $|a_n - b_n| < |\gamma(a_n) - a_n|$ for all $\gamma \in \mathrm{Gal}(L^{\mathrm{sep}}/L_{n-1})$. So by Krasner's Lemma [11, 3.4.2/2], $a_n \in L_{n-1}(b_n) \subset M^{\mathrm{perf}}$. Since $a_n$ is separable over $L$ we find $a_n \in M$ as desired. We conclude that $L^{\mathrm{sep}} \subset M \subset K$ and $\overline{L} = \widehat{L^{\mathrm{sep}}} = K$. □

Now if $B = L\langle y \rangle$ we obtain an analytic point $x \in \mathcal{M}(B)$ with $\kappa(x) = \overline{L}$ by mapping $y$ to the element $a \in \overline{L}$ constructed above.

In [8, §1.2] Berkovich defines the category of *strictly $L$-analytic spaces*. These spaces are topological spaces which admit an atlas with strictly $L$-affinoid charts. Berkovich calls such a space *good* if every point of it has a strictly $L$-affinoid neighborhood. The good strictly $L$-analytic spaces are the spaces studied in [7], see [8, §1.5].

**Definition A.2.3.** In this article we want to refer to good strictly $L$-analytic spaces as *Berkovich spaces*. Also we here use the term *affinoid covering* of a Berkovich space $X$ for a covering $\{U_i\}_i$ of $X$ by strictly $L$-affinoid subspaces $U_i \subset X$ such that the open interiors of the $U_i$ in $X$ still cover $X$.

The spaces $\mathcal{M}(B)$ for affinoid $L$-algebras $B$ are examples for Berkovich spaces. Other examples for Berkovich spaces arise from schemes $Y$ which are locally of finite type over $L$, see [7, §3.4]. Namely if $Y = \mathbb{A}^n_L$ is affine $n$-space over $L$ the associated Berkovich space $Y^{\mathrm{an}} = (\mathbb{A}^n_L)^{\mathrm{an}}$ consists of all semi-norms on the polynomial ring $L[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ as in Definition A.2.1 (a) - (c). The space $(\mathbb{A}^n_L)^{\mathrm{an}}$ is the union of the increasing sequence of compact polydiscs $\mathcal{M}\big(L\langle \frac{x_1}{\zeta^{-m}}, \ldots, \frac{x_n}{\zeta^{-m}} \rangle\big)$ of radii $(|\zeta^{-m}|, \ldots, |\zeta^{-m}|)$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If $Y \subset \mathbb{A}^n_L$ is a closed subscheme of affine $n$-space with coherent ideal sheaf $\mathcal{J}$, the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{A}^n_L)^{\mathrm{an}}}$ defines a closed strictly $L$-analytic subspace $Y^{\mathrm{an}}$ of $(\mathbb{A}^n_L)^{\mathrm{an}}$. Finally, if $Y$ is arbitrary and $\{Y_i\}_i$ is a covering of $Y$ by affine open subschemes then one can glue the associated strictly $L$-affinoid spaces $Y_i^{\mathrm{an}}$ to the Berkovich space $Y^{\mathrm{an}}$. Moreover $Y^{\mathrm{an}}$ is Hausdorff if and only if the scheme $Y$ is separated, see [7, Theorems 3.4.1 and 3.4.8].

The relation between Berkovich spaces, rigid analytic spaces, and formal schemes is as follows. To every strictly $L$-analytic space $X$ which is Hausdorff one can associate a quasi-separated rigid analytic space

$$X^{\mathrm{rig}} \;:=\; \{\, x \in X : \;\kappa(x) \text{ is a finite extension of } L \,\},$$

see [8, §1.6]. Recall that a rigid analytic space is called *quasi-separated* if the intersection of any two affinoid subdomains is a finite union of affinoid subdomains. To describe the subcategories on which the functor $X \mapsto X^{\mathrm{rig}}$ is an equivalence we need the following terminology. A topological Hausdorff space is called *paracompact* if every open covering $\{U_i\}_i$ has a locally finite refinement $\{V_j\}_j$, where *locally finite* means that every point has a neighborhood which meets only finitely many of the $V_j$. On the other hand an admissible covering of a rigid analytic space is said to be *of finite type* if every member of the covering meets only finitely many of the other members. A rigid analytic space over $L$ is called *quasi-paracompact* if it possesses an admissible affinoid covering of finite type. Similarly we define the notions *of finite type* and *quasi-paracompact* also for (an open covering of) an admissible formal $R$-scheme.

**Theorem A.2.4.** *The following three categories are equivalent:*

- *(a) the category of paracompact strictly $L$-analytic spaces,*
- *(b) the category of quasi-separated quasi-paracompact rigid analytic spaces over $L$, and*
- *(c) the category of quasi-paracompact admissible formal $R$-schemes, localized by admissible formal blowing-ups.*

*Proof.* It is shown in [8, Theorem 1.6.1] that $X \mapsto X^{\mathrm{rig}}$ is an equivalence between (a) and (b). The equivalence of (c) with (b) is due to Raynaud, see [10, Theorem 2.8/3] for a proof. ☐

Regarding paracompactness the following lemma will be useful.

**Lemma A.2.5.** *Let $X$ be a Berkovich space over $\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))$. Assume that $X$ admits a countable affinoid covering. Then $X$ possesses a countable fundamental system of neighborhoods consisting of affinoid Berkovich subspaces. In particular if $X$ is Hausdorff every open subset of $X$ is a paracompact Berkovich space.*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that every member $U = \mathcal{M}(B)$ of the countable affinoid covering of $X$ has a countable fundamental system of affinoid neighborhoods. Since $\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))$ is countable $B$ possesses a countable dense subset $\{f_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}_0}$. For rational numbers $r' \geq r$ we let $V(f_i; r, r')$ (respectively $V(f_i; r, \infty)$) be the strictly $\mathbb{F}_q((\zeta))$-affinoid subset of $U$ on which $|\zeta|^{r'} \leq |f_i| \leq |\zeta|^r$ (respectively $|f_i| \leq |\zeta^r|$). Note that if $r = \frac{c}{d}$ and $r' = \frac{c'}{d}$ for integers $c, c', d$ then $V(f_i; r, r') = \mathcal{M}\big(B\langle Y, Y'\rangle/(f_i^d - \zeta^c Y, f_i^d Y' - \zeta^{c'})\big)$. We claim that the countable collection

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^{\ell} V(f_{i_j}; r_j, r'_j) \qquad \text{for} \quad \ell \in \mathbb{N}_0\,,\ i_j \in \mathbb{N}_0\,,\ r_j \in \mathbb{Q}\,,\ r'_j \in \mathbb{Q}\cup\{\infty\}\,,\ r_j \leq r'_j$$

is a fundamental system of neighborhoods for $U$. Indeed, let $V \subset U$ be any open subset and let $x_0 \in V$. By definition of the topology on $U$ there exist $g_1, \ldots, g_\ell \in B$ and open intervals $I_1, \ldots, I_\ell \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$x_0 \;\in\; \{x \in U : \ |g_j|_x \in I_j \text{ for all } j = 1, \ldots, \ell\} \;\subset\; V\,.$$

For all $j$ we can find numbers $r_j, r'_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $r'_j \geq r_j$ and

$$|g_j|_{x_0} \;\in\; \big(|\zeta|^{r'_j}, |\zeta|^{r_j}\big) \;\subset\; \big[|\zeta|^{r'_j}, |\zeta|^{r_j}\big] \;\subset\; I_j$$

if $|g_j|_{x_0} > 0$, respectively numbers $r_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $r'_j = \infty$ with

$$|g_j|_{x_0} \;\in\; \big[\,0\,, |\zeta|^{r_j}\big) \;\subset\; \big[\,0\,, |\zeta|^{r_j}\big] \;\subset\; I_j$$

if $|g_j|_{x_0} = 0$. We may further approximate $g_j$ by some $f_{i_j}$ such that $|f_{i_j} - g_j| < |\zeta|^{r'_j}$ if $r'_j \neq \infty$, respectively $|f_{i_j} - g_j| < |\zeta|^{r_j}$ if $r'_j = \infty$. Then

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^{\ell} V(f_{i_j}, r_j, r'_j)$$

is an affinoid neighborhood of $x_0$ contained in $V$ as desired.

If $X$ is Hausdorff it remains to show that any open subset $V$ of $X$ is paracompact. For every point $x \in V$ we choose an affinoid neighborhood $V_x \subset V$ from the countable fundamental system of neighborhoods for $X$ whose existence we just have established. Then $V$ is the union of the countably many compact sets $V_x$ and hence paracompact by [13, Theorem I.9.5]. ☐

## A.3 Étale Sheaves on Rigid Analytic Spaces

A morphism $f : Y \to X$ of rigid analytic spaces over $L$ is called *étale* if for every (classical) point $y \in Y$ the induced homomorphism of local rings $\mathcal{O}_{X,f(y)} \to \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ is flat and unramified. See [38, § 3] for a thorough discussion of this notion.

Let $X$ be a rigid analytic space over $L$. We recall the definition of the étale site of $X$ from de Jong, van der Put [38, § 3.2]. The underlying category of the site $X_{\text{ét}}$ is the category of all étale morphisms $f : Y \to X$ of rigid analytic spaces over $L$. A morphism from $f$ to $f'$ is a morphism $g : Y \to Y'$ such that $f' \circ g = f$. The morphism $g$ is automatically étale.

**Definition A.3.1.** A family of étale morphisms $\{ g_i : Z_i \to Y \}_{i \in I}$ is a *covering for the étale topology* if it has the following property:

> For every (some) choice of admissible affinoid covering $Z_i = \bigcup_j Z_{i,j}$ one has $Y = \bigcup_{i,j} g_i(Z_{i,j})$, and this is an admissible covering in the Grothendieck topology of $Y$.

Clearly any admissible covering of $Y$ is a covering for the étale topology.

The property in Definition A.3.1 is local on $Y$ in the following sense: if $Y = \bigcup Y_l$ is an admissible affinoid covering, then $\{ g_i : Z_i \to Y \}$ is a covering for the étale topology if and only if for all $l$ the same is true for the covering $\{ g_i : g_i^{-1}(Y_l) \to Y_l \}$. This implies that if $\{ Z_i \to Y \}$ and $\{ W_{i,j} \to Z_i \}$ for all $i$ are coverings for the étale topology, then $\{ W_{i,j} \to Y \}$ is a covering for the étale topology.

The category $X_{\text{ét}}$ equipped with the family of coverings for the étale topology is thus a site, called the *étale site of* $X$. The sheaves on this site are called *étale sheaves on* $X$.

**Example A.3.2.** The following are examples of étale sheaves of abelian groups on $X$ which we will need in the sequel ($f : Y \to X$ will denote a general object of $X_{\text{ét}}$):

(a) The structure sheaf $\mathbb{G}_a$ defined by $Y \mapsto \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$.

(b) For any quasi-coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules on $X$ we define the étale sheaf $W(\mathcal{F})$ on $X_{\text{ét}}$ by $Y \mapsto \Gamma(Y, f^*\mathcal{F})$, where $f^*$ denotes the pullback of quasi-coherent modules. In particular, one has $W(\mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathbb{G}_a$. Any étale sheaf $W(\mathcal{F})$ is a sheaf of $\mathbb{G}_a$-modules.

(c) For any group or ring $A$ the constant étale sheaf $\underline{A}_X$ is defined by $Y \mapsto \prod_{\pi_0(Y)} A$, where $\pi_0(Y)$ is the set of connected components of $Y$. (The restriction maps are the obvious ones.) If $X$ is clear from the context we will also write $\underline{A}$ instead of $\underline{A}_X$.

As usual étale sheaves possess stalks at geometric points. Since in this article we are only interested in quasi-separated quasi-paracompact rigid analytic spaces $X$ over $L$ whose associated strictly $L$-analytic space $X^{\text{an}}$ is good, that is a Berkovich space, we recall the definition only in this case. A *geometric point* $\bar{x}$ of $X$ is a morphism $\bar{x} : \operatorname{Sp} K \to X \otimes_L K$ for an algebraically closed complete extension $K$ of $L$. Here $X \otimes_L K$ denotes the rigid analytic space over $K$ obtained from $X$ by base change; see [11, §9.3.6]. The geometric point $\bar{x}$ can be viewed as a morphism $B \to K$ for a suitable affinoid subdomain $\operatorname{Sp} B \subset X$. Then the absolute value on $K$ defines an analytic point $x \in \mathcal{M}(B)$ which we call the *underlying analytic point* of $\bar{x}$. We may even choose $B$ such that $\mathcal{M}(B)$ is an affinoid neighborhood of $x$ in $X^{\text{an}}$. If $\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf on $X_{\text{ét}}$ and $\bar{x}$ is a geometric point of $X$ the *stalk* $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{x}}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ at $\bar{x}$ is the inductive limit

$$\mathcal{F}_{\bar{x}} \;:=\; \varinjlim_{U} \mathcal{F}(U)$$

over all *étale neighborhoods* $U$ of $\bar{x}$, that is pairs $(f,\bar{y})$ consisting of étale morphisms $f : U \to X$ and commutative diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\operatorname{Sp} K \arrow[r, "\bar{y}"] \arrow[dr, "\bar{x}"'] & U \otimes_L K \arrow[d, "f"] \\
 & X \otimes_L K\ .
\end{tikzcd}$$

Corresponding to the definitions of this section one can also define the étale site of a Berkovich space; see [8, §4.1]. For a paracompact Berkovich space $X^{\mathrm{an}}$ with associated rigid analytic space $X$ one obtains a morphism of sites $X \to X^{\mathrm{an}}$. The sheaves on $X_{\text{ét}}$ we will meet in this article in fact come from sheaves on the étale site $X^{\mathrm{an}}_{\text{ét}}$. However we have preferred to formulate our results with rigid analytic spaces since we believe that they are more widely known as Berkovich spaces. Anyway Theorem A.2.4 allows us to use both rigid analytic and Berkovich spaces whichever is more convenient.

## A.4 The Étale Fundamental Group

In this section we want to recall de Jong's [37] definition of the *étale fundamental group* of a rigid analytic space $X$. Here again there is the corresponding definition for Berkovich spaces. We restrict ourselves in this section to the case where $X$ is quasi-separated and quasi-paracompact and its associated strictly $L$-analytic space $X^{\mathrm{an}}$ is good. We call the points of $X^{\mathrm{an}}$ *analytic points* of $X$. Since $X^{\mathrm{an}}$ is assumed to be good every analytic point $x$ of $X$ has a fundamental system of neighborhoods consisting of affinoid subdomains $\operatorname{Sp} B \subset X$ such that $\mathcal{M}(B)$ is a neighborhood of $x$ in $X^{\mathrm{an}}$.

**Definition A.4.1.** Let $\pi : Y \to X$ be a morphism of rigid analytic spaces over $L$. $Y$ is called an *étale covering space of* $X$ if $Y$ is quasi-paracompact and if for every analytic point $x$ of $X$ there exists an affinoid subspace $\operatorname{Sp} B \subset X$ such that $\mathcal{M}(B)$ is a neighborhood of $x$ in $X^{\mathrm{an}}$ and such that $Y \times_X \operatorname{Sp} B$ is a disjoint union of affinoids mapping finite étale to $\operatorname{Sp} B$. The category of étale covering spaces of $X$ is denoted $\underline{\mathrm{Cov}}^{\text{ét}}_X$.

All étale covering spaces are coverings for the étale topology in the site $X_{\text{ét}}$; see Definition A.3.1. The reader should not confuse the concepts of étale covering spaces and coverings for the étale topology. Note that the strictly $L$-analytic space associated to an étale covering space of $X$ is again a Berkovich space.

In order to define the étale fundamental group let $\bar{x} : \operatorname{Sp} \to X \otimes_L K$ be a geometric point of $X$. As in [SGA 1] consider the fiber functor at $\bar{x}$

$$F^{\text{ét}}_{\bar{x}} :\ \underline{\mathrm{Cov}}^{\text{ét}}_X \ \longrightarrow\ \underline{\mathrm{Sets}}\ , \qquad F^{\text{ét}}_{\bar{x}}\big(\pi : Y \to X\big) \ :=\ \{\, \bar{y} : \operatorname{Sp} K \to Y \otimes_L K \ \text{ with } \ \pi \circ \bar{y} = \bar{x}\,\}$$

to the category of sets.

**Definition A.4.2.** We define the *étale fundamental group* as the automorphism group of $F^{\text{ét}}_{\bar{x}}$

$$\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X,\bar{x}) \ :=\ \operatorname{Aut}(F^{\text{ét}}_{\bar{x}})\,.$$

It is topologized by considering as fundamental open neighborhoods of 1 the stabilizers $\mathrm{Stab}_{X',\bar{x}'}$ in $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X,\bar{x})$ of arbitrary geometric points $\bar{x}'$ above $\bar{x}$ in arbitrary étale covering spaces $X' \in \underline{\mathrm{Cov}}^{\text{ét}}_X$.

The étale fundamental group classifies the étale covering spaces in the following sense. Let $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X,\bar{x})$-$\underline{\mathrm{Sets}}$ be the category of discrete sets endowed with a continuous left action of $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X,\bar{x})$. If $Y \in \underline{\mathrm{Cov}}^{\text{ét}}_X$ then $F^{\text{ét}}_{\bar{x}}(Y)$ naturally is an object of $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X,\bar{x})$-$\underline{\mathrm{Sets}}$. The following theorem is due to de Jong [37, Theorem 2.10 and §5]

**Theorem A.4.3.** *The fiber functor*

$$F_{\bar{x}}^{\text{ét}}: \ \underline{\mathrm{Cov}}_X^{\text{ét}} \longrightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X,\bar{x})\text{-}\underline{\mathrm{Sets}}$$

*is fully faithful, and extends to an equivalence of categories*

$$\{\textit{disjoint unions of objects of } \underline{\mathrm{Cov}}_X^{\text{ét}}\} \longrightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X,\bar{x})\text{-}\underline{\mathrm{Sets}}$$

*Connected coverings correspond to* $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X,\bar{x})$*-orbits.*

We finally want to define the notion of local systems of $\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)$-vector spaces.

**Definition A.4.4.** We define a *local system of* $\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]$*-lattices* on $X$ as a projective system $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_n, i_n)$ of sheaves $\mathcal{F}_n$ of $\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]/(z^n)$-modules on $X_{\text{ét}}$ such that $\mathcal{F}_n$ is a locally constant free $\underline{\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]/(z^n)}$-module of finite rank and $i_n$ induces an isomorphism of sheaves of $\underline{\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]/(z^{n-1})}$-modules

$$i_n \otimes \mathrm{id}: \ \mathcal{F}_n \otimes_{\underline{\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]/(z^n)}} \underline{\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]/(z^{n-1})} \xrightarrow{\ \sim\ } \mathcal{F}_{n-1}\,.$$

(Of course locally constant means locally for the étale topology.) The category $\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]\text{-}\underline{\mathrm{Loc}}_X$ of local systems of $\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]$-lattices with the obvious morphisms is an additive $\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]$-linear rigid tensor category. If $\bar{x}$ is a geometric point of $X$ we define the *stalk* $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{x}}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ at $\bar{x}$ as

$$\mathcal{F}_{\bar{x}} \ := \ \varprojlim(\mathcal{F}_{n,\bar{x}},\, i_n)\,.$$

It is a finite free $\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]$-module. Starting from $\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]$-lattices one defines local systems of $\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)$-vector spaces as in [37, §5]. In concrete terms this means that a *local system of* $\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)$*-vector spaces* on $X$ is given by the following data

$$\mathcal{V} \ = \ \big(\{U_i \to X\},\, \mathcal{F}_i,\, \varphi_{ij}\big)$$

where

- $\{U_i \to X\}$ is a covering for the étale topology on $X$,
- $\mathcal{F}_i$ is a local system of $\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]$-lattices over $U_i$ for each $i$,
- for each pair $i, j$, $\varphi_{ij}$ is an invertible section over $U_i \times_X U_j$ of the sheaf

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]\text{-}\underline{\mathrm{Loc}}_X}\big(\mathcal{F}_i|_{U_i\times_X U_j}\,,\, \mathcal{F}_j|_{U_i\times_X U_j}\big) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]} \mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)\,.$$

These data are subject to the cocycle condition $pr_{ij}^*(\varphi_{ij}) \circ pr_{jk}^*(\varphi_{jk}) \ = \ pr_{ik}^*(\varphi_{ik})$ on the triple product $U_i \times_X U_j \times_X U_k$. A refinement of the covering gives by definition an isomorphic object. Therefore morphisms $\mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}'$ need only be defined for systems given over the same covering $\{U_i \to X\}$. In this case (after possibly refining the covering $\{U_i \to X\}$ further) such a morphism is defined by a collection of sections $\varphi_i$ of the sheaf $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]\text{-}\underline{\mathrm{Loc}}_X}(\mathcal{F}_i, \mathcal{F}_i') \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]} \mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)$ over $U_i$ satisfying $\varphi_{ij}' \circ pr_i^*(\varphi_i) = pr_j^*(\varphi_j) \circ \varphi_{ij}$ over $U_i \times_X U_j$.

If $\bar{x}$ is a geometric point of $X$ we define the *stalk* $\mathcal{V}_{\bar{x}}$ of $\mathcal{V}$ at $\bar{x}$ as follows. Choose a lift $\bar{y}$ of $\bar{x}$ in some $U_i$ and put

$$\mathcal{V}_{\bar{x}} \ := \ \mathcal{F}_{i,\bar{y}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q[\![z]\!]} \mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)\,.$$

One easily verifies that $\mathcal{V}_{\bar{x}}$ is a well defined finite dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)$-vector space.

The local systems of $\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)$-vector spaces form a category $\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)\text{-}\underline{\mathrm{Loc}}_X$. It is an abelian $\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)$-linear rigid tensor category. The theory of these local systems parallels the theory of local systems of $\mathbb{Q}_p$-vector spaces developed in [37]. In particular there is the following description where for a topological group $G$ we denote by $\underline{\mathrm{Rep}}_{\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)}G$ the category of continuous representations in finite dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q(\!(z)\!)$-vector spaces.

**Proposition A.4.5.** *(Compare [37, Corollary 5.2].) For any geometric point $\bar{x}$ of $X$ there is a natural $\mathbb{F}_q((z))$-linear tensor functor*

$$w_{\bar{x}}: \ \mathbb{F}_q((z))\text{-}\underline{\mathrm{Loc}}_X \ \to \ \underline{\mathrm{Rep}}_{\mathbb{F}_q((z))}\big(\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X,\bar{x})\big)$$

*which assigns to a local system $\mathcal{V}$ the $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X,\bar{x})$-representation $\mathcal{V}_{\bar{x}}$. It is an equivalence if $X$ is connected.*

Correspondingly one can define the category $\mathbb{F}_q((z))\text{-}\underline{\mathrm{Loc}}_{X^{\mathrm{an}}}$ of local systems of $\mathbb{F}_q((z))$-vector spaces on the Berkovich space $X^{\mathrm{an}}$ associated to $X$ as in [37, §4].

**Proposition A.4.6.** *(Compare [37, Proposition 5.1].) There is a natural tensor equivalence of categories $\mathbb{F}_q((z))\text{-}\underline{\mathrm{Loc}}_{X^{\mathrm{an}}} \to \mathbb{F}_q((z))\text{-}\underline{\mathrm{Loc}}_X$.*

**Proposition A.4.7.** *(Compare [37, Corollary 4.4].) A local system of $\mathbb{F}_q((z))$-vector spaces $\mathcal{V}$ on $X$ can always be given as $\mathcal{V} = \big(\{U_i \to X\}, \mathcal{F}_i, \varphi_{ij}\big)$ where the $U_i$ are affinoid subdomains of $X$ and the associated Berkovich spaces $U_i^{\mathrm{an}}$ form an affinoid covering of $X^{\mathrm{an}}$ in the sense of Definition A.2.3.*

## A.5 An Approximation Lemma

The following lemma generalizes the fact that $L^{\mathrm{sep}}$ lies dense in $L^{\mathrm{alg}}$.

**Lemma A.5.1.** *Let $B$ be an affinoid $L$-algebra and let $x \in \mathcal{M}(B)$. Then to any given $b \in \widehat{\kappa(x)^{\mathrm{alg}}}$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ there exists an affinoid neighborhood $\mathcal{M}(B')$ of $x$, a finite étale $B'$-algebra $C$ such that $\mathcal{M}(C)$ contains a unique point $y$ above $x$, and an element $c \in C$ with $|b-c|_y < \varepsilon$.*

*Proof.* By [11, 3.4.1/6], $\kappa(x)^{\mathrm{sep}}$ is dense in $\widehat{\kappa(x)^{\mathrm{alg}}}$. So we find an element $b' \in \kappa(x)^{\mathrm{sep}}$ with $|b-b'|_x < \varepsilon$. Consider the minimal polynomial $f = X^n + a'_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \ldots + a'_0$ of $b'$ over $\kappa(x)$. Since $\kappa(x) = \mathrm{Frac}\big(B/\ker|\,.\,|_x\big)^\wedge$ we may approximate the $a'_i$ by elements $\bar{a}_i \in \mathrm{Frac}\big(B/\ker|\,.\,|_x\big)$ and replace them by the $\bar{a}_i$, neither changing the separability of $\kappa(x)(b')$ over $\kappa(x)$ nor the inequality $|b-b'|_x < \varepsilon$. We also may assume that $f$ remains irreducible over $\kappa(x)$ since otherwise we replace it by an appropriate irreducible factor and repeat the approximation. Consider the smallest common denominator $\bar{d}$ in $B/\ker|\,.\,|_x$ of the $\bar{a}_i$ and lift it to an element $d \in B$. The Zariski closed subset on which $d$ vanishes does not contain $x$. Hence there is an affinoid neighborhood $\mathcal{M}(B')$ of $x$ on which $d$ is invertible. We obtain $\bar{a}_i \in B'/\ker|\,.\,|_x$. Choose lifts $a_i \in B'$ of $\bar{a}_i$ and let $C$ be the finite $B'$-algebra $B'[X]/(X^n + a_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \ldots + a_0)$. The Zariski closed subset of $\mathcal{M}(B')$ above which $C$ is not étale does not contain $x$. So we may shrink $\mathcal{M}(B')$ to an affinoid neighborhood of $x$ above which $C$ is finite étale. By construction there is exactly one point in $\mathcal{M}(C)$ which maps to $x$. Putting $c = X$ the lemma follows. □

For further reference let us record a consequence of [38, Lemma 3.1.6].

**Lemma A.5.2.** *Let $B$ be an affinoid $L$-algebra and let $x \in \mathcal{M}(B)$. Consider a finite $B$-algebra $C$ such that there is precisely one point $y \in \mathcal{M}(C)$ in the fiber over $x$. Let $\mathcal{M}(C')$ be an affinoid neighborhood of $y$ in $\mathcal{M}(C)$. Then there exists an affinoid neighborhood $\mathcal{M}(B')$ of $x$ in $\mathcal{M}(B)$ such that $\mathcal{M}(B' \otimes_B C)$ is an affinoid neighborhood of $y$ contained in $\mathcal{M}(C')$.*

## A.6 An Extension Lemma

We introduce the following notation in the formal setting. Let $B^\circ$ be an admissible formal $R$-algebra (A.1) and let $B = B^\circ \otimes_R L$ be the associated affinoid $L$-algebra. We equip $B$ with an $L$-Banach norm $|\,.\,|$ such that $B^\circ = \{b \in B : |b| \le 1\}$. We denote by $\overline{B}$ the $R/\mathfrak{m}_R$-algebra $B^\circ/\{b \in B^\circ : |b| < 1\}$. We define the $B^\circ$-algebras

$$\begin{aligned} B^\circ\langle z\rangle \quad &= \; \Big\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i z^i : \; b_i \in B^\circ, \; |b_i| \to 0 \; (i \to \infty) \Big\} \quad \text{and} \\ B^\circ\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle \; &= \; \Big\{ \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} b_i z^i : \; b_i \in B^\circ, \; |b_i| \to 0 \; (i \to \pm\infty) \Big\}\,. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by an over-bar the reduction modulo $\mathfrak{m}_R$, for example $\overline{B^\circ\langle z\rangle} \; = \; \overline{B}[z]$.

Note that we do *not assume that $B^\circ$ is noetherian*. For the notion of coherent sheaf in the non-noetherian case see [EGA, 0 §5.3].

**Lemma A.6.1.** *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a coherent sheaf on $\operatorname{Spf} B^\circ\langle z\rangle$. Then $\mathcal{M}$ is locally free if and only if $\mathcal{M}$ is flat over $\operatorname{Spf} B^\circ$ and for every maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of $B^\circ$ the $(B^\circ/\mathfrak{m})[z]$-module $\mathcal{M} \otimes_{B^\circ\langle z\rangle} (B^\circ/\mathfrak{m})[z]$ is free.*

*Proof.* Since one direction is obvious we will now assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is flat over $\operatorname{Spf} B^\circ$ and that $\mathcal{M} \otimes_{B^\circ\langle z\rangle} (B^\circ/\mathfrak{m})[z]$ is locally free for each maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of $B^\circ$. We must show that for any maximal ideal $\mathfrak{n}$ of $B^\circ\langle z\rangle$ the $B^\circ\langle z\rangle_{\mathfrak{n}}$-module $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is free. Set $\mathfrak{m} := \mathfrak{n} \cap B^\circ$. Since $\mathfrak{n}$ is maximal we have $\mathfrak{m}_R \subset \mathfrak{n}$. Consider the ideal $\overline{\mathfrak{n}} = \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{m}_R B^\circ\langle z\rangle \subset \overline{B}[z]$. Since $\overline{B}$ is of finite type over the field $R/\mathfrak{m}_R$, it is a Jacobson ring. Hence $\overline{\mathfrak{m}} := \overline{\mathfrak{n}} \cap \overline{B} = \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}_R B^\circ$ is a maximal ideal of $\overline{B}$ by [24, Theorem 4.19] and so $\mathfrak{m}$ is a maximal ideal of $B^\circ$.

By assumption the $B^\circ\langle z\rangle_{\mathfrak{n}}/\mathfrak{m}B^\circ\langle z\rangle_{\mathfrak{n}}$-module $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{n}}/\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is free. Lifting a basis to $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{n}}$ we obtain an exact sequence of $B^\circ\langle z\rangle_{\mathfrak{n}}$-modules

$$0 \to C \to B^\circ\langle z\rangle_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\oplus \ell} \to \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{n}} \to 0\,,$$

which is exact on the right by Nakayama. The kernel $C$ is coherent by [EGA, Corollaire 0.5.4.3]. Since $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is flat over $B^\circ_{\mathfrak{m}}$ we find $C = \mathfrak{m}C$, whence $C = 0$ again by Nakayama. This shows that $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is free as desired. □

**Lemma A.6.2.** *Let $X$ be a quasi-compact admissible formal scheme over $\operatorname{Spf} R$ with associated rigid analytic space $X_L$ over $L$. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a finitely generated free sheaf on $X \times_{\operatorname{Spf} R} \operatorname{Spf} R\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle$, let $\mathcal{M}_L$ be a locally free coherent sheaf on $X_L \times_L \operatorname{Sp} L\langle z\rangle$, and let*

$$\varphi : \; \mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle} \mathcal{O}_{X_L}\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle \; \xrightarrow{\;\sim\;} \; \mathcal{M}_L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_L}\langle z\rangle} \mathcal{O}_{X_L}\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle$$

*be an isomorphism. Then there exists an admissible blowing-up $\pi : X' \to X$ such that $\mathcal{M}_L$ and $\mathcal{N}$ extend to a locally free coherent sheaf $\mathcal{M}'$ on $X' \times_{\operatorname{Spf} R} \operatorname{Spf} R\langle z\rangle$ with the following property: For any morphism $\beta : \operatorname{Spf} C^\circ \to X'$ of admissible formal $\operatorname{Spf} R$-schemes we have*

$$\Gamma\big(\operatorname{Spf} C^\circ\langle z\rangle\,,\, \beta^*\mathcal{M}'\big) \;\; = \;\; \Gamma\big(\operatorname{Sp} C^\circ \otimes_R L\langle z\rangle\,,\, \beta^*\mathcal{M}_L\big) \;\cap\; \Gamma\big(\operatorname{Spf} C^\circ\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle\,,\, \beta^*\pi^*\mathcal{N}\big)$$

*inside $\Gamma\big(\operatorname{Sp} C^\circ \otimes_R L\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle\,,\, \beta^*\mathcal{M}_L\big)$. This property uniquely determines $\mathcal{M}'$.*

*Proof.* In view of the uniqueness assertion the problem is local on $X$. We use Lemma 1.2.8 to produce an affinoid covering of $X_L$ over which $\mathcal{M}_L$ is free. By [12, II, Theorem5.5] this covering is induced by an open affine covering of $X$ after replacing $X$ by an admissible blowing-up of $X$.

Thus we may assume that $X = \operatorname{Spf} B^\circ$ is affine and $\mathcal{M}_L$ is associated with a free $B\langle z\rangle$-module $M_L$. We identify $\mathcal{N}$ with its image under $\varphi$. Let $\underline{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_\ell)$ be a basis of $M_L$. Let $N$ be the $B^\circ\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle$-module associated with $\mathcal{N}$. After multiplication with a power of $\zeta$ we may assume that the $m_i$ belong to $N$. We will show that the intersection $M = M_L \cap N$ inside $M_L \otimes B\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle$ is a coherent $B^\circ\langle z\rangle$-module with $M \otimes_{B^\circ\langle z\rangle} B\langle z\rangle = M_L$ and $M \otimes_{B^\circ\langle z\rangle} B^\circ\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle = N$.

Let $\underline{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_\ell)$ be a basis of the $B^\circ\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle$-module $N$. The isomorphism between $M_L$ and $N$ yields a matrix $U \in \mathrm{GL}_\ell\big(B\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle\big)$ with $\underline{n} = \underline{m}\,U$, that is $n_j = \sum_i U_{ij} m_i$. We can write $U = z^{-a}U' + U''$ for $U'' \in M_\ell\big(\zeta B^\circ\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle\big)$, $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $U' \in M_\ell\big(B[z]\big)$. Since $m_i \in N$ we have

$$U^{-1} \;\in\; M_\ell\big(B^\circ\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle\big) \qquad \text{and} \qquad U^{-1}U' \;=\; z^a(\mathrm{Id}_\ell - U^{-1}U'') \;\in\; \mathrm{GL}_\ell\big(B^\circ\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle\big)\,.$$

By [11, Lemma 9.7.1/1], $\det U' \in B\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle^\times \;=\; B^\times \cdot B^\circ\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle^\times$. So we may write $\det U' = b \cdot f$ with $b \in B^\times$ and $f \in B^\circ\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle^\times$. We define the basis $\underline{n}' = (n'_1, \ldots, n'_\ell) := \underline{n}\,U^{-1}U'f^{-1}$ of $N$. Then $\underline{n}' = \underline{m}\,U'f^{-1}$. Considering the adjoint matrix $(U')^{\mathrm{ad}}$ of $U'$ one sees that

$$(U')^{-1}f \;=\; b^{-1}(U')^{\mathrm{ad}} \;=\; (U^{-1}U')^{-1}U^{-1}f \;\in\; M_\ell\big(B[z] \cap B^\circ\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle\big) \;=\; M_\ell\big(B^\circ[z]\big)\,.$$

Moreover, there exists an integer $e$ such that $U' \in M_\ell\big(\zeta^e B^\circ[z]\big)$.

Now let $m \in M = M_L \cap N$. Then $m = \underline{m} \cdot x = \underline{n}' \cdot y$ for vectors $x \in B\langle z\rangle^\ell$ and $y \in B^\circ\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle^\ell$. From the equations $x = U'f^{-1}y$ and $y = (U')^{-1}f\,x$ we derive $x \in \big(\zeta^e B^\circ\langle z\rangle\big)^\ell$ and $y \in B^\circ\langle z\rangle^\ell$. Thus $M$ equals the intersection

$$M \;=\; \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell} B^\circ\langle z\rangle\zeta^e\, m_i \;\cap\; \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\ell} B^\circ\langle z\rangle\, n'_j \tag{A.1}$$

inside $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{\ell} B^\circ\langle z\rangle\zeta^e\, n'_j$. By [12, I, Proposition 1.3], $B^\circ\langle z\rangle$ is a coherent ring. Hence $M$ is a coherent $B^\circ\langle z\rangle$-module by [EGA, Corollaire 0.5.3.6]. Since $\underline{m}$ and $\underline{n}'\,f = \underline{m}\,U'$ belong to $M$ and form bases of $M_L$ and $N$ respectively, we find $M \otimes_{B^\circ\langle z\rangle} B\langle z\rangle = M_L$ and $M \otimes_{B^\circ\langle z\rangle} B^\circ\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle = N$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the coherent sheaf on $\operatorname{Spf} B^\circ\langle z\rangle$ associated with $M$. By [12, II, Theorem 4.1] there exists an admissible blowing-up $\pi : X' \to \operatorname{Spf} B^\circ$ such that $\mathcal{M}' := \pi^*\mathcal{M}/(\zeta\text{-torsion})$ is a coherent sheaf on $X' \times_{\operatorname{Spf} R} \operatorname{Spf} R\langle z\rangle$ which is flat over $X'$. To show that $\mathcal{M}'$ is locally free we need the following lemma.

**Lemma A.6.3.** *Let $L'$ be a finite extension of $L$ with valuation ring $R'$. Let $C^\circ$ be an admissible formal $R'$-algebra and let $C := C^\circ \otimes_{R'} L'$ be the associated affinoid $L'$-algebra. Let $\beta : \operatorname{Spf} C^\circ \to X'$ be a morphism of formal $\operatorname{Spf} R$-schemes and let $\beta_L : \operatorname{Sp} C \to X'_L$ be the associated morphism of rigid analytic spaces. Then*

$$\Gamma\big(\operatorname{Spf} C^\circ\langle z\rangle\,,\, \beta^*\mathcal{M}'\big) \;=\; M_L \otimes_{B\langle z\rangle} C\langle z\rangle \;\cap\; N \otimes_{B^\circ\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle} C^\circ\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle\,.$$

*Proof of Lemma A.6.3.* We translate the equation (A.1) describing $M$ into the following exact sequences of coherent $B^\circ\langle z\rangle$-modules

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell} B^\circ\langle z\rangle\zeta^e\, m_i \arrow[r] & \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\ell} B^\circ\langle z\rangle\zeta^e\, n'_j \arrow[r] & W_1 \arrow[r] & 0\,,
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\ell} B^\circ\langle z\rangle n'_j \arrow[r] & \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\ell} B^\circ\langle z\rangle\zeta^e\, n'_j \arrow[r] & W_2 \arrow[r] & 0\,,
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & M \arrow[r] & \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\ell} B^\circ\langle z\rangle\zeta^e\, n'_j \arrow[r] & W_1 \oplus W_2 \arrow[r] & 0\,.
\end{tikzcd}$$

We tensor with $C^\circ\langle z\rangle$ over $B^\circ\langle z\rangle$ and obtain the following commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \bigoplus\limits_{i=1}^{\ell} C^\circ\langle z\rangle\zeta^e\, m_i \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & \bigoplus\limits_{j=1}^{\ell} C^\circ\langle z\rangle\zeta^e\, n'_j \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & W_1 \otimes_{B^\circ\langle z\rangle} C^\circ\langle z\rangle \arrow[r] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \bigoplus\limits_{i=1}^{\ell} C\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle\zeta^e\, m_i \arrow[r, "\sim"] & \bigoplus\limits_{j=1}^{\ell} C\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle\zeta^e\, n'_j \arrow[rr] & & 0\,.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{A.2}$$

Here the injectivity of the vertical arrows shows that the upper row is also exact on the left. Similarly we find that the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\ell} C^\circ\langle z\rangle n'_j \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\ell} C^\circ\langle z\rangle\zeta^e\, n'_j \longrightarrow W_2 \otimes_{B^\circ\langle z\rangle} C^\circ\langle z\rangle \longrightarrow 0 \tag{A.3}$$

is exact. Consider the commutative diagram with exact first row

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & V \arrow[r] & M \otimes_{B^\circ\langle z\rangle} C^\circ\langle z\rangle \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f"] & \bigoplus\limits_{j=1}^{\ell} C^\circ\langle z\rangle\zeta^e\, n'_j \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & (W_1 \oplus W_2) \otimes_{B^\circ\langle z\rangle} C^\circ\langle z\rangle \arrow[r] & 0 \\
& & M \otimes_{B^\circ\langle z\rangle} C\langle z\rangle \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook, "g"] & \bigoplus\limits_{j=1}^{\ell} C\langle z\rangle\zeta^e\, n'_j \arrow[d, hook] & & \\
& & M \otimes_{B^\circ\langle z\rangle} C\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle \arrow[r, equal] & \bigoplus\limits_{j=1}^{\ell} C\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle\zeta^e\, n'_j & &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{A.4}$$

The equality in the last row follows from the fact that $M \otimes_{B^\circ\langle z\rangle} B\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle \;=\; \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\ell} B\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle\zeta^e n'_j$. The vertical map $g$ is injective because $M \otimes_{B^\circ\langle z\rangle} C\langle z\rangle \;=\; M_L \otimes_{B\langle z\rangle} C\langle z\rangle$ is flat over $C\langle z\rangle$. Let ${}_{\zeta}(\pi^*\mathcal{M})$ be the $\zeta$-torsion of $\pi^*\mathcal{M}$. The sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \beta^*{}_{\zeta}(\pi^*\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \beta^*\pi^*\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \beta^*\mathcal{M}' \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact on the left since $\mathcal{M}'$ is flat over $X'$. We obtain the following commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \Gamma\big(\operatorname{Spf} C^\circ\langle z\rangle\,,\, \beta^*{}_{\zeta}(\pi^*\mathcal{M})\big) \arrow[r] & M \otimes_{B^\circ\langle z\rangle} C^\circ\langle z\rangle \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f"] & \Gamma\big(\operatorname{Spf} C^\circ\langle z\rangle\,,\, \beta^*\mathcal{M}'\big) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & 0 \\
& 0 \arrow[r] & M \otimes_{B^\circ\langle z\rangle} C\langle z\rangle \arrow[r] & \Gamma\big(\operatorname{Spf} C^\circ\langle z\rangle\,,\, \beta^*\mathcal{M}'\big) \otimes_{C^\circ} C \arrow[r] & 0\,.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The second row is exact since $\beta^*{}_{\zeta}(\pi^*\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{C^\circ} C = 0$. The vertical map on the right is injective since $\beta^*\mathcal{M}'$ is flat over $C^\circ\langle z\rangle$. We conclude that

$$V \;=\; \ker f \;=\; \Gamma\big(\operatorname{Spf} C^\circ\langle z\rangle\,,\, \beta^*{}_{\zeta}(\pi^*\mathcal{M})\big)$$

and

$$\Gamma\big(\operatorname{Spf} C^\circ\langle z\rangle\,,\, \beta^*\mathcal{M}'\big) \;=\; M \otimes_{B^\circ\langle z\rangle} C^\circ\langle z\rangle / V \;=\; M_L \otimes_{B\langle z\rangle} C\langle z\rangle \;\cap\; N \otimes_{B^\circ\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle} C^\circ\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle$$

as claimed. □

*Proof of Lemma A.6.3 continued.* To finish the proof we will show that $\mathcal{M}'$ is locally free on $X' \times_{\operatorname{Spf} R} \operatorname{Spf} R\langle z\rangle$ using Lemma A.6.1. Let $x \in X'$ be a closed point with residue field $\kappa(x)$. We have to show that $\mathcal{M}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}\langle z\rangle} \kappa(x)[z]$ is a free $\kappa(x)[z]$-module. By [12, I, 3.5 and 3.2] there exists a valuation ring $R'$ of dimension 1 extending $R$ whose fraction field is $L'$ is a finite extension of $L$, and a morphism of formal schemes $\beta : \operatorname{Spf} R' \to X'$ which makes the residue field $k'$ of $R'$ into a finite extension of the residue field $\kappa(x)$ of $x$. By faithfully flat descent [EGA, IV$_2$, Proposition 2.5.2] it suffices to show that $\beta^*\mathcal{M}' \otimes_{R'} k'$ is a free module over $R'\langle z\rangle \otimes_{R'} k' = k'[z]$. We put $M'_{R'} := \Gamma\big(\operatorname{Spf} R'\langle z\rangle\,,\, \beta^*\mathcal{M}'\big)$ and $N_{R'} := \Gamma\big(\operatorname{Spf} R'\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle\,,\, \beta^*\pi^*\mathcal{N}\big)$ and consider the restriction map

$$M'_{R'} \;\longrightarrow\; \Gamma\big(\operatorname{Spf} R'\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle\,,\, \beta^*\mathcal{M}'\big) \;=\; N_{R'}\,.$$

We claim that the induced morphism $M'_{R'}/\mathfrak{m}_{R'}M'_{R'} \to N_{R'}/\mathfrak{m}_{R'}N_{R'}$ is injective. Indeed $M'_{R'} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{R'}N_{R'} = \mathfrak{m}_{R'}M'_{R'}$ since by Lemma A.6.3, $M'_{R'} = N_{R'} \cap M_L \otimes_{B\langle z\rangle} L'\langle z\rangle$ and $M_L \otimes_{B\langle z\rangle} L'\langle z\rangle = \mathfrak{m}_{R'} \cdot M_L \otimes_{B\langle z\rangle} L'\langle z\rangle$. Hence $M'_{R'} \otimes_{R'} k' \subset N_{R'} \otimes_{R'} k'$ and since $N'_{R'} \otimes_{R'} k'$ is a torsion free $k'[z]$-module, the same is true for $M'_{R'} \otimes_{R'} k'$. We conclude that $\beta^*\mathcal{M}' \otimes_{R'} k'$ is a free $k'[z]$-module as desired. □

We will apply Lemma A.6.2 in Section 2.4 to extend $\sigma$-modules over rigid analytic spaces to formal models. For this purpose let us introduce the following $B^\circ$-algebra

$$B^\circ[\![z, z^{-1}\rangle \;=\; \Big\{ \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} b_i z^i :\; b_i \in B^\circ,\; |b_i| \to 0 \;\; (i \to -\infty) \Big\}\,.$$

Note that $B^\circ[\![z]\!] \otimes_{B^\circ} B$ is strictly contained in the $B$-algebra $B\{z\}$ defined in Section 1.1 since for any element $\sum b_i z^i$ of $B^\circ[\![z]\!] \otimes_{B^\circ} B$ the sequence $|b_i|$ is bounded. In contrast this is not true in $B\{z\}$. With this notation we may deduce from the proof of Lemma A.6.2 also the following

**Lemma A.6.4.** *Keep the situation of Lemma A.6.2. If in addition we are given morphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mathcal{M}_L} :&\quad \sigma^*\mathcal{M}_L \otimes_{B\langle z\rangle} B\{z\} &&\longrightarrow\;\; \mathcal{M}_L \otimes_{B^\circ\langle z\rangle} B\{z\} \qquad \textit{and} \\ F_{\mathcal{N}} :&\quad \sigma^*\mathcal{N} \otimes_{B^\circ\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle} B^\circ[\![z, z^{-1}\rangle &&\longrightarrow\;\; \mathcal{N} \otimes_{B^\circ\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle} B^\circ[\![z, z^{-1}\rangle \end{aligned}$$

*with $\varphi \circ F_{\mathcal{N}} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}_L} \circ \sigma^*\varphi$ then there exists a uniquely determined morphism*

$$F_{\mathcal{M}'} : \sigma^*\mathcal{M}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}\langle z\rangle} \mathcal{O}_{X'}[\![z]\!] \;\longrightarrow\; \mathcal{M}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}\langle z\rangle} \mathcal{O}_{X'}[\![z]\!]$$

*of sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_{X'}[\![z]\!]$-modules on $X'$ extending $F_{\mathcal{M}_L}$ and $F_{\mathcal{N}}$.*

We start the proof with the following

**Lemma A.6.5.** *In the situation of Lemma A.6.3, $\Gamma\big(\operatorname{Spf} C^\circ\langle z\rangle\,,\, \beta^*\mathcal{M}'\big) \otimes_{C^\circ\langle z\rangle} C^\circ[\![z]\!]$ equals the intersection*

$$M_L \otimes_{B\langle z\rangle} \big(C^\circ[\![z]\!] \otimes_{C^\circ} C\big) \;\;\cap\;\; N \otimes_{B^\circ\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle} C^\circ[\![z, z^{-1}\rangle$$

*inside $M_L \otimes_{B\langle z\rangle} \big(C^\circ[\![z, z^{-1}\rangle \otimes_{C^\circ} C\big)$.*

*Proof.* This follows literally as in the proof of Lemma A.6.3. One only replaces $C^\circ\langle z\rangle$ by $C^\circ[\![z]\!]$, $C\langle z\rangle$ by $C^\circ[\![z]\!] \otimes_{C^\circ} C$, and $C\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle$ by $C^\circ[\![z, z^{-1}\rangle \otimes_{C^\circ} C$. □

*Proof of Lemma A.6.4.* We continue with the notation introduced in the proof of Lemma A.6.2. In particular $M_L = \bigoplus_i B\langle z\rangle m_i$ and $N = \bigoplus_j B^\circ\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle n'_j$. The bases $\underline{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_\ell)$ and $\underline{n}' = (n'_1, \ldots, n'_\ell)$ are related by $\underline{n}' = \underline{m}\; U' f^{-1}$ and $\underline{m} = \underline{n}'\,(U')^{-1} f$ where $f \in B^\circ\langle z, z^{-1}\rangle^\times$, $U' \in M_\ell\big(\zeta^e B^\circ[z]\big)$, and $(U')^{-1} f \in M_\ell\big(B^\circ[z]\big)$.

Let $F_{\mathcal{M}_L}(\sigma^*\underline{m}) = \underline{m}\,\Phi$ and $F_{\mathcal{N}}(\sigma^*\underline{n}') = \underline{n}'\,\Psi$ with $\Phi \in M_\ell\bigl(B\{z\}\bigr)$ and $\Psi \in M_\ell\bigl(B^\circ[\![z,z^{-1}\rangle\bigr)$. From the compatibility relation $\varphi \circ F_{\mathcal{N}} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}_L} \circ \sigma^*\varphi$ we deduce

$$\Phi \;=\; U' f^{-1} \cdot \Psi\,\bigl((U')^{-1} f\bigr)^\sigma \;\;\in\;\; M_\ell\bigl(B\{z\} \cap \zeta^e B^\circ[\![z,z^{-1}\rangle\bigr) \;\subset\; M_\ell\bigl(B^\circ[\![z]\!] \otimes_{B^\circ} B\bigr)\,.$$

So if $\beta : \operatorname{Spf} C^\circ \hookrightarrow X'$ is an affine open subset and $m \in \Gamma\bigl(\operatorname{Spf} C^\circ\langle z\rangle\,,\,\sigma^*\mathcal{M}'\bigr) \otimes_{C^\circ\langle z\rangle} C^\circ[\![z]\!]$ then $F_{\mathcal{M}_L}(m) \;\in\; M_L \otimes_{B\langle z\rangle} \bigl(C^\circ[\![z]\!] \otimes_{C^\circ} C\bigr)$ and $F_{\mathcal{N}}(m) \;\in\; N \otimes_{B^\circ\langle z,z^{-1}\rangle} C^\circ[\![z,z^{-1}\rangle$. Using Lemma A.6.5 we obtain the desired morphism

$$F_{\mathcal{M}} :\;\; \Gamma\bigl(\operatorname{Spf} C^\circ\langle z\rangle\,,\,\sigma^*\mathcal{M}'\bigr) \otimes_{C^\circ\langle z\rangle} C^\circ[\![z]\!] \;\;\longrightarrow\;\; \Gamma\bigl(\operatorname{Spf} C^\circ\langle z\rangle\,,\,\mathcal{M}'\bigr) \otimes_{C^\circ\langle z\rangle} C^\circ[\![z]\!]\,.$$

□

# Bibliography

[EGA] A. Grothendieck: *Élements de Géométrie Algébrique*, Publ. Math. IHES **4**, **8**, **11**, **17**, **20**, **24**, **28**, **32**, Bures-Sur-Yvette, 1960–1967; see also Grundlehren **166**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin etc. 1971.

[SGA 1] A. Grothendieck: *Revêtements étales et groupe fondamentale*, LNM **224**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg 1971.

[1] G. Anderson: $t$-Motives, *Duke Math. J.* **53** (1986), 457–502.

[2] G. Anderson: On Tate Modules of Formal $t$-Modules, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **2** (1993), 41–52.

[3] F. Andreatta: *Generalized ring of norms and generalized* $(\varphi, \Gamma)$*-modules*, University of Padova, Preprint 2005.

[4] J. Ax: Zero of polynomials over local fields – the Galois action, *J. Algebra* **15** (1970), 417–428.

[5] L. Berger: Représentations $p$-adiques et équations différentielles, *Invent. Math.* **148** (2002), 219–284.

[6] L. Berger: Équations différentielles $p$-adiques et $(\varphi, N)$-modules filtrés, Preprint on `arXiv:math.NT/0406601`, version from June 29th, 2004.

[7] V.G. Berkovich: *Spectral theory and analytic geometry over non-Archimedean fields*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **33**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence 1990.

[8] V.G. Berkovich: Étale cohomology for non-Archimedean analytic spaces, *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S.* **78** (1993), 5–161.

[9] G. Böckle, U. Hartl: Uniformizable Families of $t$-motives, Preprint 2004, available at `arXiv:math.NT/0411262`, version from July 21st, 2005.

[10] S. Bosch: *Lectures on Formal and Rigid Geometry*, Preprint **378**, University of Münster, SFB 478–Preprint Series, Münster 2005, available at `http://wwwmath1.uni-muenster.de/sfb/about/publ/heft378.ps`.

[11] S. Bosch, U. Güntzer, R. Remmert: *Non-archimedean analysis*, Grundlehren **261**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg 1984.

[12] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert: Formal and Rigid Geometry
I. Rigid Spaces, *Math. Ann.* **295** (1993), 291–317.
II. Flattening Techniques, *Math. Ann.* **296** (1993), 403–429.

[13] N. Bourbaki: *Topologie Générale*, Hermann, Paris 1971.

[14] C. Breuil: *Schemas en groupes et corps des normes*, unpublished (1998), 13 pages, available at `http://www.ihes.fr/∼breuil/`.

[15] C. Breuil: Groupes $p$-divisibles, groupes finis et modules filtrés, *Ann. of Math.* **152** (2000), 489–549.

[16] O. Brinon: *Représentations Galoisiennes $p$-adiques dans le cas relative*, Thèse de l'Université de Paris 11 (Orsay), 2004.

[17] P. Colmez: Espaces de Banach de dimension finie, *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* **1** (2002), 331–439.

[18] P. Colmez: *Espaces Vectoriels de dimension finie et répresentations de de Rham*, Preprint 2003, available at `http://www.math.jussieu.fr/∼colmez`.

[19] P. Colmez, J.-M. Fontaine: Construction des représentations $p$-adiques semi-stables, *Invent. Math.* **140** (2000), no. 1, 1–43.

[20] P. Deligne, L. Illusie: Relèvements modulo $p^2$ et décomposition du complexe de de Rham, *Invent. Math.* **89** (1987), 247–270.

[21] P. Deligne, J. Milne: Tannakian Categories, in *Hodge Cycles, Motives, and Shimura Varieties*, pp. 101–228, LNM **900**, Springer-Verlag, New York 1982.

[22] V.G. Drinfeld: Elliptic Modules, *Math. USSR-Sb.* **23** (1976), 561–592.

[23] V.G. Drinfeld: Moduli variety of $F$-sheaves, *Functional Anal. Appl.* **21** (1987), no. 2, 107–122.

[24] D. Eisenbud: *Commutative Algebra with a View towards Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York 1994.

[25] G. Faltings: Crystalline cohomology and $p$-adic Galois-representations, *Algebraic analysis, geometry, and number theory (Baltimore 1988)*, pp. 25–80, Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore 1989.

[26] J.-M. Fontaine: Modules galoisiens, modules filtrés et anneaux de Barsotti-Tate, in *Journées de géometrie algebrique de Rennes (III), Astérisque* **65** (1979), 3–80.

[27] J.-M. Fontaine: Sur certains types de représentations $p$-adiques du groupe de Galois d'un corps local; construction d'un anneau de Barsotti-Tate, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **115** (1982), no. 3, 529–577.

[28] A. Genestier, V. Lafforgue: Théories de Fontaine et Fontaine-Laffaille en égale charactéristique, Preprint 2004.

[29] L. Gerritzen: Zerlegungen der Picard-Gruppe nichtarchimedischer holomorpher Räume, *Comp. Math.* **35** no. 1 (1977), 23–38.

[30] A. Grothendieck: *Groupes de Barsotti-Tate et cristaux de Dieudonné*, Séminaire de Mathématiques Supérieures 45, Presses de l'Université de Montréal, Montréal 1974.

[31] U. Hartl: Uniformizing the Stacks of Abelian Sheaves, in *Number Fields and Function fields - Two Parallel Worlds, Papers from the 4th Conference held on Texel Island, April 2004*, G. van der Geer, B. Moonen, R. Schoof, Editors, pp. 167–222, Progress in Math. 239, Birkhäuser-Verlag, Basel 2005. See also `arXiv:math.NT/0409341`.

[32] U. Hartl: *Local Shtuka and Divisible Local Anderson Modules*, in preparation.

[33] U. Hartl, R. Pink: Vector bundles with a Frobenius structure on the punctured unit disc, *Comp. Math.* **140** n.3 (2004), 689–716.

[34] M.J. Hopkins, B.H. Gross: The rigid analytic period mapping, Lubin-Tate space, and stable homotopy theory, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **30** (1994), no. 1, 76–86.

[35] M.J. Hopkins, B.H. Gross: Equivariant vector bundles on the Lubin-Tate moduli space, in *Topology and representation theory (Evanston, IL, 1992)*, pp. 23–88, Contemp. Math. **158**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.

[36] L. Illusie: Cohomologie de de Rham et cohomologie étale $p$-adique (d'après G. Faltings, J.-M. Fontaine et al.), *Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 1989/90, Astérisque* **189-190** (1990), Exp. No. 726, 325–374.

[37] J. de Jong: Étale Fundamental groups of non-Archimedean analytic spaces, *Comp. Math.* **97** (1995), 89–118.

[38] J. de Jong, M. van der Put: Étale Cohomology of Rigid Analytic Spaces, *Doc. Math.* **1** (1996), 1–56.

[39] N. Katz: Slope filtration of $F$-crystals. *Journées de Géométrie Algébrique de Rennes (Rennes, 1978), Vol. I*, pp. 113–163, Astérisque **63**, Soc. Math. France, Paris 1979.

[40] K. Kedlaya: A $p$-adic local monodromy theorem, *Ann. of Math. (1)* **160** (2004), 93–184.

[41] K. Kedlaya: *Slope Filtrations Revisited*, Preprint on `arXiv:math.NT/0504204`, version from October 25th, 2005.

[42] M. Kisin: *Crystalline Representations and $F$-Crystals*, Preprint on `http://math.uchicago.edu/~kisin`, version from April 8th, 2005.

[43] L. Lafforgue: *Chtoucas de Drinfeld et conjecture de Ramanujan-Petersson*, Astérisque **243**, Soc. Math. France, Paris 1997.

[44] G. Laumon: *Cohomology of Drinfeld Modular Varieties I*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **41**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.

[45] M. Lazard: Les zéros des fonctions analytiques d'une variable sur un corps valué complet, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **14** (1962), 47–75.

[46] W. Lütkebohmert: Vektorraumbündel über nichtarchimedischen holomorphen Räumen, *Math. Z.* **152** (1977), 127–143.

[47] Y.I. Manin: The theory of commutative formal groups over fields of finite characteristic, *Russian Math. Surveys* **18** No. 6 (1963), 1–83.

[48] R. Pink: *Hodge Structures over Function Fields*, Preprint 1997, available at `http://www.math.ethz.ch/~pink`.

[49] I.Y. Potemine: Drinfeld-Anderson motives and multicomponent KP hierarchy, Recent progress in algebra (Taejon/Seoul 1997), 213–227, *Contemp. Math.* **224**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence 1999.

[50] D. Quillen: Higher algebraic K-theory I, in *Algebraic K-Theory, I: Higher K-theories (Proc. Conf., Battelle Memorial Inst., Seattle, Wash., 1972)*, pp. 85–147, LNM **341**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1973.

[51] M. Rapoport, T. Zink: *Period Spaces for p-divisible Groups*, Ann. Math. Stud. **141**, Princeton University Press, Princeton 1996.

[52] M. Raynaud: Géométrie analytique rigide d'apres Tate, Kiehl, . . . , *Bul. Soc. Math. France, Mémoires* **39/40** (1974), 319 - 327.

[53] J. Rotman: *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Pure and Applied Mathematics **85**, Academic Press, New York, 1979.

[54] P. Schneider: Points of rigid analytic varieties, *J. reine Angew. Math.* **434** (1993), 127–157.

[55] J. Tate: $p$-divisible groups, *Proc. Conf. Local Fields (Driebergen, 1966)*, pp. 158–183, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1967.

[56] J. Tate: Rigid analytic spaces, *Invent. Math.* **12** (1971), 257–289.

[57] Th. Zink: *Cartiertheorie kommutativer formaler Gruppen*, Teubner-Texte zur Mathematik **68**, Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig, 1984.

Urs Hartl
University of Freiburg
Institute of Mathematics
Eckerstr. 1
D – 79104 Freiburg
Germany

E-mail: urs.hartl@math.uni-freiburg.de